

2017

Nursing Students' Perceptions on Characteristics of an Effective Clinical Instructor

Joan Niederriter

Cleveland State University, j.niederriter@csuohio.edu

David Eyth

Cleveland State University

Joan Thoman

Cleveland State University School of Nursing, j.thoman@csuohio.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/nurs_facpub



Part of the [Nursing Commons](#)

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

Recommended Citation

Niederriter, Joan; Eyth, David; and Thoman, Joan, "Nursing Students' Perceptions on Characteristics of an Effective Clinical Instructor" (2017). *Nursing Faculty Publications*. 70.

https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/nurs_facpub/70

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Nursing at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Nursing Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

Nursing Students' Perceptions on Characteristics of an Effective Clinical Instructor

SAGE Open Nursing
Volume 3: 1–8
© The Author(s) 2017
Reprints and permissions:
sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/2377960816685571
journals.sagepub.com/home/son



Joan E. Niederriter, PhD, MSN, CMSRN, RN-BC¹, David Eyth, MSN, RN, CMSRN¹, and Joan Thoman, PhD, RN, CNS, CDE¹

Abstract

Purpose: To identify characteristics and teaching techniques of effective clinical instructors that can be utilized or implemented to improve the student nurse clinical experience.

Background: The clinical instructor is an integral part of a quality clinical experience. They help students transfer didactic information to the practice setting. The clinical nursing experience is a vital component in the developmental process of the nursing student. Research has been done on this subject, but gaps remain. The need for a more in-depth understanding of students' perceptions of the characteristics and teaching techniques that best aid their comprehension and learning will help instructors to maximize student learning experiences in the practice setting.

Method: This qualitative research study utilized the phenomenological research method. Three open-ended questions were posed to 14 nursing students to identify the characteristics and teaching techniques they believed comprised an effective clinical instructor. Individual interviews were conducted and transcribed interviews were reviewed to identify common themes. Three faculty members provided member checking to prevent bias by reviewing the transcribed interviews for common themes.

Findings: Participants identified four main themes which include a trusting relationship, experience or knowledge, coach, and role model. The students found that they gained more knowledge, developed more critical thinking, and felt more confident with instructors who utilized characteristics and techniques from these four areas.

Conclusion: Clinical instructors play an important role in preparing the student nurse in becoming a competent nurse in the practice setting. This information can be used to provide a foundation in creating an educational opportunity to inform nurse educators in the ways to become a more effective clinical instructor.

Keywords

student nurses, clinical education, qualitative research, research, clinical instructors

Date received: 10 January 2016; revised: 8 November 2016; accepted: 18 November 2016

Introduction

Clinical nursing experience is a vital piece in the developmental process of the nursing student. The clinical instructor (CI) is an important part of the quality clinical experience for the student. They are charged with the task of aiding the student in transferring the knowledge learned in the classroom to the practice setting. Multiple studies have delved into the importance of the CIs influence on the student nurse's clinical experience and development, but gaps remain based on type of program and student demographic. The gap to be addressed in this

current study is a focus on a different student demographics and program type. Participants in this study were both basic and accelerated students with a varied age range. The purpose of this study was to see if additional or different traits and techniques of an effective CI

¹Cleveland State University, School of Nursing, OH, USA

Corresponding Author:

Joan E. Niederriter, Cleveland State University, 2121 Euclid Ave. IM 330, Cleveland, OH 44115, USA.
Email: j.niederriter@csuohio.edu



emerge. This current study identified multiple factors that impact the student experience with their CIs in the practice setting.

Literature Review

CIs are tasked with utilizing their combined clinical and teaching skills to help nursing students transfer knowledge acquired during lectures, lab, and simulations by applying this knowledge to the complex clinical situations found while performing the hands-on patient care in the practice setting (Norris, 1986). The CI is instrumental in helping the student in combining the use of cognitive, psychomotor, and affective skills needed to treat the client as the student moves from dependency on the teacher to that of an independent practitioner (Windsor, 1987). The CI plays a central role in student development by helping to create a conducive learning environment. Their teaching strategies are a critical piece in developing this environment and promoting learning in the practice setting (Gignac-Caille & Oermann, 2001). Tang, Choy, and Chaing (2005) identified personality characteristics, interpersonal relationship skills, professional competence, and teaching ability as strong indicators of effective CIs in their ability to perform the role to develop nursing students. Their results suggested that instructor attitude toward students was a crucial difference between effective and ineffective CIs.

A trusting relationship, defined as a developmental relationship in which the CI provides guidance and facilitates the student's learning as the student grows into a successful and productive nurse, has been found to be needed to facilitate an optimal emotional environment for student learning. This type of environment fosters a positive relationship between CIs and students. CIs then become coach, guide, helper, and advocate for students. To effectively serve in all of these roles, CIs must be able to combine proficient clinical skills and effective teaching abilities (Hou, Zhu, & Zheng, 2010).

A meta-analysis done by Parsh (2010) uncovered traits and techniques of an effective CI which play an essential role in maximizing a student's clinical educational experience. The author identified characteristics and five themes or attributes of a CI that aids the student in acquiring knowledge, hands-on skills, and decision-making skills in the practice setting. These are personality, teaching ability, evaluation, nursing competence, and interpersonal relationships. The purpose of this current study is to uncover additional or different traits and techniques of the effective CI based on a different student demographic and program type.

CIs play an important role in the overall clinical experience of nursing students and in assisting them to develop the knowledge and skills of novice professional nurses. To search for the qualities of a CI that either aid

or hinder this preparation, nursing students provided their perceptions of their clinical experiences.

Methods

Design

A phenomenological approach using three open-ended questions was used for this study. The phenomenological approach allowed students to use their lived experience in identifying characteristics of an effective CI. Evaluation of data involved a systematic review of data. The data were constantly compared at increasing levels of abstraction, searching for main themes. The goal was to identify the main themes of participants and how they perceived their experiences.

Research Questions

1. What do you identify as characteristics of an effective clinical instructor?
2. What are the differences you identify between an effective versus an ineffective clinical instructor?
3. What differences did you notice between the clinical instructor you felt was effective as compared to the clinical instructor you felt was less effective?

Institutional Review Board Statement

This study was approved by the university institutional review board.

Sampling and Procedures

This study used purposive sampling in which students were asked to volunteer to be participants. Inclusion criteria for participants were junior or senior level and completion of at minimum three clinical rotations in the nursing program. All 14 participants were Bachelor of Science (BSN) students at the same urban university with an age range of 21 to 40 years. Of these volunteer participants, 3 were male and 11 female. The delineation as to program type is as follows, two participants were in the accelerated option in which they finished their BSN in 16 months, while the other 12 participants were in the basic traditional 3-year nursing track (see Table 1). Informed consent was reviewed with each student individually and signed before starting the interview. Participants were informed that the study was voluntary and they could stop at any time without penalty or consequence. Each of the participants was interviewed and audiotaped separately by one of the nurse researchers on the university campus in a private office with each interview lasting between 30 to 45 min. The audiotapes were

Table 1. Demographic Information of Participants.

Participants	Gender	Cohort
2	Female	Accelerated Option senior
7	Female	Basic BSN Program senior
2	Female	Basic BSN Program junior
3	Male	Basic BSN Program senior

Note. BSN = Bachelor of Science in Nursing.

transcribed verbatim and then tapes were deleted. The transcripts (with all identifying information removed) were kept in the principle investigator's locked office in a locked drawer where these will be kept for 3 years then destroyed.

Data Analysis

Data collection and analysis were done concurrently until saturation was reached with repeat themes. All interview transcripts were transcribed verbatim and each interview was reviewed by three nurse researchers individually first and then by all three researchers together. All three nurse researchers have a medical surgical background and serve as CIs, two also teach didactic nursing courses. None of the participants were current students with any of the three nurse researchers during the study. Data were reviewed looking for common themes among the interviewees. An original list of 30 themes was found. Initially, the categories were broad. The themes became more specific as the data were further reviewed and analyzed using first, second, and third level coding. Level of abstraction was raised to reveal four major themes and four to five subthemes identified under each major theme.

Rigor

With this study, the initial research questions were clear and the design of the study was appropriate for the research goals. Each successive interview allowed for confirmation of previous findings and sometimes new themes were identified. With the list of themes, several participants were poled to see if the themes identified accurately reflected what they felt at the data collection time of the study. Participants agreed that their experiences were captured in the themes identified by the research team.

Results

The four major themes identified from the data were as follows: (a) *trusting relationship*, (b) *experience or knowledge*, (c) *coach*, and (d) *role model*. Participants identified these as important aspects of their clinical

experience. Data collected provided information about how students perceive their clinical experience and the role their CI has in that experience.

Trusting Relationship

Participants identified trusting relationship as one of the most important aspects of their clinical experience. Subthemes were as follows: *approachability*, *availability*, *clear expectations*, and *wanting to teach*.

Participants felt that an approachable instructor greatly enhanced the clinical learning experience and trust relationship. One participant stated, "A student needs to feel able to trust that your instructor is there to help you succeed and not to just shut you down every day." Another participant stated, "It is important to be able to trust them to be helpful and supportive so you have a good experience."

Being able to feel comfortable asking questions is important to students. One participant stated, "With my approachable instructor, I was comfortable asking her questions. This gave me a better understanding of everything and I was able to have a better learning experience." Another participant identified their best clinical experience as follows: "When the instructor made it clear they were there to help. They were there for you if you had any questions and that we are learning together."

One participant identified a negative experience that affected her in the clinical area. "I have had instructors who would make us feel stupid when we had interactions. Now, I am not learning because I am more afraid to ask them questions." Another participant stated,

There was an instructor who berated us in front of others, including patients, who talked down to us and made us feel like she was the boss and we were subservient. This was not conducive to learning, causing me to shut everything off and not learn at all.

Being able to feel like the CI is there to help them learn enhanced the clinical experience.

Participants also identified availability to help them on the unit as part of the trusting relationship. Five of the participants identified availability as an effective characteristic. They wanted both physical and emotional availability which was summed up by one participant when she states, "I need to be able to see you, be able to talk to you and be able to find you if I need you." Another participant shared the following experience: "When I had my first patient with a chest tube, my instructor was available to come in with me and do a brief overview with me so I felt I was giving safe care."

All 14 participants mentioned clear expectations as a way to improve learning and help build trust between

instructors and students. One participant stated, “An effective instructor lays out clear expectations at the beginning of the semester. That way you know what you are supposed to be working towards and gives you an idea as to where you are performance wise.” Clear expectations can help relieve some anxiety related to the clinical experience.

A majority of participants identified a desire to teach as an essential characteristic. This was reflected in several statements that were made. One participant stated, “My effective instructor was someone who obviously cared about teaching and wanted to be there.” Another participant stated, “The most important characteristic I feel is someone who is passionate about their work and is passionate about teaching the next generation of nurses.” Students need to see that their CI is interested in teaching them and wanting to help them do their best in the practice setting.

Coach

Participants identified the need for CIs to use coach techniques to assist with learning. The subthemes for this theme were as follows: *calm or patient, student advocate, critical thinking or quizzing*, and *constructive criticism*.

Participants stated that they want to learn as much as possible in the practice setting. They want someone who is calm and can help the students stay calm. One participant states, “Somebody who’s kind of calm and collected...but just somebody who can kind of keep it together and doesn’t lose it easily.” They also wanted a CI who was a student advocate and was looking out for them on the nursing unit. One participant stated, “...being an advocate for students...someone who can relate to the student experience.”

Findings revealed that most participants stated that they like to be challenged in the clinical area and they like being quizzed as part of the clinical day. A participant stated, “...making us think, making me use my critical thinking skills to come up with the answer, and pulling the information out of me. You make us think, critical thinking, asking the right questions to help us think.” This was an important part of the clinical experience. Participants wanted to be able to pull things together and figure out how labs, diagnostic tests, medications, and pathophysiology of the disease all fit together.

The last area under this major theme was constructive criticism. Participants did not mind being corrected. As a matter of fact, they want to be told if they were doing something wrong so they can correct themselves. How that feedback is given makes a difference in the student experience. One participant observed, “Be able to see where the students might be struggling and be able to offer some constructive criticism and teach them how to go about whatever it is that they are doing.”

Another participant shared a negative experience with a medication pass. The participant reported:

It was my very first time to draw up and pass insulin, my patient was diabetic. I couldn’t remember cloudy/clear, you know, that whole process, and I was nervous. Um, her personality was such that it kind of made everyone on edge...She brought me to tears cause I thought I don’t know this, I’m never going to—she really made me feel like this was the end all, like I didn’t belong there if I didn’t know this... So, as you can imagine, unfortunately that was fairly early on in the semester, so that was a- it created a complete block between us.

CIs need students to be safe, but if they criticize students harshly, it can cause the student to be afraid to approach them for other issues that may be more life threatening.

Experience or Knowledge

Participants felt that the CI had to have experience and knowledge in the area they were teaching clinical in order to be an effective instructor. The subthemes were *specialty experience, competent, debriefing*, and *validation of assessment*.

One item brought up by participants was CIs teaching in areas that were not their specialty. One participant shared her experience with her CI in OB stating, “During the C-section, because she is very knowledgeable...she explained to me everything that was going on and that was really good.” CIs who shared their experiences with their students provided a memorable learning opportunity.

Participants stated that the CI needs to be competent in the skills performed on the unit. A participant reported, “being competent themselves in their own skills...I didn’t know if she was not confident in her skills but she never showed us how to do anything.” If students do not feel confident in their CI, it is hard for them to feel comfortable in the clinical area. If the instructor does not “appear” to know what to do, it is uncomfortable for the student.

Debriefing is another aspect identified by participants as a helpful technique used by effective CIs. This can be on the clinical unit after a procedure or during post conference when students can express their feelings about what happened on the nursing unit. One participant provided the following related to post conference:

I do like that we go around the table and say how was your day. It gives the students an opportunity to say, you know, my med pass today was a disaster, you know and to talk about that. Why was it a disaster, let’s review the process, what did you feel went wrong here, this is what

I saw that went wrong, what can we do in the future, you know, just reviewing the students product.

According to this participant, the debriefing allowed them to look at the process and review the nursing skill related to a med pass. Debriefing allows for student reflection and helps with application, critical thinking, and understanding the nursing process.

The last subtheme in this area is that of validation of assessment. One example given by a participant was described as follows: "Mini prep before I do anything, let me go through step by step of what I'm going to do, a quick rundown, like the teach back method." Another participant gave the following different example regarding this subtheme,

They would explain a situation to me or the class and then we would actually go out and do it with coaching at the same time, you know, this is how we do it and then we would go in there and do it and have a positive coaching experience.

Students wanted to have someone validate that what they were doing was correct.

Role Model

All participants felt that the CI should be a role model for students and demonstrate the same qualities that the students were being asked to emulate. The subthemes for this theme were the following: *organized*, *professional*, *socialized to the unit*, and *ability to multitask*.

Participants wanted someone who was organized. One participant stated,

Clinical instructors who were effective, I feel like, kind of had to do with experience; like they were comfortable in their roles, that they were able to take care of 16 patients at a time and knew everything that was going on.

Students feel that if they are asked to be organized in their work, then their instructor needs to be demonstrating the same work ethic.

The next subtheme is that of being professional. Students want a CI who demonstrates nursing professionalism. A participant stated the following: "...really like (instructors) to have...a professional manner." Another participant stated,

[Instructors]...do what they say they are going to do, going to be where they tell us. They hold us to very high expectations, as does the School of Nursing (SON), so I feel that when the instructor [is] leading by example.

Participants also want the CI to be socialized to the unit. The students felt that the CI should know how the unit runs, where to find information, and where students should look for information. A participant stated, "The instructors that would be able to navigate socially on the floors made us more welcome and made the nurses more welcome to us where other instructors who didn't have that were not as welcoming on the floor environment."

The final subtheme is the ability to multitask. The students felt that the CI needed to know what was going on with the patients and to be able to multitask and identify when something was urgent. One participant stated,

being available when I need something- and I know that isn't always possible but you can tell some clinical instructors know how to prioritize. If there is something that needs to be addressed right now, they will drop what they are doing and come and help you with something especially something that has to do with patient safety. That's awesome.

Discussion

This current study explored the perceptions of nursing students on what characteristics make up an effective CI based on a different student demographic and program type. Participants in this study were both basic and accelerated students with a varied age range. The goal was to identify several major themes that can be generalized as effective characteristics for any CI to incorporate. Some of the characteristics and themes identified in this study are consistent with previous research done on this topic. New characteristics identified as an effective CI by this research group include: the role of the coach, the debriefing process, being organized, and exhibiting professional behavior.

Trusting Relationship

Study participants identified trusting relationship as a characteristic needed to be an effective CI. They felt that CIs needed to be approachable and available to the students in the clinical setting. They stated that when they were comfortable with their CI, they felt they could ask them questions and get direction in their learning.

The subthemes of approachability and availability were consistent with findings in the literature. Kristofferzon, Martensson, Mamhidir, and Lofmak (2013) surveyed 107 Swedish nursing students and discovered supportive behaviors by the CI were rated high among these students. Tang, Chou, and Chaing (2005)

found that effective instructors were identified as treating students sincerely, objectively, and as “people with thought and wisdom” (p. 190). Gillespie (2002) and Hovland (2011) both identified students who wanted support by their CIs in the clinical area and had positive outcomes for students’ learning experiences.

The current literature supports the student comments about approachability and availability as being important and allowing the students to feel comfortable in their learning. This study revealed two additional subthemes of clear expectations and wanting to teach. The students stated that CIs who used these two subthemes in the practice setting augmented their ability to learn.

Coach

Participants felt CIs should assist students in the learning process. Part of this learning was helping students develop critical thinking skills. Students expressed that the purpose of clinical time was to help them “put the pieces” together by asking them questions about the patients for whom they were caring.

Hsu (2006) found that an important teaching strategy was to question students to help guide their learning. Allison-Jones and Hirt (2004) discovered that students wanted instructors who helped them learn how to problem-solve and develop decision-making skills. Phillips and Duke (2001) looked at the questioning skills of clinical teachers and how they impacted the development of critical thinking skills in students. They found that although CIs asked a great number of questions overall and at high cognitive levels, they needed to increase the number of these questions to better aid the students’ critical thinking skill development. Students in this study felt that they needed to be challenged in the clinical area and were grateful to CIs who could do this effectively.

Constructive criticism was identified as an essential characteristic. Students want to do better, but want criticism that is constructive and helps them develop as a nurse. Sharif and Masoumi (2005) found that CIs play a vital role in helping the student develop self-confidence and clinical competence. These researchers stated that the CI needs to have an evaluative role as well as that of teaching. Parsh (2010) discovered CIs who were able to identify areas that need improvement and provide suggestions to help the student progress in a way that put negative things (issues, skills and knowledge that the student needs to improve) in a positive manner was valued by the students, as opposed to the CI who put down or demeaned the student. This current study finding is consistent with literature in regard to critical thinking or quizzing and constructive criticism. However, the findings support the need for calm or patient interactions that the CI be a student advocate within the practice setting.

Experience or Knowledge

Participants felt it was important for CIs to have knowledge and experience in the clinical area they are teaching. They also wanted instructors who were familiar with the patient population and disease processes that they were seeing in the practice setting so the CI could share their experience and skills. Another aspect of CI experience was using debriefing and post conference time to review the day. This was time to allow students to discuss their day, both challenges and successes, with their fellow students. It was a time for discussing what could have been done better on the unit or with the care of a patient.

Megel, Nelson, Black, Vogel and Uphoff (2013) stated that the role of the CI is to help students to understand and find meaning in their clinical experiences. Morgan and Knox (1987) found that faculty and students perceived “best” clinical teachers as “skilled clinicians who took responsibility for their own actions” (p. 333). Hsu (2006) found that CIs clinical knowledge is important to students’ success. Parsh (2010) identified CI’s who had experience in the type of nursing being taught and who could tell of past experiences or scenarios that helped to teach the desired concept. In addition, they were able to explain how and why the instructor did what they did and what the students’ could do to improve their performance.

This current study was consistent with current literature in regard to specialty experience and competence. New findings of this study included the importance of using post conference as a debriefing opportunity. Validation of assessments was identified by students’ as an important part of their learning process.

Role Model

Participants identified being a role model as another essential characteristic. Instructors who are organized in their work and understand what is going on with each patient assigned to students was viewed as effective in the practice setting. Students felt another characteristic of an effective CI was someone who was socialized to the unit and was a link between class, lab, simulation, and the clinical experience.

Research has shown that students want instructors who demonstrate positive values and characteristics of nurses (Sharif & Masoumi, 2005; Tang, Chow, & Chiang, 2005). Dunn and Hansford (1997) found that there was also a link between the students and staff. Students felt instructors who could negotiate the environment and were familiar with the staff made it easier for students to be involved on the unit (Dunn & Hansford, 1997).

This current study was consistent with literature in regard to professional behavior, socialized to the practice setting, and organized in managing of students. An additional subtheme identified in this current study was the

ability to multitask which participants felt was a characteristic of an effective CI.

Limitations

When interpreting the results of this study, several limitations should be considered. First, these are experiences of students from one university. Although the experiences of the participants were with many different instructors, influences from the university nursing program may play a part in the experiences of the participants. Second, the majority of students were from the basic nursing track. It may be helpful to compare experiences between the accelerated nursing students with those of the basic nursing students to see if their expectations are different. Third, students volunteered for this single study. It would be helpful to expand this study to other program tracks of the baccalaureate nursing program and in different practice settings.

Implications for Nursing Practice

The findings in this study suggest that students have specific expectations of CIs in facilitating their learning experience between classroom and the practice area. Participants in this study identified specific characteristics they believe to be important for a CI to display. These are *trusting relationship, coach, experience or knowledge, and role model*. One challenge with nursing faculty is that a number of instructors come to academia with knowledge and skills related to nursing but not much background in adult learning or effective teaching techniques.

Understanding the findings from this research can help nursing programs educate clinical faculty to be effective CIs. This can be done in several ways. The first is by educating CIs in effective teaching methods for the practice area. This can be done by working one-on-one in the practice area, or by pairing a more seasoned instructor with a newer CI. The seasoned instructor can demonstrate effective teaching methods to the new CI. The seasoned instructor can also observe the new faculty during a clinical day to provide clarification and constructive feedback on the teaching methods implemented by the new CI. Second, there can be a mentor assigned to all new CIs. This person would be available to answer questions and provide clarification, feedback, and direction for the new CI throughout the school year. A third option might be to develop a one-day workshop on what characteristics comprise an effective CI. This could be done each semester prior to the start of each rotation. This would provide support to new and part-time faculty and help them develop a teaching skill set for the practice setting.

Summary

The relationship between a CI and nursing student can have a major impact on the student learning experience. It is postulated among experts in nursing education that the CI is pivotal to this process. This research project arose from a strong interest to assist CIs to improve their effectiveness and thereby improve the learning outcomes of nursing students. This was done using a phenomenological qualitative method to explore the lived experience of nursing students regarding their various experiences in the clinical setting with their CIs. The findings of this study add to the current knowledge of CIs, theories about adult education, students' experiences in the practice area, and student expectations of CIs. Assisting and supporting CIs in their role by identifying the characteristics and teaching strategies that are effective for nursing students' learning in the practice area produces a better, more prepared student and can help provide a smoother transition into the nursing profession.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Allison-Jones, L. L., & Hirt, J. B. (2004). Comparing the teaching effectiveness of part-time and full-time clinical nurse faculty. *Nursing Education Perspectives, 25*(5), 238–243.
- Dunn, S. V., & Hansford, B. (1997). Undergraduate nursing students' perceptions of their clinical learning environment. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 25*, 1299–1306.
- Gignac-Caille, A., & Oermann, M. (2001). Student and faculty perceptions of effective clinical instructors in ADN Programs. *Journal of Nursing Education, 40*(8), 347–353.
- Gillespie, M. (2002). Student-teacher connection in clinical nursing education. *Journal of Advance Nursing, 37*(6), 566–576.
- Hou, X., Zhu, D., & Zheng, M. (2010). Clinical nursing faculty competence inventory-development and psychometric testing. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 67*(5), 1109–1117. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2648.2010.05520.x
- Hovland, O. J. (2011). Together in supervision: Nurse students' experiences. A pilot study. *International Journal for Human Caring, 15*(4), 33–39.
- Hsu, L. (2006). An analysis of clinical teacher behavior in a nursing practicum in Taiwan. *Journal of Clinical Nursing, 15*, 619–628.
- Kristofferzon, M., Martensson, G., Mamhidir, A., & Lofmark, A. (2013). Nursing students' perceptions of clinical supervision: The contributions of preceptors, head preceptors and clinical lecturers. *Nurse Education Today, 33*, 1252–1257.

- Megel, M. E., Nelson, A. E., Black, J., Bogel, J., & Uphoff, M. (2013). A comparison of student and faculty perceptions of clinical post-conference learning environment. *Nurse Education Today, 33*, 525–529.
- Morgan, J., & Knox, J. E. (1987). Characteristics of ‘best’ and ‘worst’ clinical teachers as perceived by university nursing faculty and students. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 12*, 331–337.
- Norris, J. (1986). Teaching communication skills: Effects of two methods of instruction and selected learner characteristics. *Journal of Nursing Education, 25*(3), 102–106.
- Parsh, B. (2010). Characteristics of effective simulated clinical experience instructors: Interviews with undergraduate nursing students. *Journal of Nursing Education, 49*(10), 569–571.
- Phillips, N., & Duke, M. (2001). The questioning skills of clinical teachers and preceptors: A comparative study. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 33*(4), 523–529.
- Sharif, F., & Masoumi, S. (2005). A qualitative study of nursing student experiences of clinical practice. *BMC Nursing, 4*, 6.
- Tang, F., Chow, S., & Chiang, H. (2005). Student’s perceptions of effective and ineffective clinical instructors. *Journal of Nursing Education, 44*(4), 187–192.
- Windsor, A. (1987). Nursing student’s perceptions of clinical experience. *Journal of Nursing Education, 26*(4), 150–154.