

2010

Table of Contents, Issue 4

Cleveland State Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstlrev>

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

Recommended Citation

Cleveland State Law Review, *Table of Contents, Issue 4*, 58 Clev. St. L. Rev. i (2010)
available at <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstlrev/vol58/iss4/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cleveland State Law Review by an authorized editor of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

CLEVELAND STATE LAW REVIEW

Volume 58

2010

Number 4

CONTENTS

ARTICLES

- THE POLICE-PROSECUTOR RELATIONSHIP AND THE
NO-CONTACT RULE: CONFLICTING
INCENTIVES AFTER MONTEJO V. LOUISIANA
AND MARYLAND V. SHATZER *Caleb Mason* 747
- NEITHER REASONABLE NOR REMEDIAL: THE
HOPELESS CONTRADICTIONS OF THE LEGAL
ETHICS MEASURES TO PREVENT PERJURY *Susan E. Thrower* 781
- MONETARY RECOVERIES FOR STATE CRIME
VICTIMS *Jeffrey A. Parness, Laura Lee, & Edmund Laube* 819

NOTES

- THE MCCARRAN-FERGUSON ACT'S INTERSECTION
WITH FOREIGN INSURANCE COMPANIES *Angela D. Krupar* 883
- PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REMAND DENIED:
ARGUING FOR PRE-SERVICE REMOVAL UNDER
THE PLAIN LANGUAGE OF THE FORUM-
DEFENDANT RULE *Matthew Curry* 907

CITE AS 58 CLEV. ST. L. REV. __ (2010)

The views expressed in the *Cleveland State Law Review* are those of the authors of the articles and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the trustees, faculty, alumni, or students of the Cleveland State University or the College of Law. Contributing authors are expected to reveal personal, economic, or professional interest that may have influenced the views taken or advocated in their articles. Each author impliedly represents that such disclosure has been made