

2011

Table of Contents

Cleveland State Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstlrev>

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Recommended Citation

Cleveland State Law Review, *Table of Contents*, 59 Clev. St. L. Rev. i (2011)
available at <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstlrev/vol59/iss3/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cleveland State Law Review by an authorized editor of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

CLEVELAND STATE LAW REVIEW

Volume 59

2011

Number 3

CONTENTS

ARTICLES

- LEARNING DISABILITIES AND THE ADA:
LICENSING EXAM ACCOMMODATIONS IN THE
WAKE OF THE ADA AMENDMENTS ACT OF
2008 *M. Patrick Yingling* 291
- THE RISE AND DEMISE OF THE “COLLECTIVE
RIGHT” INTERPRETATION OF THE SECOND
AMENDMENT *David T. Hardy* 315
- THE MARKET VALUE RULE OF DAMAGES AND THE
DEATH OF IRREPARABLE INJURY *Patrick Luff* 361
- WORKPLACE PRIVACY AND MONITORING: THE
QUEST FOR BALANCED INTERESTS *Ariana R. Levinson* 377
- LIFE, DEATH, AND IQ: IT’S MUCH MORE
THAN JUST A SCORE: UNDERSTANDING AND
UTILIZING FORENSIC PSYCHOLOGICAL AND
NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATIONS IN
ATKINS INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY/MENTAL
RETARDATION CASES *John Matthew Fabian, William W. Thompson, IV* 399
Jeffrey B. Lazarus
- I AM TEXTUALISM *Stephen Durden* 431

NOTES

- POLITICAL GANGSTERS: THE FUTURE OF
RACKETEERING LAW IN POLITICS *Jillian Henzler* 435
- QUALIFIED IMMUNITY DISSONANCE IN THE SIXTH
CIRCUIT: WHY WE MUST RETURN TO
REASONABLENESS *Matt Chiricosta* 465

CITE AS 59 CLEV. ST. L. REV. __ (2011)

The views expressed in the *Cleveland State Law Review* are those of the authors of the articles and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the trustees, faculty, alumni, or students of the Cleveland State University or the College of Law. Contributing authors are expected to reveal personal, economic, or professional interest that may have influenced the views taken or advocated in their articles. Each author impliedly represents that such disclosure has been made