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Introduction

In discussing the lack of research on female offenders there is even less research on women incarcerated from rural areas. There is a lack of health services even more so in rural jails and prisons (Kane & DiBartolo, 2002). This creates hardships for women that need mental health and health services. Data shows rural incarcerated women having various physical and mental health issues, yet only the basic needs are met if even that (Kane & DiBartolo, 2002). Rural areas are portrayed as peaceful areas and this perception contributes to the lack in crime research in these areas. Through the minimal research that has been done in rural areas, research shows that rural areas still have a substantial amount of criminal activity at certain periods of time throughout the years (Steiner, 1981). This research suggests more support is needed for women in the rural communities since there are lacks of opportunities and harsher sentences (Flanagan, 1997/1998). Our purpose in this article is to present grounded theory research on the experiences of rural women's incarceration through the eyes of the women themselves. We discuss the programming available, health issues, and life prior to and after their life of incarceration. We anticipate finding similarities between these women's experiences and their hardships upon being released into the community. Our focus is to initiate a discussion that could eventually be a part of a design of programs to provide much needed assistance for the women in these rural areas.

Sample

There were a total of 6 women interviewed in this study. Sue is a 55 Year old Caucasian, Nicole is a 35 Year old Caucasian, Sarah is a 28-year-old Caucasian; Nicole is a 36-year-old Caucasian.

Results and Discussion

Five out of the six women had experienced sexual, physical, or emotional abuse of some kind before adulthood. Five out of six women admitted to being a drug addict/alcoholic. Four out of six women have been in prison and six of the six women had been in jail. This tells us that 1. there is a high demand for programs in rural areas, 2. there is a label that is hard to change placed on women that go into the system in rural areas, 3. most women in rural areas have a hard time finding positive support systems, because everyone knows their business, and 4. women are forced back into their abusive situations due to lack of housing and public transportation in a rural area. There are major differences between rural and urban areas and most of the research available is focused on urban settings. We found a huge deficit in the research on incarcerated women, and even more so on rural incarcerated women.

Summary

This study revealed that rural women had childhood abuse that led to their feelings of not belonging throughout their childhoods, or not fitting into society’s norm as a young adult. Most of the women had a male counterpart who had introduced them into the world of drugs and eventually got them addicted. In most cases researched in this article, the women’s addiction to drugs/alcohol led to their criminal activity. These women expressed frustration with the reentry process due to lack of services provided for a successful reentry. The few women who were sentenced to jail and being “labeled” as drug addicts and criminals by the media and community for credit card theft that my boyfriend at that time actually did house visits to make sure.

Sue talks about her experience with this rural community and her charges. She said, “This was my first offense and I was charged with a felony four for selling three grams of pot. I was sentenced to six months in jail, and three years probation when I was released. I was not allowed to even have alcohol in my house and they did house visits to make sure.”

Methods

Grounded theory is the qualitative data collection approach utilized in this article. There was an extensive literature review correlated with the open-ended interviews. We created a list of open-ended questions to guide us in our interview process. The idea was to gain knowledge of the women’s life experiences from their prospective. Throughout the interviewing process we began coding topics that repeatedly arose in our discussion. Through this process theories began to form on their own by acknowledging the similarities in these women’s life stories.
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