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Development of NiAl-based intermetallic alloys: effect of chromium addition

R. Tiwari\textsuperscript{a}, S.N. Tewari\textsuperscript{a}, R. Asthana\textsuperscript{b}, A. Garg\textsuperscript{b}
\textsuperscript{a}Chemical Engineering Department, Cleveland State University, Cleveland, OH 44115, USA
\textsuperscript{b}Materials Division, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, OH 44135, USA

Abstract

The mechanical behavior of dual-phase NiAl(Cr) microstructures, consisting of elongated primary NiAl grains aligned with an intergranular NiAl-Cr eutectic phase, produced by extrusion of a cast NiAl(Cr) alloy, has been examined. Chromium addition to create a dual phase NiAl-based aligned microstructure leads to large increases in the yield strength but no significant toughness improvement. This is achieved primarily by solid solution hardening and precipitation hardening. The constitutional hardening rate resulting from deviations from stoichiometry in the nickel-rich NiAl was estimated to be about 66 MPa per atomic per cent of nickel.
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1. Introduction

It is now widely recognized that large-scale use of the intermetallic \(\beta\)-NiAl for high temperature applications is limited, owing to its lack of room temperature ductility and toughness \cite{1,2}. Of the various attempts to enhance the ductility of NiAl \cite{3-5}, ductile phase toughening \cite{6} appears to be particularly promising. The first evidence of ductile phase toughening of NiAl, with a room temperature tensile ductility of 17\%, was provided in an in situ composite of directionally solidified (DS) Ni-34Fe-9.9Cr-18.2Al alloy whose microstructure consisted of alternating lamellae of a nickel-rich f.c.c. solid solution (\(\gamma\)) phase and \(\beta\), phase \cite{7}. Similarly, a room temperature ductility of about 10\% was obtained in a DS Ni-30Al alloy which had aligned rod-like \(\gamma'\) in a \(\beta\)-NiAl matrix. A DS Ni-30Fe-20Al alloy containing aligned \(\beta\)-NiAl and \(\gamma+\gamma'\) phases showed 10\% ductility \cite{6}.

Heat treatment of extruded Ni-30Al-20Co and Ni-36Al alloys to obtain equiaxed \(\beta\)-NiAl grains containing a necklace of continuous \(\gamma'\) at the grain boundary \cite{8} yielded 0.5\% ductility compared with almost zero ductility for alloys without \(\gamma'\). Room temperature tensile ductilities of 2\%-6\% were obtained \cite{9} in forged and rolled Ni-20Cr-20Al, Ni-25Al-18Fe, Ni-15Al-65Fe and Ni-26Al-50Co alloys, with microstructures containing uniform distributions of equiaxed \(\beta\) and \(\gamma\) grains. Extruded Ni-20Al-30Fe cast alloy with fine equiaxed \(\beta\) strains distributed in \(\beta+\gamma'\) eutectic \cite{10} showed a room temperature ductility of 8\%-22\%, depending upon the fineness of the \(\gamma'\) grains.

The ductility enhancement in these alloys is attributed to \cite{6,11} inhibition of crack nucleation in the \(\beta\) phase, resulting from the increased mobile dislocation density, and inhibition of crack propagation by plastic stretching of the ductile phase in the crack (crack bridging). However, the large quantities of alloying elements used in the above alloys would adversely affect the high melting point, low density and outstanding oxidation resistance of NiAl.

In this exploratory research, a dual-phase microstructure was produced in NiAl with small chromium additions, and its room temperature and elevated temperature mechanical properties were characterized. The extrusion of cast NiAl-Cr alloy consisting of proeutectic \(\beta\) grains surrounded by a small amount of intergranular eutectic may result in \(\beta\) grains aligned with the extrusion direction, and the intergranular regions occupied by a chromium phase. The small solid solubility of chromium in \(\beta\)-NiAl in the temperature regime of NiAl extrusion, i.e. 1300-1450 K, indicates that relatively small chromium additions may
be sufficient to yield the stable two-phase microstructure at the extrusion temperatures.

2. Experimental procedure

The NiAl and NiAl(Cr) alloys were induction melted and chill cast under a protective argon atmosphere in a high purity copper mold, to obtain cylindrical castings. Differential thermal analysis (DTA) of cast ingots was carried out to determine the transformation temperatures and select an optimum extrusion temperature. The castings were vacuum encapsulated in steel cans and extruded at 1400 K, to an extrusion ratio of 32:1 for NiAl and 16:1 for NiAl(Cr). The chemical composition of the alloys was determined by an inductively coupled emission spectroscopy technique. The microstructures were examined by optical microscopy, following metallographic preparation and etching with saturated molybdic acid.

The as-extruded bars were centerless ground and machined for compressive (cylinders of length 1.25 cm and diameter 0.63 cm), tensile (buttonhead specimens of gauge diameter = 0.3 cm and gauge length 3.0 cm), and four-point bend notched fracture toughness (of length 2.5 cm and cross section 0.25 cm x 0.25 cm, with a notch 0.13 cm deep and 0.25 cm wide) tests. The compression tests were performed in air at a strain rate of $1.74 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$ in the temperature range 300-1000 K. The tensile specimens were electro-polished prior to testing. The tensile tests were carried out in air at a strain rate of $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$ at 300 and 800 K.

The fracture toughness was determined at room temperature by four-point bend testing of the notched bar specimens with the loading direction normal to the extrusion axis. For the compression specimens, the cracks originating at the specimen surface and propagating into the specimen interior were examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). These specimens were then cut normal to the compression axis and polished to examine the crack paths by optical metallography. The fracture surfaces after tensile and four-point bend tests were examined by SEM.

The microstructures of NiAl and NiAl(Cr) alloys were also examined using a Phillips 400T transmission electron microscope operating at 120 kV. The compression test specimens, loaded till the yield point, were sectioned by electric discharge machining to obtain disks 3 mm in diameter. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) specimens were obtained by electrochemical polishing these disks in a twin-jet Tenupol-3 polisher, using a solution of 70% ethanol, 14% distilled water, 10% butyl cellosolve and 6% perchloric acid, cooled to 263 K. An applied potential of 20–50 V with a corresponding current of 10–15 mA produced the electron-transparent foils.

3. Results

The chemical compositions of the NiAl and NiAl(Cr) alloys were Ni-46Al and Ni-43Al-9.7Cr (atomic per cent) respectively. The carbon and oxygen contents of these alloys were lower than 0.012 wt.%. The alloy densities were $5.45 \pm 0.01$ for NiAl and $5.57 \pm 0.05$ g cm$^{-3}$ for Ni-43Al-9.7Cr.

3.1. Microstructural characterization

3.1.1. Ni-43Al-9.7Cr

The solvus solidus and liquidus temperatures, marked V, T and D in the DTA plots (Fig. 1(a)), of Ni-43Al-09.7Cr alloy are 1478, 1720 and 1818 K. These are in reasonable agreement with the transformation temperatures of the NiAl-9.7at.%Cr alloy based on the phase diagram (Fig. 1(a)). The extrusion temperature of 1398 K, (point E in Fig. 1(a)) lies in the $\beta+Cr$ two-phase field.

The microstructure of the as-cast Ni-43Al-9.7Cr alloy consisted of $\beta$-NiAl dendrites and the interdendritic NiAl-Cr eutectic (Fig. 2(a)). The extrusion microstructure consist of $\beta$-NiAl grains, aligned with the extrusion axis, and fine intergranular NiAl-Cr eutectic (Figs. 2(b) and 2(c)). The $\beta$-NiAl grain size (15 μm) of the extruded alloy (Fig. 2(c)) is much smaller than the grain size (30 μm) of the as-cast alloy (Fig. 2(a)). Also, there is no evidence of recrystallization of the aligned primary NiAl grains.

3.1.2. Ni-46Al

The extruded Ni-46Al showed an equiaxed grain morphology on both longitudinal and transverse (Fig. 2(d)) sections, with relatively fine grain size (25-50 μm), as a result of the recrystallization during and subsequent to the extrusion.

3.1.3. TEM examination

The TEM examination of specimens deformed just beyond the compressive yield stress (Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)) shows that the Ni-43Al-9.7Cr matrix contains a bimodal distribution of $\alpha$-Cr precipitates about 15 nm in size, spaced at 50 nm, and others about 45 nm in size, spaced at 150 nm (Fig. 3(a)). Extensive dislocation pinning associated with the chromium precipitates 45 nm in size is evident from Fig. 3(b). The chromium-alloyed NiAl specimens showed subgrain formation. This was not observed in Ni-46Al (Fig. 3(c)), which also showed the least amount of dislocations.
3.2. Compressive deformation and fracture

The temperature dependence of the 0.2% offset compressive yield strength (CYS) of the extruded alloys (Fig. 4) shows that the room temperature CYS of the Ni-43Al-9.7Cr alloy (895 ± 90 MPa) is nearly twice that of the extruded Ni-46Al (451 ± 6 MPa), and is also larger than the room temperature CYS of extruded Ni-45Al-5Cr alloy (818 ± 9 MPa) [3]. It is interesting to note that the room temperature CYS of extruded Ni-50Al (189 ± 6 MPa [3]) is significantly lower than that of the alloys examined in this study.

The Ni-43Al-9.7Cr shows a higher CYS throughout the temperature range 300 to 1000 K, compared with the Ni-46Al alloy. While the CYS of Ni-46Al decreases continuously with increasing temperature, the CYS of Ni-43Al-9.7Cr remains constant up to about 500 K, after which it begins to decrease.

The fracture in Ni-46Al is intergranular (Fig. 5), which is in agreement with the previous observations [1,2]. However, the Ni-43Al-9.7Cr alloy fractures in a mixed mode, with the crack propagation being both transgranular and intergranular. Its fracture surface gives a dimpled appearance (Fig. 6(b)) as opposed to the sharp faceted appearance in the extruded Ni-46Al (Fig. 5(b)), as a result of the grain boundary decohesion. The dimpled appearance is caused by the pullout of the intergranular chromium phase. This indicates some toughening potential of the Ni-43Al-9.7Cr alloy.

At 800 K, both the Ni-46Al (Fig. 7(a)) and Ni-43Al-9.7Cr (Fig. 7(b)) alloys showed transgranular fracture. While regions of inhomogeneous deformation (deformation bands) were observed in Ni-46Al, these were not observed in Ni-43Al-9.7Cr. For the extruded Ni-43Al-9.7Cr alloy, although the fracture at 800 K is
transgranular, the interdendritic NiAl-Cr eutectic causes significant crack deflection (Fig. 7(b)).

3.3. Tensile deformation and fracture

The tensile properties of the extruded alloys have been summarized in Table 1. The Ni-46Al showed a brittle behavior (no yielding or plastic deformation), with a tendency for the specimens to fail in the grip, near the end of the gauge section. The yield strength value (indicated in Table 1 as a greater than the maximum observed stress) is greater than the value of 180 MPa for Ni-50Al [3]. The plastic strain for the
Ni-50Al is reported to be 1.5% [3] as compared with the zero ductility of the present Ni-46Al alloy. This is in agreement with the observation [13] that nickel-rich off-stoichiometry leads to an increased strength and decreased ductility for the NiAl alloys. This observation is also valid at higher temperatures. For example, the Ni-50Al alloy has a yield strength of 100 MPa and ductility of 70% at 600 K [3], as compared with a yield strength of 253 MPa and ductility of 6.3% for the Ni-46Al alloy at 800 K.

The Ni-43Al-9.7Cr alloy showed a higher yield strength than the Ni-46Al alloy, both at the room temperature and at 800 K. Its ductility was zero at room temperature and 3% at 800 K. The ductility data at 800 K (Table 1) suggest that the brittle-ductile transition temperature (BDTT) of Ni-43Al-9.7Cr is greater than that of Ni-46Al. The BDTT of NiAl alloys increases with increased deviation from stoichiometry [14,15]. The nickel-to-aluminum ratios for the Ni-43Al-9.7Cr and Ni-46Al alloys are 1.05 and 1.10 respectively. Therefore, one would expect Ni-46Al to have a higher BDTT than Ni-43Al-9.7Cr. This is contrary to the observed behavior and may be attributed to the chromium alloying, which is known to increase the BDTT of NiAl alloys [3].

The fracture surface of the room temperature tensile-tested NiAl (Fig. 8(a)) is predominantly transgranular, with occasional intergranular failure. This is in agreement with earlier observations of transgranular failure in Ni-45Al by Nagpal and Baker [16]. The grain boundaries, however, appear to provide some resistance to the crack growth, as evidenced by the sharp facets observed along the grain boundaries on the fracture surface. For the Ni-43Al-9.7Cr alloy, the fracture is transgranular (Fig. 8(b)) and the chromium precipitates, located within the matrix and at the β-NiAl grain boundaries, are pulled out during crack growth. This should result in improved fracture toughness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy (Temperature)</th>
<th>0.2% offset compressive yield strength (MPa)</th>
<th>0.2% offset tensile yield strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Ultimate strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Fracture strain (%)</th>
<th>$K_c$ (MPa m$^{1/2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni-46Al (300 K)</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>&gt;279</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-43Al-9.7Cr (300 K)</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>&gt;496</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-46Al (800 K)</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-43Al-9.7Cr (800 K)</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-50Al (300 K)$^a$</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-50Al-0.28Fe (300 K)$^b$</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$After ref. [3].
$^b$After ref. [21].
3.4. Fracture toughness

The average values of the room temperature fracture toughness of Ni-46Al is 4.9 MPa m$^{1/2}$ (Table 1), which is in agreement with the values reported for polycrystalline NiAl, i.e. 406 MPa m$^{1/2}$ [4]. The Ni-43Al-9.7Cr alloy, with a $K_c$ value of 5.4 MPa m$^{1/2}$, showed a 10% increase in fracture toughness. The fracture for both these alloys was transgranular, and showed the characteristics described above for the room temperature tensile fracture, i.e. pulled out chromium particles for the Ni-43Al-9.7Cr.

4. Discussion

4.1. Microstructure

Since the NiAl phase is considerably weaker than chromium at the extrusion temperatures (yield strength of Ni-50Al is less than 43 MPa [3] at 800 K, whereas it is 98 MPa for chromium at 1073 K [17]), the presence of the intergranular eutectic permits deformation only along the extrusion axis and yields the aligned microstructure (Fig. 2(c)). A microstructure (with small grain size) of the primary NiAl phase (which can be controlled by the rate of heat extraction during casting) is ideally suited for such extrusion processing in the two-phase regime.

4.2. Mechanical properties

The room temperature compressive yield strength of the Ni-46Al alloy (451 ± 6 MPa) is greater than that of the Ni-50Al (189 MPa) [3]. Assuming a linear dependence, this increase in strength corresponds to a strengthening rate of 66 MPa per atomic per cent of nickel for the nickel-rich NiAl compositions, which is in agreement with the value deduced by Noebe et al. [2] (70 MPa per atomic per cent of nickel) from the tensile strength values of the nickel-rich cast and extruded NiAl alloys [13].

The microstructure, as observed in the extruded Ni-43Al-9.7Cr alloy, is expected to derive its strength from the following factors:

1. Constitutional strengthening resulting from the variation in the nickel-to-aluminum ratio (66 MPa per atomic per cent of nickel, as determined in this study).
2. Solid solution strengthening from the chromium dissolved in the NiAl phase. Cotton et al. [18] reported a solid solution hardening rate of 287 MPa (per atomic per cent of chromium).
3. Precipitation strengthening (chromium precipitates in the NiAl phase), i.e. the strength increment resulting from the obstruction of dislocation motion by non-shearing particles (dislocation pinning seen in Fig. 3(b)) can be estimated, in a manner similar to Cotton et al. [3], by the expression

$$\Delta \sigma_p = \frac{Gb}{2\pi(\lambda - d)} \ln \left( \frac{d}{b} \right)$$

where $G$ is the shear modulus (72 GPa), $b$ the Burgers vector (0.2886 nm), $d$ the chromium precipitate size (45 nm), and $\lambda$ the interparticle spacing (150 nm). The strengthening contribution from the finest chromium precipitates has been ignored, because dislocation pinning associated with these precipitates was not observed (Fig. 3(b)). These precipitates were apparently sheared because of the coherent interface between NiAl and Cr.

4. Grain size strengthening, where the yield strength of stoichiometric Ni-50Al is dependent on the grain size, and this dependence increases with the deviation from stoichiometry [18,19]. However, in
Ni-43Al-9.7Cr alloy, the grain size of the primary \(\beta\)-NiAl phase (15 \(\mu\)m) is considerably larger than the interparticle spacing between the chromium precipitates (150 nm). Therefore, the mean free path for the dislocations will be considerably less than the grain size; hence, grain size strengthening may be neglected.

Table 2 compares the estimated and measured CYS values of the Ni-43Al-9.7Cr and Ni-45Al-5Cr [3] alloys. The theoretical yield strength values have been computed by adding constitutional hardening (66 MPa per atomic per cent of nickel for nickel-rich NiAl), solid solution hardening (287 MPa per atomic per cent of chromium) [18], and precipitation hardening, to the yield strength of binary Ni-50Al (189 MPa) [3]. Since the Ni-45Al-5Cr alloy showed recrystallized \(\beta\)-NiAl grains having no interdendritic \(\beta\)-NiAl-Cr eutectic [3], all the chromium in the alloy is assumed to be available for the solid solution and precipitation hardening of the matrix. As can be seen in Table 2, the difference between the experimental and estimated CYS values is less than 5% for both the Ni-45Al-5Cr and Ni-43Al-9.7Cr alloys.

An examination of Table 1 shows that the CYS values are greater than the tensile yield strengths. Similar behavior has been observed in the cast-plus-extruded Ni-50Al [20] and NiAl-0.2at.%Fe [21] alloys at room temperature. For the Ni-50Al alloy extruded from the vacuum-atomized powder, the compressive strengths were higher than the tensile strengths by 70 MPa and 20 MPa at 500 K and 900 K respectively [20]. While this difference at 800 K for the Ni-46Al alloys is insignificant, it is considerably larger (72 MPa) for the Ni-43Al-9.7Cr alloy. This behavior can be likened to the Bauschinger effect, which is attributed to the interaction between the moving dislocations and the dislocation barriers. When the direction of loading is reversed, the pre-existing dislocations in the vicinity of the dislocation tangles (created by the previous deformation) move at a stress lower than that required for the previous loading. However, the exact mechan-