5-2012

Introducing NOB-NOBs: nitrogen-oxygen-boron cycles with potential high-energy properties

Aloysius K. Lawong
Cleveland State University

David W. Ball
Cleveland State University, d.ball@csuohio.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/scichem_facpub

Part of the [Physical Chemistry Commons](#)

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

Publisher's Statement

The final publication is available at Springer via <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00894-011-1203-4>

Recommended Citation

Lawong, A. K.; Ball, D. W. Introducing NOB-NOBs: nitrogen-oxygen-boron cycles with potential high-energy properties. *Journal of Molecular Modeling* 2012, 18, 1723-1728.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Chemistry Department at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

Introducing NOB-NOBs: nitrogen-oxygen-boron cycles with potential high-energy properties

Aloysius K. Lawong • David W. Ball

Abstract As a follow-up on a study of a family of boron-oxygen-nitrogen compounds composed of two datively bonded B–O–N backbones, we investigate a similar series of compounds that have similar fragments but are covalently bonded. B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) quantum mechanical calculations have been performed to determine the minimum-energy geometries, vibrational frequencies, and thermochemical properties of the parent compound and a series of nitro-substituted derivatives. Our results indicate that some of the derivatives have at least appropriate thermodynamics for possible high-energy materials, in some cases being favorable over similar dimeric compounds with coordinate covalent B–N bonds.

Keywords NOB-NOB compounds • B3LYP calculations • High-energy materials

Introduction

In 1963, Kuhn and Inatome [1] published a report on an air-stable boron–oxygen–nitrogen molecule that they determined was composed of two B–O–N molecules in the form of a six-membered ring. They later presented evidence, in the form of measured dipole moments, that the ring existed as a chair conformer [2]. Because experimental evidence suggested that the nitrogen atom in the B–O–N monomer made a coordinate covalent bond with the boron atom of the other monomer, Kuhn et al. referred to these molecules as “BON-BON” species. Their derivatives had several *n*-butyl groups bonded to either the boron atom or the nitrogen atom (or

both) in the ring. Recently, we (Lawong AK, Ball DW, 2011, manuscript in preparation) performed computational chemical analyses of the parent BON-BON molecule (the moniker of which we are choosing to give in all capitals, unlike Kuhn et al. [1, 2], to emphasize the atomic constitution of the six-membered ring) and a variety of nitro-substituted BON-BON molecules in order to study their potential as new high-energy (HE) materials. The parent BON-BON molecule, cyclo-BH₂ONH₂BH₂ONH₂, is shown in Fig. 1 (Lawong AK, Ball DW, 2011, manuscript in preparation). Because both the B and N atoms are tetracoordinated, the molecule adopts a cyclohexane-like central ring structure.

In the course of our study, we realized that there is another way to link two B–O–N moieties: using actual covalent bonds between the B and N atoms between the two monomers, rather than coordinate covalent bonds. That is, a nitrogen atom on one B–O–N fragment would covalently bond with the boron atom on a second B–O–N fragment, with a similar covalent bond occurring between the other ends of the fragments. To differentiate this bonding arrangement from that found in BON-BON molecules, we propose the name “NOB-NOB” in reference to the covalently bonded six-membered ring. In this work, as a follow-up to our BON-BON study, we present a computational chemical study of the structures and properties (including the thermochemical properties) of the parent NOB-NOB molecule and nitro derivatives of NOB-NOB.

Computational details

All calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 computational chemistry program [3] on an IBM cluster 1350 supercomputer at the Ohio Supercomputer Center in Columbus, Ohio. We used the density functional theoretical method, as defined by combining Becke’s three-parameter exchange functional with the correlation functional of Lee,

A. K. Lawong • D. W. Ball (✉)
Department of Chemistry, Cleveland State University,
2121 Euclid Avenue,
Cleveland, OH 44115, USA
e-mail: d.ball@csuohio.edu

Fig. 1 The general structure of BON-BON-type six-membered rings. Because of the tetracoordinated B and N atoms, the ring adopts a cyclohexane-type structure, in this case the chair conformer. (From Lawong AK, Ball DW, 2011, manuscript in preparation)

Yang, and Parr (abbreviated to B3LYP in the Gaussian program) [4, 5], along with the standard Gaussian basis set labeled 6-31G(d,p) [6]. Minimum-energy geometries of the NOB-NOB molecules were determined using default settings, and vibrational frequency calculations were performed to verify that a minimum-energy geometry was found. Once the proper structure of the NOB-NOB molecule was established, the enthalpy of formation was determined by calculating the enthalpy change for the molecule formed from its gaseous elements, and then corrected for the enthalpy of formation of gas-phase boron. For example, the reaction for the parent molecule was

The energy change associated with this reaction was determined from the calculations and then corrected for the formation of two moles of B(g):

The enthalpy of formation for B(g) was taken from the NIST Chemistry Webbook website [7]. Once corrected for the formation of B(g), the energy represents the enthalpy of formation of the NOB-NOB molecule. After this, enthalpies of decomposition and/or combustion can be determined using standard balanced reactions, assuming that the products are $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3(\text{s})$, $\text{H}_2\text{O}(\ell)$, and $\text{N}_2(\text{g})$. When necessary, $\text{O}_2(\text{g})$ is added as a reactant for the complete oxidation of B and H in the molecules.

Results and discussion

The non-nitrated NOB-NOB compound has the formula (cyclo-)NHOBH NHOBH. There are nine nitro-NOB-NOB compounds: two nitro-NOB-NOB isomers, four dinitro-

NOB-NOB isomers, two trinitro-NOB-NOB isomers, and one tetranitro-NOB-NOB molecule. Thus, here we are reporting on a total of ten NOB-NOB compounds. For much of the presentation that follows, we will focus on the non-nitrated NOB-NOB molecule (referred to as the “parent NOB-NOB”) and the tetranitro-NOB-NOB molecule, (cyclo-)N(NO₂)OB(NO₂)N(NO₂)OB(NO₂). The less nitrated molecules have properties intermediate between the two extremes, and (except for their thermodynamics) this will be assumed unless there is something noteworthy about a particular nitro-NOB-NOB. Readers interested in learning more about the partially nitrated NOB-NOB compounds can contact the corresponding author.

Figure 2 shows the optimized geometries of the parent NOB-NOB compound and tetranitro-NOB-NOB, while Table 1 lists some representative bonding parameters of these two molecules. The structure of the parent NOB-NOB molecule should be compared to that of the parent BON-BON molecule, shown in Fig. 1 (Lawong AK, Ball DW, 2011, manuscript in preparation): the parent NOB-NOB optimizes as a flat molecule, suggesting that the nitrogen atoms have strong sp^2 character, as opposed to the cyclohexane-like ring adopted by the BON-BON derivatives. As if to belie this, however, the bond angle that the N–H bond makes with the oxygen atom in the ring is close to the expected near-tetrahedral angle: 108.3° rather than the ideal 120°. This is likely due to an intramolecular interaction between the electropositive H atom and the electronegative O atom. On the other hand, the B–H bond is oriented almost exactly 120° (actually slightly less: 117°) from the other ring atoms. The six-membered ring is not a perfect hexagon. The O–N, N–B, and B–O bonds are slightly different lengths (1.427, 1.398, and 1.378 Å, respectively), while the bond angles vary, sometimes significantly, from 120°. The relative orientations of the NO₂ groups in tetranitro-NOB-NOB show an interesting pattern: the NO₂ group bonded to the nitrogen atom in the ring lies in the plane of the ring, while the NO₂ group

Fig. 2 The parent NOB-NOB molecule (cf. Fig. 1) and the tetranitro-NOB-NOB molecule

Table 1 Representative bonding parameters of the parent NOB-NOB molecule and the tetranitro-NOB-NOB derivative. Distances in Å, angles in degrees

	NOB-NOB	Tetranitro-NOB-NOB
$r(\text{N-O})$	1.427	1.399
$r(\text{O-B})$	1.378	1.363
$r(\text{B-N})$	1.398	1.419
$r(\text{N-H})$	1.006	–
$r(\text{B-H})$	1.189	–
$r(\text{N-N})$	–	1.443
$r(\text{B-NO}_2)$	–	1.516
$\alpha(\text{B-O-N})$	113.9	117.3
$\alpha(\text{O-N-B})$	124.1	121.7
$\alpha(\text{N-B-O})$	122	121.1
$\alpha(\text{O-B-H})$	117.8	–
$\alpha(\text{O-N-H})$	108.3	–
$\alpha(\text{O-N-O})$	–	127.0, 130.6

bonded to the boron atom in the ring lies perpendicular to the ring. This pattern is repeated in all NOB-NOB derivatives that have NO_2 groups on adjacent atoms (which is seen for three derivatives in the group of ten molecules studied here): the NO_2 group bonded to the nitrogen atom is always in the plane of the ring, while the NO_2 group bonded to the boron atom is always perpendicular to the plane of the ring. This is unusual for an ortho-substituted di- (or greater) nitro compound with a planar central ring. For example, 1,2-dinitrobenzene has its adjacent nitro groups rotated $\sim 41^\circ$ out of the plane of the planar C_6 ring [8], while the nitro groups in hexanitrobenzene are all $43\text{--}45^\circ$ out of the plane of the ring [9].

The general structure of the BON-BON molecules studied previously (Lawong AK, Ball DW, 2011, manuscript in preparation) included a central six-membered ring that resembled the chair conformation of cyclohexane. The first major difference in the structures of BON-BON and NOB-NOB (in both cases referring to the parent molecule) is a nonplanar chair conformation for BON-BON and a flat, distorted hexagon for NOB-NOB. As for bond distances within the six-membered rings, only the N–O bond distance remains close to being the same for the two types of rings (1.427 Å here versus 1.429 Å for the parent BON-BON molecule). The B–O bond is slightly shorter in NOB-NOB (1.378 Å here versus 1.476 Å in BON-BON). The B–N bond is significantly shorter in NOB-NOB (1.427 Å vs. 1.624 Å), as might be expected for a B atom bonding to a trivalent N atom. Bonding to and bonding within the NO_2 groups were similar in the two types of molecules, except for the N–N(nitro) bond; again, as befitting a bond to a trivalent N atom, the N–N bond distance in tetranitro-NOB-NOB was found to be 1.443 Å, down significantly from the

1.696–1.899 Å bond distance found in octanitro-BON-BON (Lawong AK, Ball DW, 2011, manuscript in preparation).

A look at some of the molecular orbitals explains why the six-membered rings of NOB-NOB are close to being planar. Figure 3 shows HOMO-7 and HOMO-21 for the parent NOB-NOB and the tetranitro derivative, respectively. These molecular orbitals show the delocalization of electrons over the entire ring and even, in the case of the tetranitro derivative, into the NO_2 groups. This is very reminiscent of the π orbitals of aromatic systems, and it would not be surprising if this molecule were found to have aromatic character.

Figure 4 shows the calculated vibrational spectra of the parent NOB-NOB molecule and the tetranitro derivative, which should help in identifying these substances should synthesis be attempted. The vibrational spectrum of NOB-NOB is unremarkable, with the N–H, B–H, and ring vibrations appearing in predictable ranges. The vibrational spectrum of tetranitro-NOB-NOB is more interesting. Some features that stand out are what appear to be doublets of absorptions throughout the spectrum, especially in the mid-to high-energy range. Visualization of the normal vibrational modes using the GaussView program [10] demonstrates the reason for these near-doublets. Each pair represents two similar motions that would otherwise be degenerate if the symmetry of the molecule were higher. For example, the strong absorption at 1765 cm^{-1} is the asymmetric O–N–O stretch of the two NO_2 groups bonded to the nitrogen atoms in the ring. The strong absorption near it, at 1629 cm^{-1} , is the asymmetric O–N–O stretch of the two NO_2 groups bonded to the boron atoms in the ring. Within 2 cm^{-1} of each of these strong absorptions is a zero-intensity absorption identifiable as the corresponding symmetric stretches of the same atoms. Similar correspondences can be assigned to other absorptions of similar intensities and close frequencies. Also, because of the symmetry of the molecule, fully 25 of the 48 normal modes of vibration have exactly zero intensity (compared to only 10 out of 24 for the parent NOB-NOB molecule).

Fig. 3 Molecular orbitals showing the delocalization of electrons in the ring (*left*, parent NOB-NOB) and extending into the NO_2 groups planar to the ring (*right*, tetranitro-NOB-NOB)

Because our main focus is the thermodynamics of the NOB-NOB molecules, here we will include information about all of the isomers. After determining the enthalpy of formation of the molecules as described above, the combustion or decomposition enthalpy was also determined. Whether the relevant reaction is considered a combustion or decomposition depends on the oxygen balance (OB%) of the compound. The oxygen balance is given by the expression [11]

$$\text{OB}\% = -\frac{3200\left(\frac{3}{4}b + \frac{1}{4}h + 0n - \frac{1}{2}o\right)}{\text{MW}},$$

where b , h , n , and o are the numbers of boron, hydrogen, nitrogen, and oxygen atoms in the molecular formulae, respectively, and MW is the molar mass of the molecule. An OB% that is less than zero indicates that a molecular formula does not have sufficient oxidizer (here, oxygen) present to oxidize all other atoms present, while an OB% of greater than zero indicates that a molecular formula does contain sufficient oxidizer to oxidize all other atoms fully. Thus, substances with negative OB% values need additional oxidizer (assumed here to be molecular oxygen), and the enthalpy changes of reaction with said oxidizer are appropriately labeled enthalpies of combustion (ΔH_{comb}). Substances with positive OB% values have sufficient oxidizer atoms to oxidize themselves, so the enthalpy changes of reaction are more appropriately labeled enthalpies of decomposition (ΔH_{dec}). Table 2 lists oxygen balances, calculated enthalpies of formation, and resulting enthalpies of decomposition or combustion for the ten NOB-NOB derivatives. There are considerably fewer nitro-NOB-NOB molecules than nitro-BON-BON molecules because of the fewer hydrogen atoms that can be substituted for NO_2 groups, which in turn leads to fewer substitutional isomers. In the labeling of the nitro-NOB-NOB isomers, the point of substitution is given, with the prime (') implying that the additional NO_2 substitution (where appropriate) is in the other NOB monomer as well. According to the OB% values, the parent NOB-NOB and nitro-NOB-NOB require extra oxidizer, so the enthalpies of reaction are enthalpies of combustion. For greater NO_2 substitution, the positive OB% values indicate sufficient oxygen to oxidize completely, so enthalpies are better described as decomposition enthalpies.

Table 2 shows that all of the NOB-NOB-based compounds have strongly negative enthalpies of formation, likely due in part to the strong B–N bonds in the six-membered rings. Upon nitration, the thermodynamics of the isomers shows a similar trend to the respective BON-BON compounds, but not as extreme. Once again, in the nitro-substituted compound, the site of nitration significantly affects the energy values, with the B-substituted nitro-NOB-

Fig. 4 Calculated vibrational spectra of the parent NOB-NOB molecule (bottom) and the tetranitro derivative (top). Note that the horizontal scales are different for the two spectra

NOB predicted to be more stable than the N-substituted nitro-NOB-NOB. However, the difference in ΔH_f values is only about 120 kJ mol^{-1} , rather than the 220 kJ mol^{-1} seen between the two nitro-BON-BON derivatives. The trend is clear in the NOB-NOB derivatives, as it was in the BON-BON molecules: all other things being the same, an NO_2 group bonded to an N atom of the six-membered ring leads to a less-stable isomer than a similar molecule with the NO_2 group bonded to a B atom of the ring.

The calculated enthalpies of formation generally increase (that is, get less negative) as the level of nitration increases; however, the increase is not monotonic. The least stable isomer, relative to the constituent elements, is N,N'-dinitro-NOB-NOB. In this molecule, both of the relatively stable N–H bonds from the parent compound are substituted for NO_2 groups, so they are replaced with less-stable N– NO_2 bonds. As mentioned in the BON-BON paper (Lawong AK, Ball DW, 2011, manuscript in preparation), these N–N bonds are the most likely to initiate decomposition in this case too.

With enthalpies of formation determined, enthalpies of combustion or decomposition can be determined using standard combustion or decomposition reactions. The enthalpy of combustion of the parent NOB-NOB molecule is -957 kJ mol^{-1} . Per unit mass, this molar enthalpy of combustion is recalculated to a value of -11.2 kJ g^{-1} . This is about twice as much energy per unit mass as current HE materials like RDX and HMS, whose specific enthalpies of decomposition are both about 5 kJ g^{-1} [12]. However, this is significantly lower than the specific enthalpy of combustion for the parent BON-BON compound, which is -16.6 kJ g^{-1} . With four less hydrogen atoms and stronger B–N bonds, the parent NOB-NOB compound not only has a more negative enthalpy of formation than the parent BON-BON, but it gives off two less H_2O molecules as combustion products. The enthalpies of combustion of the two nitro-NOB-NOB isomers are slightly less negative than that of the parent molecule, and because of the rather dramatic increase in mass brought on by a single NO_2 group (89.6 u for the parent molecule, but 134.6 u for nitro-NOB-NOB: a 50.4% increase), the energy given off per gram decreases by about half, to -5.9 to -6.9 kJ g^{-1} . Upon increasing the nitro content, the calculated enthalpies of decomposition vary between -570 and -855 kJ mol^{-1} , varying more because of the position of the NO_2 group rather than the number of NO_2 groups. This is in part because higher levels of nitration lead to the formation of more N_2 and O_2 as products, which have enthalpies of formation of zero and thus contribute nothing to the

Table 2 Oxygen balances, enthalpies of formation and combustion/decomposition, and specific enthalpies of reaction for NOB-NOB and its nitrated derivatives

Molecule	OB%	ΔH_f (kJ mol ⁻¹)	$\Delta H_{\text{comb/dec}}$ (kJ mol ⁻¹)	$\Delta H_{\text{comb/dec}}$ (kJ g ⁻¹)
NHOBH-NHOBH	-56.1	-362.7	-957	-11.2
Nitro-NOB-NOB	-6.1			
B-		-426.7	-772	-5.91
N-		-300.9	-897.8	-6.87
Dinitro-NOB-NOB	18.2			
B,B'-		-478.3	-599.5	-3.41
B,N-		-349.1	-728.7	-4.15
B,N'-		-334.9	-742.9	-4.23
N,N'-		-222.6	-855.2	-4.87
Trinitro-NOB-NOB	32.6			
B,N,B'-		-375.2	-581.7	-2.64
B,N,N'-		-246.4	-710.5	-3.22
Tetranitro-NOB-NOB	43.6	-264.24	-571.7	-2.23

generation of stable products. The fact that one-half of an H₂O molecule less is formed as a product with the addition of each NO₂ group apparently has only minimal impact on the resulting enthalpy of decomposition.

However, although the enthalpies of decomposition are fluctuating about a mean (which is about -680 kJ mol⁻¹), the mass of the molecule is increasing by a net 45.0 u per nitro group, so the enthalpies of decomposition per unit gram are decreasing noticeably. The specific enthalpies of formation for all dinitro-NOB-NOB isomers are less than those for nitro-NOB-NOB, and trinitro-NOB-NOB isomers even lower. The tetranitro-NOB-NOB derivative has the lowest specific enthalpy of decomposition, -2.23 kJ mol⁻¹. Even this value is not entirely out of range for potential HE materials; Akhavan lists [12] the specific enthalpy of reaction for nitroguanidine at -2.47 kJ g⁻¹, just slightly more energy per gram than that of tetranitro-NOB-NOB.

We point out that even this lowest value for tetranitro-NOB-NOB is more energy than six types of nitrated BON-BON molecules (Lawong AK, Ball DW, 2011, manuscript in preparation), which can accommodate more NO₂ groups and hence achieve higher molar masses, reducing their energy density despite their more negative enthalpies of decomposition. Thus, nitrated NOB-NOB derivatives may be potential HE candidates that are worthy of additional exploration. Other factors need to be considered before nitrated NOB-NOB molecules would be deemed “good” HE materials, like velocity of detonation and impact

sensitivity. However, for at least some NOB-NOB compounds, their thermodynamics of combustion and decomposition are promising.

Acknowledgments We would like to thank the Ohio Supercomputer Center in Columbus, OH, for granting us time to perform this work. AKL thanks the McNair Scholars Program at Cleveland State University for support.

References

1. Kuhn LP, Inatome N (1963) J Am Chem Soc 85:1206–1207
2. Thomson HB, Kuhn LP, Inatome N (1964) J Phys Chem 68:421422
3. Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB et al (2009) Gaussian 09, revision A.01. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford
4. Becke AD (1993) J Chem Phys 98:5648–5652
5. Lee C, Yang W, Parr RG (1988) Phys Rev 37:785–789
6. Hariharan PC, Pople JA (1973) Theor Chem Acc 28:213–222
7. National Institute of Standards and Technology (2011) NIST chemistry webbook. <http://webbook.nist.gov>. Accessed April 28, 2011
8. Herbstein FH, Kapon M (1990) Acta Cryst B46:567–572
9. Akopyan ZA, Struchkov YT, Dashevskii VG (2006) J Struct Chem 7:385–392
10. Dennington R, Keith T, Millam J (2007) GaussView, version 4.1. Semichem Inc., Shawnee Mission
11. Persson PA, Holmberg R, Lee J (1993) Rock blasting and explosives engineering. CRC Press, Boca Raton
12. Akhavan J (2004) The chemistry of explosives, 2nd edn. Royal Society of Chemistry, London