Orientation: A New Way (For A New Year)

By TERRY SARGON AND RICHARD SUTTER

Instead of a fraternity-rush-like presentation welcoming incoming freshmen with mawkish platitudes praising the virtues of academic excellence, the 1971 CSU Law School Orientation Committee conducted a one-evening program that was relevant, informative, enjoyable, and brief as possible. Gone were the trappings of orientation programs past: embarrassingly inept student "toastmasters" who stumbled through dull, overlong introductory speeches; frat jocks who extolled the virtues of academic excellence by accumulating large bar tabs and "canned" briefs; law wives who completed the playbill with punch and cookies when beer would have better sufficed.

This year, the Committee wisely chose to make avail of the facilities on the main campus, and reserved the Auditorium in the Main Classroom Building for the "academic" segment of the program, which comfortably accommodated everyone. The three-hundred first-year students were treated to a short word of greeting by Marvin Sable, Orientation Committee chairman, followed by some general remarks by Dean Craig Christensen and Alan Hirth, SBA President. Time limit for the foregoing: 15 minutes. The remainder of the program was conducted by Professor Hyman Cohen, who instructed the students in this art of case analysis. Beyond doubt, Professor Cohen's lecture on the "romance of the case method," was the finest treatment of that subject in anyone's memory, including graduating students who were literally held spellbound throughout.

The three-hundred first-year students were treated to a short word of greeting by Marvin Sable, Orientation Committee chairman, followed by some general remarks by Dean Craig Christensen and Alan Hirth, SBA President. Time limit for the foregoing: 15 minutes. The remainder of the program was conducted by Professor Hyman Cohen, who instructed the students in this art of case analysis. Beyond doubt, Professor Cohen's lecture on the "romance of the case method," was the finest treatment of that subject in anyone's memory, including graduating students who were literally held spellbound throughout.

"I find the great thing in this world is not to seek where we stand, as in what direction we are moving..." - Oliver Wendell Holmes

EXPANSION DOUBLE PLAY

BUCKLEY PLANS MOVE TO MAIN CAMPUS

By JAMES G. JOSEPH

When Assistant Dean Tom Buckley, Jr. arrived at our law school this summer, one of the first things to impress him was the "interest of the students in the welfare of the school." Even though summer quarter is a period of little activity, Dean Buckley noticed the presence of many students who were participating in school organizations.

Dean Buckley attended undergraduate school at Fordham and after graduating from Yale Law School, practiced in the New York City law firm of Carter, Ledyard and Milburn. He taught for 2 years at The University of North Dakota and one year at Boston University. Mr. Buckley is married and has one child, Elizabeth, who is six years old.

Dean Buckley believes that the law school has great potential and with the help of its huge alumni, can soon become the top law school in the state.

MEET YOUR CANDIDATE THERE WILL BE AN OPEN FORUM IN WHICH THE STUDENTS WILL BE ABLE TO MEET WITH AND TALK TO THE PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES FOR PRESIDENT OF THE STUDENT BAR ASSOCIATION.

OCTOBER 27th
11:00-1:00
1:00-6:00
BASEMENT LOUNGE AREA

URBAN CAMPUS SWELLS

By MICHAEL SMITH

Cleveland State University begins this new scholastic year with increased student enrollment as well as changes in the physical appearance of the campus. Over 1,500 students have enrolled for fall quarter—8 to 10 percent more than a year ago. In addition to those returning for another year are 1,800 freshmen, 650 transfer students, and 100 students from foreign lands. Student enrollment apparently has not been affected by the student fee increases which went into effect last fall.

The new 29-story University Tower will provide CSU students with the most modern research and educational facilities available. Included in this structure are 225,000 volumes of books in the new Library, the History, Political Science, Business Administration, Mathematics, Sociology, and Philosophy departments. However, the most striking change on the downtown campus is the huge excavation site for the $9 million Physical Education building. The 200,000 square foot structure, to be used for an extensive program of sports and physical education activities, is scheduled for completion in two-and-one-half years.

The parking problem has partly been solved by increasing space 75 per cent since last fall with the addition of new lots. At the start of this fall quarter staff and students will use more than 1,400 spaces of University parking as compared to last fall's 620 spaces. Rates this year remain the same as last—50 cents per day for non-permit drivers.

Although one can't help but feel optimistic about the future of CSU, this new year has begun on one sour note. CSU officials have ordered a freeze on salaries and the hiring of new faculty and staff. The reason: an operating budget for the current fiscal year cannot be formulated until the Ohio General Assembly has enacted the appropriation legislation for the coming year. This issue was complicated further by President Nixon's wage and price freeze.
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Oliver Wendell Holmes
The budget of the Student Bar Association was $9,942.00 in the 1970-71 academic year. The budget request for the current year is $21,879.00.

Just how that money, your student fees, will be spent depends upon those students chosen by you to sit as senators to the S.B.A. There is a self-defeating tendency on the S.B.A. that has continued year after unproductive year. That tendency is for each senator to represent his own constituency. This is not bad in itself—it is bad because the pigeon holing of the senators' votes creates either a standoff or a debilitating compromise so watered as to accomplish nothing for any students. So, as each senator represents his own special constituency, no one benevolently because no progress is made.

A better representation of the students would seem to be a group of senators who can look at an issue as it relates to the college as a whole—realizing the end goal to be achieved—and proceeding to that goal with the concerted effort of the entire Bar. An example of the childish attitudes prevalent in the S.B.A. and the lack of foresight evidenced by its members is illustrated by the following: At the 17th meeting of the S.B.A., a discussion was had upon the problems emanating from the holding of meetings at 9:15 P.M. After much discussion and at the close of the meeting, one senator from the "night shift" said in substance to a "day shift" student, "I understand your position of wanting to schedule meetings more convenient to the day students; if I was a day school senator I would have argued for the same thing you did." (Ed. above not verbatim but substantial reiteration.) Thus the senator disregarded the end goal of making the Bar more accessible to all students by selfishly representing (if only night students, when in fact if some cooperative thinking and action were displayed the entire student body might have benefited on an overall basis.

There was an agreement that the 18th meeting of the S.B.A. would be held at 5:15 P.M. That meeting was so excessive in the unprofessional conduct displayed that it was expected no minutes will be reproduced. The cause of this meeting mayhem was due as much to the manner in which the senators were expected to "rubber stamp" the President's suggestions for faculty committee appointments. As it was the unfortunate way in which the senators reacted to that attempt.

It is assumed that much thought and reflection was put into choosing the faculty members to staff the committee—WHY MUST THE STUDENT BAR ACT WITH THE SPEED OF LIGHTNING IN MAKING THESE EXTREMELY IMPORTANT NOMINATIONS AND APPOINTMENTS?

This newspaper sincerely hopes and actively solicits each and every student in this college to attend at least one Student Bar Meeting. Educate yourselves on the S.B.A. before the upcoming election.
CIVIL LIBERTIES

RIGHTS IM-BALANCE

By ALAN JAY ROM

SUIT AGAINST C.S.U.

By BARRY LANE

NEITHER CORRECTION NOR A SYSTEM

By ROBERT L. SIMMONS, Assoc. Prof. of Law (Jan. 1971) B.A., Univ. of Michigan: J.D., Cleveland State Univ.

THIRD ANNUAL MOOT COURT NIGHT

OCTOBER 30, 1971 AT 8:30 FIRST FLOOR OF THE LAW SCHOOL
The Legal Career Opportunities Program enters into Phase II this school year. In April, 1971 the faculty approved an experimental program for the study of law to those otherwise would not have had the opportunity. There was faculty criticism of the time, regarding the proper role the law school can play in correcting social, cultural, and educational deprivation, but the faculty felt that the program should be given a chance.

Due to budgetary limits only 13 students participated. The faculty decided that for best results, the program should start during the summer. As financial aid was limited, the program at the law school was given in the evenings enabling the students to work during the day. The students took Legal History and Methods, Literature and the Law, and Legal Bibliography. Brief Writing was also planned but time did not allow for the completion of the course and it is being carried into the academic year.

Rather than start with basic first year courses, the students were methodically prepared for these by having different instructors incorporate the syllabi in the Literature and the Law courses.

ELECTION FORMAT

By BARRY LAINE

Arnold Pinkney, Ralph Perk, and James Carney will not be the only candidates running for office this fall. The Cleveland mayoralty race will be decided in November and the law students will be elected on a plurality basis. A special election edition of the Gavel is tentatively planned, and the availability of a debate among the Presidential candidates is also a new president of the student bar. Every class will be able to select three of their classmates to enter the legal profession and bring their talents to the service of their communities, which is the goal of the program.

To avoid undue pressure on the students, the courses were given on a pass-fail basis. This also avoided any criticism of or by the faculty, or unfavorable bias. The students were also required to take courses to improve reading, comprehension, and in writing skills at the main campus.

Toward the end of the summer, the students were asked to objectively evaluate the program. Some of the criticism of the program was that it was too hastily put together, and that the students resented the program being called the "Disadvantaged Student Summer Program," because it implies inequality with their peers.

In reaction to the criticism, the name of the program has been changed to the Legal Career Opportunities Program (LCP) and a permanent committee formed to re-evaluate and improve the program. Professor Goshien serving as chairman. It is far too early to evaluate the progress of the program; perhaps definite conclusions will not be able to be made for several years after these students enter the legal profession and bring their talents to the service of their communities, which is the goal of the program.

The Elections Committee will have the opportunity to elect a new board of directors. The election is to be held November 1st, 2nd, and 3rd. Candidates, however, must declare their intention to run by October twentieth. The President must be elected by a majority of those voting, and, if necessary, there will be a runoff election. Senators, however, will be elected on a plurality basis. A special election edition of the Gavel is tentatively planned, and the availability of a debate among the Presidential candidates is also a new president of the student bar. Every class will be able to select three of their classmates to enter the legal profession and bring their talents to the service of their communities, which is the goal of the program.

To show that other schools were waiting for the legislature to act before deciding on tuition increases. (The analogy is somewhat faulty in that both of these schools increased tuition last year, whereas CSU did not. Though acknowledging that Bowling Green did choose to wait for the legislature, Mr. McFall, secretary to the Board of Trustees at Bowling Green, thought it would be better to announce an increase rather than wait for the legislature. He spoke of "difficulty on the part of students", and stated on redirect that students "want to know how much fees are to be"). Mr. Bayer also called Joseph Bartunek, chairman of the Board of Trustees, Gordon Hanson, Acting President of CSU, and Mr. Maher, secretary to the Board of Trustees. Through these officials he tried to demonstrate that the exact financial needs of the University cannot be known till the legislature acts, that there was little advance notice of the meeting, and that the decision to increase fees had been made in advance of the meeting. The fact that a letter notifying students of the increase was distributed two weeks before the board meeting did cause some embarrassment. Hanson admitted the letter was prepared prematurely. He insisted that the board acted on what they believed to be accurate projections of the state subsidy and the schools needs.

Several of the plaintiff students were called to the stand; they testified that the increases meant they would be able to return to school. Carol Czech, secretary of the Scholarship Committee, testified that the increase would reduce the number of students who would receive scholarships. After plaintiff rested, defense counsel Tremkamp renewed his motion to dismiss. Judge Matia, pointing out that he had allowed a full inquiry, agreed to the motion at that point. He stated that there was no showing that plaintiffs injuries would be "immediate, certain, and great" and therefore a preliminary injunction could not be granted.

Thus, the attempt by the eight CSU students has apparently been unsuccessful. The preliminary injunction has been denied, Friend Board of Trustees has not reconsidered, and no appeals have been filed. As a fait accompli, they have paid the increased tuition. Yet there is still some chance that the increase will be rescinded. While the motion for preliminary injunction was not successful, plaintiffs will still have their day in court as to a Declaratory Judgment. Several members of the Board, among them Robert Higbee, questioned the increase and suggested that it be repealed.

Chairman of the Board of Trustees, Joseph Bartunek, stated on the witness stand that if the Legislature provides for an adequate budget they "could rescind the increase". Whether or not we must pay an increase in the tuition, students should be relieved to know that the present tuition is far below the sum we would be expected to pay if this law school were still a private institution. In fact tuition would be $1500 a semester if we were still affiliated with Baldwin-Wallace and $1000 a quarter if we were still a private law school.