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On Saturday, November 16th, the S.B.A. held a meeting that was monumental in its length if not its importance. The meeting began at about 10:00 a.m. and limped on until about 1:45 p.m. The most significant matters on the agenda were the budget requests by the various student organizations. This year S.B.A. has a total budget of $15,960.

This money comes from your student fees, goes to the University and is eventually filtered back to S.B.A., although reduced in size substantially by the time it returns. Probably, the chief function of this S.B.A. is to loose money. Any money that is not allocated or not spent after being allocated returns to the University for them to do as they please. (Perhaps, an extra steak dinner for Walter Westum.) So it becomes essential that S.B.A. use up all that money. With that in mind, what follows are the allocations:

**SBA Budget Explained**

BY TED MECKLER

Each individual organization also has a breakdown of its budget but I'd rather not bore you further. If interested, you can get the breakdown from the S.B.A., the GAVEL probably from each individual organization.

**Although budgetary considerations took up most of the time other business was also considered and passed upon. A law student directory, to cost approximately $250 was approved. It is to be distributed free of charge to the student body. Most of the debate centered around the right to privacy. (From wherefor does it cost approximately $250?"

In his speech entitled "Black Enterprise: Success or Failure?" Mr. Whiting stated on the definitions as to whether Black Enterprises has been a success of failure. He stated it was important for us now to take a step back and see where we are.

The present thrust of the government programmers to "no" to Black People. This caused many Blacks to enter businesses that had no hope of success. There have been successful Black Businesses, but they have been realistically blacked. Mr. Whiting proposed abandoning and modifying many of the present programs while concentrating on the successful ones. He also believed Black Businesses should get loans from banks instead of the government. Banks will not lend money to businesses doomed to failure.

In addition, there was a reluctance on the part of the government programmers to "no" to Black People. This caused many Blacks to enter businesses that had no hope of success. There have been successful Black Businesses, but they have been realistically blacked. Mr. Whiting proposed abandoning and modifying many of the present programs while concentrating on the successful ones. He also believed Black Businesses should get loans from banks instead of the government. Banks will not lend money to businesses doomed to failure.

In short, the subject is important for the future Black Attorney to consider.

In a few years we will have the history of the Black Entrepreneurs problems, and also will have money to invest in his business. Some meaningful discussion and study on the subject, now, could make Black Entrepreneurs successful in the future.

**Late**

James D. Fellers, president of the American Bar Association, said that entrepreneurs should provide free legal service to needy senior citizens.

Suggesting that the program be called "LATE" (Legal Assistance for the Elderly), Mr. Fellers said that it would become a part of America's "saddest and most neglected minorities."
The big question which a number of people ask me is: "What is the S.B.A. doing?" In parentheses, if not overtly, we can add "for me" to that question. And again in parentheses, if not overtly, the fall quarter is at an end. What has transpired during that time?

Some evaluations of classes and professors are held at the end of the final quarter, and perhaps, as a result of S.B.A. efforts. In parentheses, if not overtly, we can add "for me" to that question. And again in parentheses, if not overtly, the fall quarter is at an end. What has transpired during that time?

If you have a choice of professors to choose from, the comments of people who have actually had the course can be extremely valuable in helping you make that decision. Additionally, and perhaps more importantly, the same evaluations are used by the administration as one source of input into decisions about who shall receive tenure, who will be given a raise, etc. This is one place where the communication gap can be closed but it takes a little in-class time and a little effort on your part. (If you have complaints about the objective form used, you are invited to submit your name to me for inclusion on a committee to review the existing objective form.) I am certain that the majority of faculty members would be happy to have a form which does a better job of objectively evaluating their performance and the constitution of the courses they teach - most faculty members are open-minded to some degree and most would like to improve their teaching and their courses. Given constructive criticism and a better form, things could improve!

If you haven't been to the basement lately - within the last month or so - you may be unaware that there are almost 200 more lockers for student use. While most of them are book lockers, some are still empty. All you need is a key, and as a result of S.B.A. efforts, we are working to get more coat lockers, but results don't seem imminent.

To be on the scene shortly is a directory of all law students - with current addresses and phone numbers. Watch for it! Also, coming soon will be a "Happy Hour," similar to the one held recently in operation at C.W.R.U. Law School. Participation will be on an opt-in basis with membership open to all people of legal age and particularly for our law students and faculty. Watch for signs giving full details of how you can get in on this event.

The S.B.A. Speakers Program got off to an auspicious start this fall with F. Lee Bailey and James McCord as its keynote speakers. Also, the Speaker's Program picked up the tab for the Surveillance Seminar organized by The Lawyer's Guild. We were able to offer firm commitment from an extremely well known and controversial individual for an extremely brief presentation. Attendance at that event will be ticket only - free tickets will be available in the S.B.A. office at a time to be announced later. That individual will not be named until the time we get a signed contract from him, as he wants us to make every effort to keep advance publicity to a minimum. We are making every effort to see that at least part of the remaining speakers on this year's program will be scheduled at a time when students who don't leave work until 5 p.m. can attend.

While this is by no means a comprehensive list of those things the S.B.A. has done / is doing this year, it does give the flavor of what we're trying to do. I have been very pleased with the time and effort which the elected S.B.A. Senators and Officers have put forth thus far this year, and I think that we will go a long way toward becoming the representative service organization that we should be, assuming this effort continues. We welcome criticism. (Lost our heads yet too swollen). If you have any, see your elected S.B.A. Senator. Otherwise, see the entrance to the student lounge, or, as a last resort, see me. Don't be too burdensome if you want to help improve whatever situation you have criticized, however.

It seems to me many people are overlooking the principle of Cause and Effect. They are using up their time and energy trying to make the effect of the system a little less severe. Seems like the logical thing to do is to eliminate the cause. We each have choices here. We can work on eliminating the cause, we can spend our energy trying to repair the damage that the system is constantly doing or we can be like sheep and continue to follow these leaders.

It isn't the super-rich who are the cause. The ownership myth allows people to own land. This planet was here long before any, see your elected S.B.A. Senator. Otherwise, see the entrance to the student lounge, or, as a last resort, see me. Don't be too burdensome if you want to help improve whatever situation you have criticized, however.

Changing the System

The following comes from Ernest Mann of The Little Free Press, 715 E. 14 Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55404).

There seem to be a need to go into any description of the negative world economic-political system. We are all becoming increasingly aware of this.

It seems to me many people are overlooking the principle of Cause and Effect. They are using up their time and energy trying to make the effect of the system a little less severe. Seems like the logical thing to do is to eliminate the cause. We each have choices here. We can work on eliminating the cause, we can spend our energy trying to repair the damage that the system is constantly doing or we can be like sheep and continue to follow these leaders.

It isn't the super-rich who are the cause. The ownership myth allows people to own and accumulate property. A small accumulation of property gives one a small amount of power over just a few people. Ownership of huge amounts of property gives one huge power over many people. Seems unnatural to give anyone control of our lives. As long as we allow ownership we will have super-powerful peoples exerting control. Either through individuals and corporations as in the USA or through their puppets in government as in Socialist and Communist countries where the government owns industry and land. The ownership myth can be eradicated if the rest of us acknowledge it. When we decide that the myth is obsolete we will then eliminate the cause.

When everyone understands this fact we will quickly switch over to the sharing cooperating system.

So it looks like all we have to do now is to teach people the Free Market system. We have the means and they will teach also.

Ownership is not part of nature. It is an invention of man. No person has any natural right to own land. This planet was here long before man. Man did not create it. We were all born on this planet. That gives us each the right to use this planet to live on and to eat the food that grows here.

It looks like this sharing, instead of allowing ownership, would put all people on an equal level of rights to life. When we take a look around our country and the world we will see that government ownership is not any different than corporate or private ownership. The people still have to pay to use the earth. And some people still seem to control things. This doesn't seem necessary any more to me. I think we are smart enough to find a way to share this planet.
theater review

BY AL S.B. TOKELESS

Karamu Theater is presenting two one-act plays under the title American Night Scene. Each play is a study of the Black man's encounter in a white world. The first play deals with a man who has been committed by a court to a mental institution for a psychiatric study. (Law students will note that such things do happen.) His examiner is Dr. Goldberg who is Jewish but "not religious." These two characters, in this situation, provide a crucible for experiencing the Jewish-Black conflict, Black-White and Law or Justice controversies with which our society is confronted. The acting was better than adequate, but the character of the doctor seemed shallow as compared to the patient and thus tend to be somewhat distracting.

The second play is seemingly a nightmare. A woman dies alone in her apartment, is she black or white? What was lost in the furor was the Pope's reply, "I doa playa da game."

Marijuana use may cause brain damage. So says a prominent Tulane University researcher. The experiment involved a group of rhesus monkeys who were fed 2 kilograms of marijuana's active ingredient THC per day and 1 kilogram of rag-zag rolling papers per day. At the end of two years the monkeys appeared sluggish, listless and bored.

News in Briefs

BY RICH MUSAT (AREETUS ARIGHTUS)

Marijuana may cause brain damage. So says a prominent Tulane University researcher. The experiment involved a group of rhesus monkeys who were fed 2 kilograms of marijuana's active ingredient THC per day and 1 kilogram of rag-zag rolling papers per day. At the end of two years the monkeys appeared sluggish, listless and bored.

JANIE BERGER
Quilts, Paintings, Drawings

LINDA CLEVE
Hand Blown Glass

WOMEN'S CITY CLUB
WOMEN'S FEDERAL BUILDING
320 SUPERIOR AVENUE
Parking in Building

DECEMBER 15 to JANUARY 22

Gallery Hours
Monday thru Friday
9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

Opening Reception
December 15, 3:00 - 5:00

Appa Zappa Zippo

BY RICH MUSAT (AREETUS ARIGHTUS)

My face cringed in agony as the news came from the Radio, "The Frank Zappa Concert is cancelled. Refunds may be . . ." Oh No! Since puberty I've listened to the Mothers, dreamed of Suzy Creamcheese and tried to imitate Frank in the full-length mirror in my bedroom. Despondent and wretched with hate of the newfound snow that caused this mess, I turned on the stereo to listen to King Kong, my favorite Zappa tune. The day went by slowly.

Hot Rats! Zappa is rescheduled. It's a new world. What can you think about a 32 year old guy from California who has nothin' to proffer but his latest top fifty hit, Dynamo Hum. Hmmmm.

The lights are dim. The sweet smell of dope is not lingering in the air but is actually oppressive. Reekers everywhere. Zappa appears and the music begins. Ruth Underwood shakily accosts the assortment of percussion instruments arranged around her as Frank proceeds to bellow the complete libretto to the song Stinkfoot.

The crowd is rocking in a melodic, orgasmic motion as the Mothers burst into an old standby, Dog Breath in the Year of the Plague. One's imagination can only envision '57 Chevies, with fuzzy dice hanging from the rear view mirrors, cruisin' for burgers.

The Home Stretch

BY CHRIS STANLEY

Well I have gone to my last class in law school (I hope). There is no feeling of exhilaration because I still must endure a week of exams before I am finished with law school (now that brings a feeling of exhilaration). However, I do feel good about being through with classes because the longer you are in law school, the longer and more boring classes become. Having lasted through my last year, I am more convinced than ever that at least the last year in law school should be spent out in the practice of law. Once I had learned what I consider core law (criminal law, contracts, etc.) I was too busy to practice law but I had no avenue to do this (except for the Clinic) so I spent my last year in courses which didn't hold my attention because of the itch, which repeated such law as equal protection, criminal and civil procedure, landlord tenant law, etc. To aggravate this situation is the fact that most classes are too large, the seats are inadequate and the acoustics lousy. I doubt if good thing I can say now is that this is all in the past for me. For everyone else, you will soon realize that everything gets worse.
Interview

Barbara Mitchell

If you honestly feel that you must talk with someone on the faculty or staff at CM, and that if you do not your legal education will be of little value, and you want the answers to the questions you have, rather than the questions you are given, answered honestly, and you do not feel guilty of enjoying yourself, then go see Barbara Mitchell, Staff Attorney and lecturer of the sex discrimination clinic.

Ms. Mitchell is direct, a rare quality at this school. She walked into the GAVEL office at 4:45 pm., the day before the paper goes to the printer and said, "I am to be interviewed." "OK," I said, "What shall I ask you?" "We could talk about my position here. Right now, a good part of the work I do is related to the Women's Law Fund. I'm involved in cases with which students will work the next two quarters. I really would like to do more actual litigation but much of my time is spent in organization for the clinic."

"Litigation involving constitutional questions is not only exciting, but intellectually challenging (actually I don't like that phrase). It's a new area and we are testing it."

Mitchell is well qualified to do her work. I will omit names but state she has a typical background for a twenty-seven year old white, middle-class female. She went to your typical high school, then to your typical college, where she did typically very well. She took the typical year off to travel, married your typical medical student, entered your typical law school.

It was at this point that she began to develop her atypical consciousness.

"Out of a desire to develop my own self awareness, get over fundamental stereotypes and relate better to others, I began to experience with consciousness - raising groups.

Mitchell looks very young and as a result is on the receiving end of much patronizing conversation. She hates it.

There are a lot of bored people in this school and I count myself among them. It's too bad because bored people are boring people and boring people just bore other people. The Romans called it Ad Boredum Infinum.

Maybe you don't believe me, but you can easily believe my friend Sidney Cherkoff. Cherkoff is in his third year. Two years ago he was the biggest go-getter you ever saw. At that time he could organize a crowded elevator in two floors. Once when the elevator went six floors he had the passengers exchange phone numbers on leaving.

It was in class that Cherkoff was brilliant. He was always talking. It might not be common knowledge but it was Sid Cherkoff who introduced the Personal Experience to the classroom. Not only did he have a comment for everything but his presence encouraged others to join in.

"Litigation involving dynamite lawyers - but it's just a show for class. Classes! I hate them! Look at the people in class. Some sit and stare and the rest stare and sit. The goddamn clock is the biggest attraction! And that's not...

"Cherkoff," I interrupted. "Calm down, OK? What do you think of making law school just two years?"

"What do you mean make it just two years? It is two years! The third year is just boredom, it's not school. But yeah, they ought to make it official. This is so boring, such a waste..."

By this time Cherkoff was quite loud. He had attracted a few onlookers.

"I'm not the only one either. Of the people I know pretty well, and remember I know a lot of people, 100% are bored silly. Some make a good show of it -- you know they're going to be bored! Bored! Bored!?

But that time is over. Sid shuns classes and when he does go he sits to the side and stares into space. I saw him in front of the library recently. We sort of mumbled to one another for a few minutes and then I asked why he was changed. His eyes lit up and the words poured out of his mouth.

"Why? Because I'm bored! Bored! I'm dying. Look, I gave it my all for two years but now I'm burned out. And do you think I get help here? None whatsoever! These classes drive me out of my mind. Crazy. Do you understand? Crazy."

"Why? Because I'm bored! Bored? I'm dying. Look, I gave it my all for two years but now I'm burned out. And do you think I get help here? None whatsoever! These classes drive me out of my mind. Crazy. Do you understand? Crazy."

At this, I walked away. I'll tell you, just talking about boredom is boring.

coming next month

In the next issue of the Winter Quarter GAVEL, See: William Kunstler's Summarization at the Wounded Knee Trial, How to Get Food Stamps, What Howard Oleck confided to the GAVEL, Which Bar Review Course is for you, The Peoples' College of Law, How to get an actual (no fooling) deal. All in the GAVEL. On your ledges in January.

One of these men conducted the most brutal scheme of serial bombardment and human extermination in world history. The other was swept into office in a flood of nationalism, and was loved by millions. Can you tell them apart?