State of the Sea

by Carey N. Gordon

On February 1-2, 1975, I had the privilege of attending a conference in Boston sponsored by the American Society of International Law and the status of the law of the sea. As the Canadian representative noted, the meeting of diplomats from Kenya to Japan was another stop on the international road show known as the Law of the Sea Conference. The Road Show began last summer in Caracas, will continue through the spring in Geneva, and will conclude in Caracas this summer. The importance of establishing international consensus on the delimitation of national jurisdiction relates to the conservation and exploitation of fish, off-shore oil, deep-sea mining (e.g. of manganese nodules), pollution, and the status of straits, archipelagoes, and semi-enclosed seas (those which open into an ocean). Improved technology and increased demand for natural resources have brought the problem of establishing international consensus to the forefront of international concern. More than once during the Boston meeting it was mentioned that "the future of mankind depends upon the status of the law of the sea."

Committee of 1000 passes

At their meeting of March 3, the S.B.A. adopted the Committee of 1000 proposal, an early draft of which was printed in the Cavel last term. The proposal is, in effect, a plan to expand the S.B.A. membership to include all interested students and, hopefully, to expand and elevate the role that body plays in student affairs. The resolution establishing the Committee of 1000 will be printed in the next issue. Also note: The article entitled "Committee of 1000" which appeared in the last issue was not truly authored by Bruce Wick as the by-line indicated. It was rather a report from the S.B.A. Committee.

But, as a personal observation, from one outside the diplomatic arena, it seemed to me that these words were usually said without enthusiasm. Indeed, I was surprised at the lack of argumentation in the presentations by most of the representatives.

Here then is the status of agreement on a few topics as I understand them to be. There is a general consensus in favor of a 12 mile territorial sea through which the coastal state would have exclusive jurisdiction subject to innocent passage and fly-overs of other sovereigns. However, this consensus on the breadth of the territorial sea is (to a number of nations) conditional on the acceptance of an additional 288 mile economic zone. Thus far the rights of coastal States in the economic zone are underdetermined, ranging from what amounts to exclusive jurisdiction by the coastal state (i.e. sanctioning a 200 mile territorial sea), to lesser specified rights relating to fishing privileges, mining, and pollution control. The right of other powers to engage in scientific research within the economic zone, and the benefits to accrue to the coastal state from such research, are undetermined. Because the greatest percentage of sea pollution is from coastal sources, there is general agreement that it is the coastal state in the first instance that must regulate its polluters.

CSU stance on Family Privacy Act

BY JOHN RICHILANO

The recently passed Family Privacy Act, effective Jan. 1, 1975, deals with a student's right of access to records kept by the school, and such student's right of denial of access to such records by others.

"Records" protected under the Act include all educational records, files, documents, and other materials which (1) contain information directly related to the student, and (2) are maintained by a feature college, or university office. The term does not include administrative records of faculty or staff, or medical and counseling records kept by university "professionals and para professionals which are used in treatment or counseling with the eligible student." "Eligible student" under the Act means any student formerly or presently enrolled at the university.

60 ATTEND NLG REGIONAL CONFERENCE

The Cleveland chapter of the NLG staged a highly successful Midwest Regional Conference last Feb. 28 thru Mar. 2 at the Euclid Ave. Congregational Church.

The agenda included a panel presentation by six speakers of various stripes. They were George Edwards, Bank and File Steelworker, Brother Diablo, Black Unity House, Hollo Bartimore, author of "Point of View," Valerie Robinson and Nancy Handley from "What She Wants," Minnie Player, Welfare Rights organizer, and Dave Kohler from the local VFW. This program was attended by well over 100 people.

Saturday morning, the 60 lawyers, law students, and legal workers from Detroit, Columbus, Cincinnati, Pittsburgh, Buffalo, Akron and Cleveland participated in Chapter and Regional Reports. The afternoon was devoted to programatic workshops in Jail condition suits, child custody cases, tenant organizing and organizing the unemployed. The workshops were followed by a plenary discussion on politics and the practice of law. A feature Midwest presentation followed Saturday Evening. The Palestinian side was presented by Abeer Jabara, a Detroit Lawyer, and a film. Bernard Yanovitz, a Cleveland attorney, articulated the Israeli point of view. Pointed discussion on the sensitive issue followed. To cap off the evening, a lively party helped vent frustrations and warm friendships.
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"Get off this estate." "What for?" "Because its mine." "Where did you get it?" "From my father." "And where did he get it?" "He fought for it." "Well, I'll fight you for it." - Carl Sandburg "Private Property"
THE REMORSE CODE

An Editorial of sorts

Sooner or later everyone from nations on down to individuals feels sorry about something. We all have disagreements. We all let our goats be gotten. And so we all say regrettable things. Things like: "Love it or leave it." Or, "Why don't you go back where you came from?" Or, "Some of my best friends are (Jewish, Black, Catholic, etc.)."

Likewise, we all do regrettable things. Things like: inventing the motorcar and then using it. Or, [][]

Likewise, we all do regrettable things. Things like: inventing the motorcar and then using it. Or, inventing the factory, publicly spilling all that inflammatory ramblings produced by so-called "literate" beings who are bent on saving the world before the publication of the next issue. The real tragedy is that not only do we compensate the Editors of the Gavel, but that we also subsidize its publication.

Shades of Spiro Agnewish! This is intentional! The Editors of the Gavel readily admitted to this writer that they didn't check the factual validity of the articles they print. In fact, they told this writer that they never bother to report both sides of an argument. "It's too much work," they said.

The Editors of the Gavel are paid, I guess it's just that "birds of a feather flock together." In this case the feather may be different, but the song is still the same. It's like George Washington Plunkit used to say, "I see my opportunities, and I took 'em."

Ed. Note

We are constrained by Student Publications Policy [item IV e] to limit mention of "prior to-faculty-editor" to explanation. We feel portions of Mr. Stein's article warrant some "explanation." First, what one's opinion is of the GAVEL, we are compensated at least for the time required to put it out - equivalent to a substantial part-time job.

Cont'd p. 6

[End of document]
This letter is in response to the malicious and unfounded accusations direct toward Mr. Sheldon Stein in the last issue of the Gavel. If the reportorial inaccuracy were not so personally damaging to Mr. Stein, Mr. Meckler's comments should be brushed aside and perhaps attributed to an ingrown chin hair that reached up and strangled his brain. Whether or not you agree with the John Dean appearance, and there are valid arguments on both positions, Mr. Stein has demonstrated the capability of getting things done. This is a quality that is painfully lacking in the rest of our resume conscious, write in candidates and so called leaders on the S.B.A. Perhaps I am unnecessarily harsh—they did pass a budget. Anyway the "masterminded" absolutely nothing. Bad Mr. Meckler checked out the facts, he would of found that there were others, far more responsible for the prevailing conditions than Mr. Stein; which brings us to our next point. I am not convinced that everything was all that bad. I think there was, at least by the questions asked and statements read, a representative cross-section of all lunacy. However, perhaps Mr. Meckler would prefer to hold these events in Nick Hilett's Coliseum. I am sure the rent is comparable to University Center Auditorium and I understand its vacant during weekdays. The point being, that there are valid economic considerations involved.

As for the law school being elitist, I believe Mr. Meckler is theoretically right. Semantically he is wrong. We are different. We here to acquire a skill—such as a carpenter or an electrician, and this skill separates us from others, no matter how attractive mediocrity may be.

In closing the real issue must be dealt with. Any opinion should be confined to the editorial column. The Gavel is an editorial man's political platform. The bias and prejudice the shabbily dressed political opinion masquerading as news coverage cannot be tolerated. This is the same caliber of incompetency that tyrants like Nixon and Agnew used when they attempted to subvert our First Amendment freedoms with their vicious attacks on the press. The article in the Gavel demonstrates subversion can come from either the right of the left. I feel that Mr. Stein and the entire student body an apology.

Sincerely yours,

Stuart James Banks

REPLY BY TED MECKLER

Dear Stuart,

I sense an undertone of anger in your letter and appreciate your verbalizing it but nonetheless must disagree with many of your conclusions. You cover a lot of territory in your letter so let me try to be orderly in my response.

First of all, you accuse me of making "malicious and unfounded" accusations toward Sheldon Stein. The entire quasi-abrasion made was that the ticket policy "effectively limited the crowd to almost exclusively law students and circumvented University regulations." It is not only not malicious but quite well founded.

When using University facilities for affairs such as the Dean talk, the sponsoring body cannot exclude CSU students. This is of course, subject to fire regulations and other space limitations. The Speaker's Committee, with the Nader experience behind them, was well aware of the potential for a riot. So they decided to admit only ticket holders. They also decided that in order to effectively limit the crowd, they felt were paying the bill, (and Mr. Stein still feels this way) that tickets should be handed out only at certain times and only in the law school. Furthermore, the ticket policy was well publicized, but only within the Chester Building. In this manner, they were able to effectively limit the crowd to a pre-dominantly law student group. Those present at the speech can certainly verify this fact despite what you call a "representative cross-section of all lunacy." In fact, Sheldon Stein himself, admitted that this was the case. Certainly, if the whole University Community was meant to be included, tickets would have been distributed at University Center or at least, the distribution policy would have been well publicized throughout the University Community.

As for Mr. Stein being the "apparent mastermind" of this policy, he was. The words "Property of Sheldon Stein" were stamped on nearly every ticket, in order to, as he said, "prevent forgeries." If this doesn't meet your definition of the apparent mastermind I do not know what would.

Moving on to your point about "resume conscious" write in candidates" on the S.B.A., I am not sure what you are saying. If you are accusing of being one I would say that calling me a candidate is stretching it a bit. It is true that I am now an S.B.A. Senator. I was elected by 4 or 5 write-in votes and in that group the others, I certainly did not have anything to do with my election. I didn't even vote. We had a coin flip and I won. Though my "election" may be more legitimate than Ford's or Rockefeller's I recognize its farcical nature. Such is the sad state of affairs at this institution.

Sincerely yours,

Ted Meckler
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NLG summer projects

BY RICH MUSAT

This summer the National Lawyers Guild will sponsor at least 15 summer projects. The summer projects are geared to those people who want to be involved in community organizations, criminal-political defense work, tenant struggles and other activities of strong social importance. The projects are a way of developing legal skills and putting them to use in connection with the goals of progressive movement work.

Last summer, three students from C-M went on summer projects that took them to Alamosa, Colorado, Seattle, Washington and Pine Ridge, South Dakota. Our experiences were varied in terms of the type of work we were doing but all of us found the Summner Project we were on enjoyable and an opportunity to turn our legal skills into powerful tools for the advancement of democratic rights.

The NLG has sponsored summer projects for a number of years. Two years ago the summer projects took on a new light. Projects from local areas were proposed by lawyers and legal workers to the national organization. Fund raising was done largely by the National Summer Projects Committee by applications to foundations. It is through this scheme that this year's Summer Projects have developed.

Application forms and Brochures giving a description of the project in more detail will be available from the C-M NLG chapter in early April, when they arrive here. Applicants will be accepted on the basis of the application form and an interview process. The interviews will be in the form of a small group setting.

More information will be made available as soon as we here in Cleveland get word. The following is a list of the proposed projects.

National Office Staff-WTC
Oakland Women's Labor Group
Boston Busing
Appalachian-W.Va., Kentucky & Tenn., -PMM, Black Lung
Atlanta Prison Project
Attica
Wounded Knee
Texas Valley Community Groups
Georgia Power Project
Gay Rights in Los Angeles
St. Louis Women's Project (Rape Crisis Center)
Housing - New Jersey
Immigration - Southern Calif.
Labor Project - Chicago
Fred Hampton Civil Suit

The Summer Projects will be in collective working and living arrangements as much as possible. Presently it is estimated that pay will be in the neighborhood of $50.00 a week plus living expenses.

NOTICE

The availability of a new Law merit badge program, designed to help scouts learn about the law and develop an understanding of the importance of law in the democratic society an d how law affects their daily lives, was announced today by the Boy Scouts of America and the American Bar Association.

To earn the new Law merit badge, a scout must learn about the history of law, civil and criminal law, contracts, courts, and the legal profession. He must also interview a lawyer and a law enforcement officer and perform other specific tasks.

NOTICE

For the past five years, the Somerset County (New Jersey) Bar Association has operated a program of financial assistance for law school students. Funds are available for scholarships and loans to law school students residing in Somerset County, New Jersey. The amount available to each recipient has been in the $200 to $500 range annually. Loans are available to students who qualify on the basis of need and have maintained acceptable academic standards. Scholarships will be awarded to students on the basis of academic achievement, with consideration of need.

Applications should be made by June 1st preceding the law school year for which aid is requested. Application forms and further information may be obtained by writing to the Somerset County Bar Association, c/o William B. Rosenberg, Esq., 35 North Bridge Street, Somerville, New Jersey 08876.

WHAT SORT OF LAW STUDENT READS GILBERT

A career-conscious collegian whose sharpened sense of sophistication sets the pace for tomorrow. The Gilbert Reader is quick to grasp the important issues in American law, contracts, courts, and the legal profession. He sets a commanding lead. And Gilbert helps him enjoy this leadership lifestyle. What's more, his drive for success is matched only by his quest for the Gilbert. And where does he find it? In his favorite text. GILBERT.

FACTS: According to a recent survey three out of every four law students that read Gilbert are college educated. (Source: Publishers estimate.)
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More ways to get a job

by T. Silvinski

Despite posters in the hallways of C-M, and in the major lecture rooms; and, despite the fact that all membership blanks (400) were taken from these posters, C-M's membership in the LSD/ABA has decreased from 128 to 115.

The implications of this decrease are severe. For instance all matching funds from the LSD/ABA require a membership of at least 20%. Our lack of membership has foreclosed the possibility of receiving such funds. (Of course our administration has been less than enthusiastic about matching funds).

Another implication is that the possibility of a student from C-M being elected to an administrative making level in the LSD/ABA becomes less favorable. Furthermore, appointments or recommendations for appointments by your campus representative carry little weight.

Finally each individual student loses some benefit. As an attorney, it is a Sine Qua Non to be a member of a bar association. Yet, given the opportunity to join early as students at a reduced rate, many students fail to cultivate this rewarding opportunity.

It is therefore apparent that either apathy is rampant or knowledge of the organization is non-existent. I will address the latter only because the former appears to be the "modus vivendi" at C-M.

The following facts will give details about the LSD/ABA. In the event you really don't know LSD/ABA is not a new drug it stands for the LAW STUDENT DIVISION of the AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION.

(1) It is a recognized national student organization at C-M open only to law students.
(2) Membership is $5.00 per year.
(3) A subscription to THE STUDENT LAWYER is included.
(4) A subscription to THE ABA JOURNAL is available at a reduced rate ($1.50/12 issues). 
(5) The various ABA Sections are open to members for an additional $3.00, ie, Criminal Law, Family Law, Litigation, General practice & 26 others.
(6) Positions on committees and appointments to serve as a LSD Liaison to an ABA Section are only available to members. These give you an opportunity to meet some one in the real world.
(7) Health & Life insurance-the cornerstone of your personal estate planning is available at reduced rates.
(8) Matching funds for law school oriented projects by your student bar or individual students.
(9) A new computerized job search (JURISCAN) is available.
(10) Various free publications are available.
(11) There is an automatic transfer to the ABA after graduation at no additional charge until the next billing period.

Stacked this way there are many obvious good reasons to become a member of the LSD/ABA. The conscientious student will mold and cultivate these reasons to best fit his/his future plans, i.e., contacts for future employment, meaningful experiences during law school, resumes, references, etc.

Any student desiring to become a member may write directly to:

LAW STUDENT DIVISION
AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION
1155 East 60th Street
Chicago, Illinois 60637

or, the student may choose to wait until the ordered membership blanks arrive and are left in the lounge. Failing in any of the above a note placed in the LSD/ABA mailbox outside the SBA door leaving your name and phone number will produce the desired results.

Any and all information received from the LSD/ABA by this law school is promptly posted in the eastern most hallway on the second floor above the immediately outside classroom 2018.

NOTICES

A handicapped CSU student needs daily transportation from CSU to Southgate Shopping Center, between the hours of 1 and 2.

She needs some assistance in getting in and out of a car, but will pay $10/week. As it is now, she has to wait around till 5 or 6 for a ride.

Call 662-6996 after 6 p.m. Ask for Denise.

NOTICE

Any car freaks out there? Want to keep the car running? Come to the Auto Club meeting -- UT 314
Mon. 3/10, 2-3 p.m.

or Contact
Mary at 749-6113

NOTICE

APT. FOR RENT -- Room with 2 or 3 students. 78.00 monthly. Own bedroom. Near Mayfield-Noble (busline). Mon., Thurs. after 9 p.m., Sun., at 29L-1634.

WORK-STUDY

Several work-study jobs are available if students are interested and feel that they can qualify, please have them contact Barbara Speer in Room 1037.

Mary Smart Radebaugh, who started law school at C-M in 1972 with the new graduating class of 1975 died on February 15, 1975. She had returned to law school this past fall of 1974.
We, as editors, happen to have what people's points of view for them, on press but one political viewpoint. As by-liners, we do not have the duty to articulate other viewpoints for them. So we do not protrude an opportunity to express them.

Fourth, there is no factual basis for Mr. Stein's accusation that the Gavel editorialists are not able to check the factual validity of articles we publish. It is for Mr. Stein's accusation that we view it as a forum. We do not tend to look upon those who exploit the area. The problem of revenue sharing might be solved by an international agency taxing the exploiting persons or powers instead of the flag-states. Those states without a coastline, the "geographically disadvantaged states," as the African delegate called them, are particularly interested in the revenue sharing aspect of the negotiations. These inland states also want a guaranteed access to seaports to insure an equal footing in the competition for world trade.

Without agreement on the aforementioned subjects there will be a "sea-grab" similar to the land-grab period of European colonialism in Asia and Africa. One hundred years ago European countries could afford such a luxury. Today, however, with the ever-growing demands for food and energy, it is increasingly necessary that the nations of the world agree on the exploitation and conservation of sea resources. It is clear that a laissez-faire approach must give way to one of rational usage, for, as the representative from Panama suggested, we will sink or swim together.
A strange phenomenon occurs as the close of each quarter approaches. There appears to be a striking growth in the quantity of graffiti on the so-called "shitter" walls. Or for that matter on any walls, posters or notices. Maybe it's a result of the boredom that the middle of the quarter has brought on, or a result of the stress of the upcoming finals' week, or maybe it's because that by the end of every quarter one is so full of the rush to visit the "john" more often. Whatever the reason, the Gavel thought you might like to know a little about the subject of graffiti.

Graffiti (from the Italian Graffiare, to scratch) is synonymous on fences, billboards, sidewalks, and washroom walls. Graffiti is always with us, usually as dull repetitions of names or initials, plus dates, and perhaps a self-acclaiming slogan; or as clumsy pornographic drawings; or as a standardized, roughly rhymed obscenity. In 1964 Simon & Garfunkel sang that "the words of the prophets are written on the subway walls."

We tend to think of graffiti as announcements made to the world at large, and as prominently as possible. In the true sense, however, graffiti are wall scratchings of any sort motivated by inner impulse, and addressed across the anonymity of time to any finder (or until the janitor cleans the wall). They are small tombs of sentiment. There is something about the humbleness, honesty, playfulness, and anonymity of this sentiment that can catch the attention and kindle a response as formal writing cannot. Graffiti catches a human being at a time when he's just casually and not deeply engaged. In Law School, people are on guard so much of the time. Graffiti is an escape from all the daily crap around here. It is a twilight means of communication between Law students.

Today, graffiti is the fashion around Cleveland-Marshall. The focus of it appears to be located on the sides of the washrooms "johns." These partitions are used as "graffiti boards." Here the comers are free to scribble their impulses on the partitions or to enter their replies to some earlier scribbler's impulse. What I like about these so called "graffiti boards" is that they readily turn into conversations to which various speakers, identified by their handwriting keeps returning.

A fair example of such graffiti interplay runs:

HELP STAMP OUT RHETORICAL QUESTIONS - BORED HELP STAMP OUT UNQUESTIONABLE BORES - BORED RHETORICIAN (Men's, 2nd floor, 3rd stall)

Besides a J.D. Degree Also Be A DOCTOR of Proctology For 3 Years at this LAW SCHOOL!

(sic)

Or the play may take off, fumble through all sorts of hits and misses, and yet manage to score unexpected points. Here is one sequence I copied from a stall in the 2nd floor men's "john" before the janitor wiped out a whole quarter's worth of graffiti, two weeks ago:

Writer A has written "I LOVE GIRLS!"
Writer B has crossed this out and corrected, "IT'S GIRLS, STUPID C-1-RC-1-6." Under which writer G has scrawled "WHAT ABOUT US GIRLS!"

The second floor men's "john" is widely known for the deadpan humor of its graffiti. A typical one reads:

"MY MOTHER MADE ME A HOMOSEXUAL," to which another hand has added: "CAN SHE MAKE ME ONE, TOO? HOW MUCH WOOL DOES SHE NEED?"

Another scribbler left this:

A LADY WELL-KNOWN AS A PRUDE WAS SUNBATHING ONE DAY IN THE NUDE. THEN A MAN CAME ALONG AND UNLESS I AM WRONG YOU EXPECTED THIS LINE TO BE LEWD.

This last bit of graffiti was acclaimed by other grafficionados as: EXCELLENT, DEVASTATING and IN GOOD TASTE.

Directly beside this award winning number another equally talented graffitist left this:

A WOMAN I KNOW FROM MADIAS HAS A MOST MAGNIFICENT ASS NOT ROUNDED AND PINK AS YOU PROBABLY THINK IT IS GREY, HAS LONG EARS AND EATS GRASS.

A literary item from one scribbler:

"MY DICK WAS A HONKIE!"

A pass by the "john" at Stilwell revealed a fair example of the standardized religious graffiti which seems to be lurking around the Law School "johns."

"GOD IS NOT DEAD! HE IS ALIVE AND AUTOGRAPHING BIBLES TODAY AT HIGBEE'S."

And in the same vein:

"JESUS IS DEAD - BUT DON'T WORRY, MARY IS PREGNANT AGAIN."

All in all what graffiti seems to have at best is an irreverent irrelevance on route to a mortal thrust, which itself is probably both irreverent and irrelevant, although the reader is never quite sure. We are left thinking we can guess more than has been said, and since we are all intellectual peeping Toms, we are gratified by our sense of secret insight, even when it isn't wholly justified.

NOTE: Specific law school individuals, of course, drew a heavy share of the wall commentary. Ranked below these were racial comments, pornographic drawings, and just plain obscenities. I therefore dipped into only several of the print able examples of graffiti to safeguard any from embarrassment, to protect the names of the innocent; and for the fear of getting my ass into any trouble.
The library has been the focus of some critical discussion lately. Mere accidents of physical plant, so with conditions beyond his control.

Menacing (mythical) "paralegals", Wednesday Professor Wolfe saw a need have been decried, (see letters last issue). Cramped quarters, squatter's rights, poor ventilation, and distractions of all kinds have raised eyebrows, tempers, voices, pens(id), and ears (Bardie Wolfe's). Last Wednesday Professor Wolfe saw a need and filled it by answering questions in the lounge. When asked how it came about, Bardie stated that many of the dozen or so complaints dealt with conditions beyond his control. Here accidents of phsical plant, so to speak, such as poor ventilation, and those periodic bursts of air thru the ventilators in the basement which sound like gun lap at the K of C track meet (a real rush).

Faculty Meeting, Feb. 14:

1. Opened with an announcement by the Dean that President Wetgen has reassured him that the new law school is one of the governor's top priorities for funding to CSU. An enlightening debate followed regarding the use of the word "nechlavillian" in the minutes of last meeting to describe a certain professor's conduct.

2. Profs. Cohen and Landever were selected as candidates for the University Personnel Committee by secret ballot.

3. Curriculum Committee Chairperson Cohen recommended, and the faculty approved, a new course-- Ohio Constitution-- full-time teaching in the Fall of '75. It was the mean a feeling that an instructor with fewer teaching obligations lies a familiar political scenario. Briefly, because of the needs of the new first year program-- 50% to the instructor's time and 50% to a full-time student equivalent (FTE) is considered. [part-timers are considered 2/3's of an FTE. Sound Constitutional???] Since C-M has the largest enrollment of all Ohio Law Schools (about 1,050) the total number of "book volumes available"/ FTE is the lowest, 94. Ohio State again leads with 500. Then, Cincinnati 224, CMU-210, Ohio Northern-177, Capital-129, and Toledo-116.

In their own unmitigating way, statistics tell the story. Our stacks just do not stack up. There are even more statistics, compiled by Prof. Wolfe and the library staff, which tend to show that the library is becoming even cozier. There has been an 88.1% increase in the use of the library for December-February 74-75 over a year ago, and a 91.63% in books checked out. [How those dastardly paralegals figure into this increase is negligible at best]. Further, Bardie laments the influx of 35-40 more students next fall.

Any hope in sight? None perhaps, until the 7th Cavalry appears on the horizon in the form of bulldosers to start breaking ground for the new law school. It is said that the projected capacity of the new library is upwards to 200,000 volumes. Sadly, we should know from past experience the highly suspect nature of projections. I'm not from Missouri, but I would have to see it first. Until then, perhaps the library can hold its own by augmenting its microfilm collection, or maybe invest in telescoping bookshelves, or perhaps even implement the space above the present stacks with a series of catwalks.

For now, we'll have to be content with the present state of the library. If at times things get too intolerable, you can always sneak into Case-- sometimes they don't hassle you.

Faculty Meeting, March 5:

1. Curriculum Committee's proposal to consolidate Corps. and A&P into one, 8 hour course entitled "Business Associations" was passed. Lengthy debate, ranging from the pedantic to the absurd, centered around what to label the hybrid course. Certain faculty members were seen periodically rising and leaving, shaking their heads in disbelief.

2. EXECUTIVE SESSION was promptly called to discuss the Murad Petition [He was allowed to remain while the student petitioners themselves had to leave. Student members of the committee were allowed to stay, but could not vote.] The official minutes of the meeting reflected the approval for appointment of candidates Allo, Garlock, and Terrill.

The Dean pointed out that the net effect of the plan would be to allocate 100% of a new position to the first year program-- 50% to the instructor's time and 50% to a present administrator teaching one of the experimental courses.

5. EXECUTIVE SESSION was called for the Faculty Appointments Committee report re Hiring. [This means that all unimportant people--students--had to leave. Student members of the committee were allowed to stay, but could not vote.] The official minutes of the meeting reflected the approval for appointment of candidates Allo, Garlock, and Terrill.
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