Cleveland State University EngagedScholarship@CSU

Undergraduate Research Posters 2017

Undergraduate Research Posters

2017

P1: ADJACENT-LETTER FLANKING BIGRAMS AFFECT LEXICAL DECISION PERFORMANCE

Nicole M. Russo *Cleveland State University*

Lea G. Araya Cleveland State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/u_poster_2017

Part of the Psychiatry and Psychology Commons How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Recommended Citation

Russo, Nicole M. and Araya, Lea G., "P1: ADJACENT-LETTER FLANKING BIGRAMS AFFECT LEXICAL DECISION PERFORMANCE" (2017). *Undergraduate Research Posters 2017*. 37. https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/u_poster_2017/37

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Undergraduate Research Posters at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Undergraduate Research Posters 2017 by an authorized administrator of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.



Adjacent-letter Flanking Bigrams Affect Lexical Decision Performance

College of Sciences and Health Professions

Student Researchers: Nicole Russo and Lea Araya

Faculty Advisor: Albert F. Smith

<u>Abstract</u>

In a lexical decision task in which target strings were flanked by pairs of bigrams, Grainger, Mathot, and Vitu (*Acta Psychologica*, 2014) found, for words, better performance when flanking bigrams contained target-string letters (e.g., BI BIRD RD; RD BIRD BI; IB BIRD DR; DR BIRD IB) than when they did not (e.g., CE BIRD NT); better performance when flanking bigrams contained letters ordered as in the target (e.g., BI BIRD RD; RD BIRD BI) than switched (e.g., IB BIRD DR; DR BIRD IB); and that only letter order within bigrams—not bigram order relative to the respective target—affected performance. Palinski (CSU Master's thesis, 2016) replicated these findings. In each of those experiments, on 80% of trials, flanking bigrams were composed of letters from the target. We conducted a new experiment in which only 50% of trials involved flanking bigrams whose letters were in the target letters than when they did not and when flanking-bigram letters were ordered as in the target than switched. These effects do not depend on the proportion of trials on which flanking bigrams are composed of target letters.