Bookstore Set for Operation
Student Cooperation Needed

The only thing still needed is the sellers and the buyers. Everything else is set — the place, time and workers — for the book exchange to be operated by the Student Bar Association.

Time will be Jan. 2-12 for second, third and fourth year students, and Jan. 24-31 for first year students.

Place will be the Student Bar Association office, adjacent to the student lounge in the basement.

Used current editions of textbooks for next term's courses will be sold. They will be available at at one-third to two-thirds of their original price.

Horribloc and other items will be available, in addition to texts, according to Steven Laver, senior representative, who is in charge of the project.

Sellers will set their own price, according to Student Bar guidelines. They will leave their books at the exchange, set a price and pick up the money later, if they are sold.

By David Lowe
Senior Professor of Cleveland-Marshall's Criminal Law Program, Professor Murad has taught criminal law and procedure for ten years. Professor Levy L. Murad is well qualified to respond to our fifth in a series of interviews. Our topic is an analysis of the rights of the accused in a criminal case, the role of the prosecutor to the criminal defense attorney — does he win his cases or do his clients "beat the rap?"

Scholar, Barack, holder of three law degrees from Oxford, Professor Murad was called to the English Bar by the Honorable Society of Lincoln's Inn. This is one reason why he feels he is not permitted to see the courtroom, set a price and overlook the defense recognized and used it, there is nothing wrong with this. The problem has been keeping an adequate inventory of books on hand.

Interviewer: What do you think of him? (Professor Murad practiced in England and has the duty to present the case — that is all. The attitude that one might go free.

Interviewer: Do you think that the defense attorneys have often been criticized by the public for taking on third degree — beating the defendants to get them to talk."

Interviewer: Is there a presumption of innocence in the British system?

Professor Murad: The prosecutor must prove his case beyond a reasonable doubt. One is presumed innocent until proved guilty.

Interviewer: In the absence of a written constitution in England, what guarantees his rights as a defendant in a criminal case?

Professor Murad: The Judger's Rules were adopted in 1912 and expanded in 1918. They are regarded as law — not legislation. The U.S. Supreme Court decisions on criminal procedure are old hat as far as I'm concerned and are completely in accord with British practices since the issuance of the Judges' Rules.

Although there is no such thing as a right to counsel in England, they arrive at the same point by the system of "dock briefs." When the prisoner is arraigned at the "dock," he is asked if he has counsel and, if not, he is entitled to point to any citizen who is willing to be his counsel and that counsel to defend him. The counsel does so completely free of charge, and is only responsible to himself for drawing the prosecution's attention to any technicality that may have been overlooked, but the defense recognized and used it, there is nothing wrong with this. The problem has been keeping an adequate inventory of books on hand.

Professor Murad: There is a slight difference between the English and American bench in that the English judge plays a bigger part in the trial — he tends to ask questions of the witnesses and to himself drawing the prosecution's attention to any technicality that may have been overlooked, but the defense recognized and used it, there is nothing wrong with this. The problem has been keeping an adequate inventory of books on hand.

Interviewer: How does the British bench view a defendant's "getting off"?

Professor Murad: There is a slight difference between the English and American bench in that the English judge plays a bigger part in the trial — he tends to ask questions of the witnesses and to himself drawing the prosecution's attention to any technicality that may have been overlooked, but the defense recognized and used it, there is nothing wrong with this. The problem has been keeping an adequate inventory of books on hand.

Interviewer: How does the British public look upon that attitude?

Professor Murad: They feel that if a prosecutor were encouraged to make a conviction the be all and the end all, the prosecutor might well overdo it, and lets the jury decide. Coupled with the British horror of convicting an innocent man, the defense might very well have an advantage.
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Interviewer: In the absence of a written constitution in England, what guarantees his rights as a defendant in a criminal case?
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Although there is no such thing as a right to counsel in England, they arrive at the same point by the system of "dock briefs." When the prisoner is arraigned at the "dock," he is asked if he has counsel and, if not, he is entitled to point to any citizen who is willing to be his counsel and that counsel to defend him. The counsel does so completely free of charge, and is only responsible to himself for drawing the prosecution's attention to any technicality that may have been overlooked, but the defense recognized and used it, there is nothing wrong with this. The problem has been keeping an adequate inventory of books on hand.
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The Razor's Edge

By NANCY SCHUSTER

Michigan's Continuing Legal Education has selected an unprecedented 12 articles from the Cleveland-Marshall Law Review to be included in a book, which will be published on tort liability of hospitals.

The articles were selected from more than 300 of the law school's three-times-a-year publication.

Acting Dean Howard L. Oleck

Law Review Reprints


Its author is William K. Carter, a June graduate, who received bachelor's and master's degrees from John Carroll University.


Its author's Bernard Mandel, a June graduate, who has written for "Laboratory Accident Liability: Academic and Industrial" from the January, 1967 Law Review for reprint.

Its authors are Thomas M. Schmitz, a June graduate, and Ralph K. Davis, associate professor in chemistry at Baldwin-Welsh College.

Schmitz, a registered professional engineer at Sandia Institute of Technology, has written for "Laboratory Accident Liability: Academic and Industrial" from the January, 1967 Law Review for reprint.

Its author's Bernard Mandel, a fourth year student.

Mandel holds a bachelor's degree from the University of Michigan.

From the same issue, the Insurance Law Journal has selected for reprint "Landlord's Liability for Ice and Snow," authored by Michael R. Gareau, a 1967 graduate of the school.

Gareau did his undergraduate work at Kent State University.

The seminar is sponsored by Lammierer's Mutual Casualty Co.

This can be divided into water, air, and just make Bennie Blinkhorn, president of Republic Steel.

Liquor, Acid, Pot, and Speed: Reagen for President.

The Chicago Police Department's Annual Report in the Sexes: The British have a great deal more social responsibility than we do. After all, their barracks have looked that way for years. The Twentieth Century.

Advises a London acquaintance: "A book has never been told by its cover."

Pamela, an Affluent Society: a tax the affluent into poverty.

Richard Hickman, a tax the affluent into poverty.

Is There a God? The men have endlessly pondered this problem, the answer is, (as we lawyers use to say) patently immaterial.

If you're a man, do you think God will it change what happened at Waterloo? If there is not a God it will change what happened at Waterloo.

The world revolves whether or not we discover the secret of the universe, but it will change, even though it, need solving. But when it changes, the solution of the problem will be.

And so, Virginia, the world is not a hang but a multitude of whimpers. Some are loud and wailing while our particular power, yours and mine. Some are only small and annoying, but by their hand may be solved by the likes of us.

Now if you will excuse me, I have one hour till class, so I must join the line at the coffee machine.

Aunt Nancy