



2011

Table of Contents

Cleveland State Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstrev>

 Part of the [Law Commons](#)

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Recommended Citation

Cleveland State Law Review, *Table of Contents*, 59 Clev. St. L. Rev. i (2011)
available at <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstrev/vol59/iss4/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cleveland State Law Review by an authorized editor of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

CLEVELAND STATE LAW REVIEW

Volume 59

2011

Number 4

CONTENTS

ARTICLES

- THE CONSTITUTIONAL STATUS OF SPEECH ABOUT
ONESELF *R. George Wright* 489
- THE INAPPROPRIATE IMPOSITION OF COURT-
ORDERED MEDIATION IN WILL CONTESTS *Victoria J. Haneman* 513
- NOVEL “NEUTRALITY” CLAIMS AGAINST
INTERNET PLATFORMS: A REASONABLE
FRAMEWORK FOR INITIAL SCRUTINY *Jeffrey Jarosch* 537
- THE MYSTERY OF LIFE IN THE LABORATORY OF
DEMOCRACY: PERSONAL AUTONOMY IN
STATE LAW *Adam J. MacLeod* 589
- THE MYTH OF CHURCH-STATE SEPARATION *David E. Steinberg* 623
- THE EMERGING FEDERAL CLASS ACTION BRAND *John C. Massaro* 645
- SELLING SEX: ANALYZING THE IMPROPER
USE DEFENSE TO CONTRACT ENFORCEMENT
THROUGH THE LENS OF
CARROLL V. BEARDON *Julie M. Spanbauer* 693

NOTES

- “HAVE YOU BEEN DRINKING TONIGHT MS.
PRYNNE?” OHIO’S SCARLET LETTER FOR
OVI/DUI OFFENDERS: A VIOLATION OF FIRST
AMENDMENT PROTECTION AGAINST
COMPELLED SPEECH *William Livingston* 745
- TWEAKING TINKER: REDEFINING AN OUTDATED
STANDARD FOR THE INTERNET ERA *Shannon M. Raley* 773

CITE AS 59 CLEV. ST. L. REV. __ (2011)

The views expressed in the *Cleveland State Law Review* are those of the authors of the articles and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the trustees, faculty, alumni, or students of the Cleveland State University or the College of Law. Contributing authors are expected to reveal personal, economic, or professional interest that may have influenced the views taken or advocated in their articles. Each author impliedly represents that such disclosure has been made