Cleveland State University [EngagedScholarship@CSU](https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/)

[Scholarship Collection](https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/scholbks) [Books](https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/books) and the state of the sta

1-1992

Dynamics of a Split Torque Helicopter Transmission

Majid Rashidi Cleveland State University, M.RASHIDI@csuohio.edu

Timothy Krantz Lewis Research Center, Cleveland OH

Follow this and additional works at: [https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/scholbks](https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/scholbks?utm_source=engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu%2Fscholbks%2F128&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Aerodynamics and Fluid Mechanics Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/222?utm_source=engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu%2Fscholbks%2F128&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](http://library.csuohio.edu/engaged/)

Recommended Citation

Rashidi, Majid and Krantz, Timothy, "Dynamics of a Split Torque Helicopter Transmission" (1992). Scholarship Collection. 128.

[https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/scholbks/128](https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/scholbks/128?utm_source=engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu%2Fscholbks%2F128&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Books at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Scholarship Collection by an authorized administrator of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

AD-A257 375 **THE REAL PROPERTY OF PERSON**

NASA Technical Memorandum 105681

AVSCOM Technical Report 91-C-043

Dynamics of a Split Torque **Helicopter Transmission**

Majid Rashidi **Cleveland State University** Cleveland, Ohio

and

NASA

Timothy Krantz Propulsion Directorate U.S. Army Aviation Systems Command Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

Prepared for the Sixth International Power Transmission and Gearing Conference sponsored by the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Scottsdale, Arizona, September 13-16, 1992

工

DYNAMICS OF A SPLIT TORQUE HELICOPTER TRANSMISSION

Majid Rashidi, Ph.D. Mechanical Engineering Department Cleveland State University Cleveland, Ohio 44115

and

Timothy Krantz Propulsion Directorate **U.S.** Army Aviation Systems Command Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

ABSTRACT Q

A high reduction ratio split torque gear train has been pro- q posed as an alternative to a planetary configuration for the final stage of a helicopter transmission. A split torque design allows a $\qquad r$ high ratio of power-to-weight for the transmission. The design studied in this work includes a pivoting beam that acts to balance T thrust loads produced by the helical gear meshes in each of two parallel power paths. When the thrust loads are balanced, the torque is t split evenly. A mathematical model was developed to study the dynamics of the system. The effects of time varying gear mesh stiff- V ness, static transmission errors, and flexible bearing supports are included in the model. The model was demonstrated with a test X , case. Results show that although the gearbox has a symmetric con-
figuration, the simulated dynamic behavior of the first and second compound gears are not the same. Also, results show that shaft location and mesh stiffness tuning are significant design parameters that influence the motions of the system.

$NOMENCLATURE$

performance through the combined efforts of analysis, experiments, $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ are designs. The purpose of this study the system dyperformance unough the combined enotes of analysis, experiments, mamics of these designs. The purpose of this study is to provide a and application of field experience. Further improvements in performance are desired, especially decreased weight, less noise, and mathematical model to analyze the dynamic and vibration charac-
formance are desired, especially decreased weight, less noise, and teristics of split torqu improved reliability. Helicopter transmissions are typical of state- teristics of split torque transmissions and to perof-the-art systems in that computational tools are being used to develop and improve both new designs and existing components. Dynamic simulation of advanced transmissions can reduce develop-Dynamic simulation of advanced transmissions can reduce develope SPLIT TORQUE TRANSMISSION ment cost for new configurations compared to development by experimental methods which require expensive hardware.
The split torque transmission considered in this study is shown

an epicyclic configuration which features an output shaft driven **by** input torque to a set of two compound helical/spur gears via the several planets. This arrangement divides the transmitted torque helical meshes. The two spur pinions of these compound gears among several planets. Epicyclic gear trains have been studied both transfer the power into a final stage bull gear. The two helical analytically and experimentally (August et al., 1984; Choy et al., meshes produce thrust loads that are reacted through a pivoted nuclearly (August 21.000). 1987; Choy et al., 1988; Zakrajsek, 1989).

to the output shaft through multiple paths is a split torque stage. The axial positions of the compound gears such that the thrust loads One configuration for a split torque stage proposed for a helicopter and therefore the torques of the two helical gears are equal. application is shown in Fig. 1. White (1982) states that this new One of the main objectives of this work is to identify the key split torque gear train not only offers an overall weight reduction, design parameters that influence the overall dynamic behavior of but also promises the following advantages compared to the conven- this system. Among the important parameters are the angles tional epicyclic helicopter transmission: between the centerlines of the gears as identified in Fig. 2. These

Figure 1.-Pictorial view of a split-torque helicopter transmission with two power paths.

-
- (4) Increased renability of the (r) . Fewer generalized bearings
-
-

The above advantages suggest the development of a new generation of helicopter transmissions of the split torque type shown in Fig. 1.

A recent study on a split torque helicopter transmission is that of D. Hochmann et al. (1991). They analyzed the load distribution of spur and double helical gear pairs used in a split torque helicopter transmission. They concluded from their analysis that gear tooth profile modifications and staggered phasing of a double helical gear lmh,2mh 1st, 2nd helical mesh (pinion and compound gear) mesh can greatly reduce loaded static transmission error without 1st, 2nd helical mesh (pinion and compound gear) seriously degrading load distribution.

1s,2s 1st, 2nd spur mesh (compound and bull gear) An aspect of split torque configurations that has not been rigorously studied is the overall dynamic behavior. A special characteristic of split torque drivetrains is the use of some method or INTRODUCTION mechanism to guarantee that the power is split evenly among the intervals of the power is split evenly among the parallel paths. Several different methods have been proposed (Coy Helicopter transmissions have evolved to a high degree of and Bill, 1988; Fisher, 1981; White, 1974, 1982, 1983, 1987, 1989).

The most common final stage for a helicopter transmission is pictorially in Fig. 1 and schematically in Fig. 2. The pinion transfers pictorially in Fig. 1 and schematically in Fig. 2. The pinion transfers An alternative to an apicyclic stage which also transfers power balancing beam. The function of the balancing beam is to couple An alternative to an apicyclic stage which also transfers power

(1) High ratio of speed reduction at final stage angles influence the stiffness properties of the system (appendix A). (2) Reduced number of speed reduction stages Also, for a given set of gears, these angles define the relative phasing

of the time-varying mesh stiffnesses. Note that although the gear
train is geometrically symmetric with respect to the centerlines of moment of inertia of the balancing beam, and the mass of the the gearbox, the static bearing force reactions of the two identical balancing beam were considered negligible compared to the other compound gears are not equal. increase the system. Under this assumption, the system is de-

to understand and predict the effect of design parameters on the therefore has a rigid body mode. performance of the system. The important properties that can be The equations of motion of this system were derived by the simulated by the model can be categorized as: standard Lagrangian method as:

(1) Microgeometric parameters such as shaft hub eccentricities,

- tooth profile geometry, tooth spacing, lead, and profile errors.
- (2) Macrogeometric parameters such as gear mesh pitch,
- pressure angles, helix angle, and shaft and bearing locations. (3) Material properties and bulk dimensions of the components
- which defines the components' inertia, stiffness, and dampening properties.
- (4) Type and geometry of the bearings that support the gear

A mathematical model has been developed to analyze the The kinetic energy of this system is: dynamic and vibration characteristics of split torque transmissions. The split torque transmission was modeled by a set of inertia, stiffness, damping, and displacement elements. The analytical model is shown in Fig. 3. Along with the inertia and stiffness elements shown in the figure, the model also includes a damping element parallel to each stiffness element, an input inertia, and an The energy dissipation function of this system is: output inertia. Displacement elements are included at the gear mesh locations as illustrated in Fig. 4. The gear mesh stiffness and displacement elements together can simulate the loaded static transmission error motions of the gear pairs. The displacement element is needed to simulate pitch errors and all other components of the static transmission error not attributable to the varying mesh Here, the damping elements were modeled with proportional damp- stiffness. ing expressed in terms of the dam ing ratio, **C,** stiffness coefficient,

The mathematical model shown in Fig. 3 can be described by a
total inertia, M, as $C = \sqrt{2\zeta KM}$.
total of 21 coordinates. The rotational positions of the gears, input
The potential energy is classified into four groups of The axial positions of the pinion, first compound gear, second com- lations at bearing supports; **(3,** .wisting of gear shafts and hubs; pound gear, and balancing beam require four coordinates. The and (4) axial distortions of the balancing beam components and translations of the gear shafts require another eight coordinates. support.

The mathematical model developed in this study may be used scribed by 18 equations of motion. The system is semidefinite and

$$
d\frac{\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_j}\right)}{dt} + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \dot{q}_i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} = Q_j \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots 18)
$$
 (1)

where $L = T - V$, $T = Total kinetic energy$, $V = Total potential$ shafts. The and geometry of the bearings that support the gear
shafts. dinate, $Q_i =$ Generalized force associated with each generalized coordinate **qj.** All stiffness elements were assumed linear. The gear MATHEMATICAL MODEL meshes stiffness elements were defined by time-varying periodic meshes stiffness elements were defined by time-varying periodic functions.

$$
T = \frac{1}{2} \sum M_j \dot{q}_j^2 \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, ... 18)
$$
 (2)

$$
B = \frac{1}{2} \sum C_j \dot{q}_j^2 \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots 18)
$$
 (3)

and output inertias, and balancing beam require nine coordinates, energy caused by: (1) distortion of the gear meshes; (2) shaft trans-

Figure 3.--Split torque model. Note: not shown, damping elements, input inertia, output inertia.

Figure 4.-Top view of the split-torque gear train, local and global reference frames.

gear meshes. These terms were first expressed in a local coordinate a similar manner. system as shown in Fig. 4. Reference coordinate frames with super- The second group is the potential energy stored in the bearings script (*) are local. The potential energies specified in these due to shaft translations. To reduce the number of coordinates script (*) are local. The potential energies specified in these due to shaft translations. To reduce the number of coordinates
coordinate frames were then expressed in a global reference frame needed to describe the system via rotational coordinate transformations. These coordinate trans- moves in pure translation and does not tilt. This assumption is formations introduce the shaft locations of the gear train as design/ reasonable when the length of the gearshaft is comparable to the analysis parameters. For example, the potential energy stored in face width of the gear. The bearing stiffness coefficients were the helical mesh of the input pinon and second compound gear was defined in the global coordinate systems shown in Fig. 4. Under expressed in the local coordinate system as: the position of the positions, the potential energy was expressed as: the potential energy was expressed as:

$$
V_{2mh} = \frac{1}{2} K_{2mh}(t) \left[r_p \cos(\beta) \Psi_p - r_{2h} \cos(\beta) \Psi_{2h} + \cos(\beta) Y_p^* \right] \qquad (4) \qquad V_b = \frac{1}{2} K_{xxb1} X_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} K_{yyb1} Y_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} K_{xxb2} X_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} K_{yyb2} X_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} K_{zzb3} X_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} K_{zzb4} X_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} K_{zzb5} X_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} K_{zzb6} X_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} K_{zzb7} X_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} K_{yzb7} X_2^2 +
$$

$$
-\cos(\beta)Y_2 + \sin(\beta)Z_p - \sin(\beta)Z_2 - e_{2h}(t)\big)^{\mu}
$$

p was transformed by 2

$$
Y_p' = Y_p \cos(\alpha_p) - X_p \sin(\alpha_p) \tag{5}
$$

expressed in global coordinates as: Vs- 1 K, [th*11 ! , 2h"-'•t2I

$$
V_{2mh} = \frac{1}{2} K_{2mh}(t) \left[r_p \cos(\beta) \Psi_p - r_{2h} \cos(\beta) \Psi_{2h} + \cos(\beta) \right]
$$

$$
\times \cos(\alpha_p) Y_p - \cos(\beta) \sin(\alpha_p) X_p - \cos(\beta) Y_2
$$

$$
+ \sin(\beta) Z_p - \sin(\beta) Z_2 - e_{2h}(t) \right]^2
$$
(6)

The first group is the stored energy due to distortion of the The potential energy stored in the other gear meshes was derived in

$$
V_{2mh} = \frac{1}{2} K_{2mh}(t) \left[r_p \cos(\beta) \Psi_p - r_{2h} \cos(\beta) \Psi_{2h} + \cos(\beta) Y_p \right]
$$

\n
$$
- \cos(\beta) Y_2 + \sin(\beta) Z_p - \sin(\beta) Z_2 - e_{2h}(t) \right]^2
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} K_{xxbp} X_p^2 + \frac{1}{2} K_{yybp} Y_p^2 + \frac{1}{2} K_{xxbb} K_B^2 + \frac{1}{2} K_{yybb} X_p^2
$$

\nThe local coordinates Y' was transformed by

The third group is the potential energy stored in the twisted shafts, which was expressed as:

ressed in global coordinates as:
\n
$$
V_{s} = \frac{1}{2} K_{s1} [\Psi_{1h} - \Psi_{1s}]^{2} + \frac{1}{2} K_{s2} [\Psi_{2h} - \Psi_{2s}]^{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} K_{2mh}(t) [r_{p}cos(\beta)\Psi_{p} - r_{2h}cos(\beta)\Psi_{2h} + cos(\beta) + \frac{1}{2} K_{sp}[\Psi_{i} - \Psi_{p}]^{2} + \frac{1}{2} K_{sB}[\Psi_{B} - \Psi_{o}]^{2}
$$
\n(8)

 \mathbf{r} - since \mathbf{r} - since \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r} - The fourth group is the potential energy due to distortion of the composition of the compound gear shafts. The compound gear share shares to be assumed as the compon the compound gear shafts. This was expressed as:

$$
V_{a} = \frac{1}{2} K_{z1} [Z_{1} - L_{bal} \theta_{bal} - Z_{bal}]^{2} + \frac{1}{2} K_{z2} [Z_{2} + L_{bal} \theta_{bal} \theta_{bal}]
$$
\n
$$
- Z_{bal} [Z_{1} + 1/2 K_{bal} Z_{bal}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} K_{z2} Z_{pl}^{2}]
$$
\n(9)

By applying the Lagrangian method, the equations of motion derived. A typical equation of motion is presented in appen-
A. Bearings were assumed to be pure stiffness elements with damping. The equations of motion are writ were derived. A typical equation of motion is presented in appendix A. Bearings were assumed to be pure stiffness elements with
zero damping. The equations of motion are written such that the
displacement element functions $e_{ii}(t)$ appear on the right hand side $\frac{0}{t}$ 4.0 displacement element functions $e_{ii}(t)$ appear on the right hand side \overline{Z} 4.0 of the equation as part of the generalized forcing functions $Q_i(t)$. dix A. Bearings were assumed to be pure stiffness elements with
zero damping. The equations of motion are written such that the
displacement element functions $e_{ij}(t)$ appear on the right hand side
of the equation as part The generalized forcing functions can be defined to simulate sources of excitation such as gear hub/shaft runouts and input/output torque fluctuations. However, in this study, only the static r_2 torque inctuations. However, in this study, only the static
transmission error excitation as modeled by the time-varying **SI** 2.0 displacement elements and gear mesh stiffnesses excited the system. The equations of motion were transformed to dimensionless forms using certain characteristic parameters inherent in the gear train \overline{a} . \overline{b} system. Appendix B includes a glossary of the dimensionless parameters and how they were included in the mathematical model.

ANALYSIS AND PARAMETRIC STUDY -2.0

The mathematical model was demonstrated with a test case to simulate the motion of a split torque transmission. A gear mesh Time, ms stiffness varies in time similar to a square wave function. The gear Figure 6.—Periodic behavior of displacement elements of the mesh stiffness elements were defined using a seven-term Fourier helical meshes. series. Seven terms were judged appropriate to approximate the square wave shape. A typical series used is illustrated in Fig. 5. Parametric studies were completed to examine the effects of The Fourier series functions used approximate the magnitude and two design parameters on the performance of the gear train. The wave shape of a typical gear mesh but are not precise represent-
following parameters were stu wave shape of a typical gear mesh but are not precise represent-
ations of a particular pair of gears. Displacement elements of the (1) The phase difference between the two helical gear meshes ations of a particular pair of gears. Displacement elements of the gear meshes were also defined using a seven-term Fourier series (stiffness tuning). There are two helical and two spur gear (Fig. 6). The displacement elements and stiffness elements together pairs in this gear system. Figure 5 illustrates a typical time simulated typical loaded static transmission error motions. The variation of the stiffness properties.
fundamental period of each series was the corresponding gear mesh (2) The shaft locations relative to one another and r fundamental period of each series was the corresponding gear mesh period. Dimensions and properties for geometry and inertias used in the frame of the gear box. Figure 2 shows that tthese relative this study, given in appendix C, approximated those of the Split locations can be characterized by the angles α_1 , α_2 , α_p , and Torque Test Rig at the NASA Lewis Research Center. A damping $\alpha_{\rm B}$. ratio of $\zeta = 0.01$ was assumed for all damping elements, and the The dimensionless equations of motion were integrated in time bearings were assumed to be pure stiffness elements with zero by a fifth-order/sixth-order Runge-Kutta method. damping. The method of R. Singh and T.C. Lim (1990) was used to calculate the bearing stiffness characteristics.

-
-

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mathematical model developed in this work was used to Phase The Mesh First compound/ simulate the vibratory behavior of the split torque transmission difference period pinion gear. τ shown in Fig. 1. The source of excitation for the model was simuelement and displacement element at each gear mesh were defined appropriately to simulate typical static transmission errors for precision gears. All of the geometric and dynamic parameters were expressed in dimensionless forms. Figures 7 to 16 show some of the simulated motions of the system in generalized coordinates. These results were obtained for the case of an input pinion speed of ω_{g} = 8778 rpm and an input pinion torque of 405 N-m. In this case the pinion was positioned between the two compound gears such

0 1.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 of mesh stiffness tuning were studied. Here, mesh stiffness tuning is considered to be the adjustment of the phase difference between the Time, ms considered to be the adjustment of the phase directed corrections of the first and second compound gears, Figure 5.—Periodic behavior of the stiffnesses of the helical $\phi_{1\rm{mh}}$ - $\phi_{2\rm{mh}}$ (Fig. 5). The results are contained in Tables I and II, meshes.

Fespectively. Table II(a) contains the maximum shaft orbit radii

which are computed from $X_i^2 + Z_j^2$ for $j = 1,2$, p, and B.

Figure 7.- Displacement of the first compound gear shaft in X direction versus time.

Figure 8.-Displacement of the first compound gear shaft in Y direction versus time.

Figure 10.--Displacement of the second compound gear shaft in Y direction versus time.

Figure 11.-Axial displacement of the first compound gear shaft in Z direction.

 $\ddot{}$ Dimensionless dynamic transmission error 3 $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$ $\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf 0$ \mathbf{I} -2 -3 \mathbf{o} 50 100 150 200 250 Time, sec

Figure 12.-Axial displacement of the second compound gear shaft in Z direction.

Figure 14.-Dynamic transmission error of the pinion and second helical compound gear, ψρ - ψ_{2h}.

5

Figure 15.-Dynamic transmission error of the bull and first spur compound gear, ψ_{B} - ψ_{1S} .

TABLE I. - EFFECT OF SHAFT LOCATIONS ON GEARBOX
VIBRATIONS

(a) Lateral vibration of shafts						
	Maximum dimensionless shaft orbit radius					
Shaft location. deg	let compound gear	2nd compound rear	Pinion	Bull gear		
$a_1 = 93.7$ $\alpha_{\rm m} = 50.0$ $\alpha_{\rm m} = 120.0$	0.4	0.8	1.7	0.3		
$a_1 = 68.2$ $\alpha_{\rm m} = 57.3$ $\alpha_n = 166.2$	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4		
$\alpha_1 = 61.1$ $\alpha_{\rm m} = 57.8$ $a_p = 180.0$	1.4	0.6	1×10^{-8}	0.3		

(b) Axial vibration of shafts

Shaft location. deg	Maximum dimensionless dynamic transmission error				
	$\Psi_{\bf p} - \Psi_{\bf th}$	$\Psi_{\bf p} - \Psi_{\bf m}$	$\Psi_{11}=\Psi_{12}$		
$a_1 = 93.7$ $a_{\rm m} = 50.0$ $a_p = 120.0$	2.0	1.0	0.8		
$a_1 = 68.2$ $a_{\rm m} = 57.3$ $a_n = 166.2$	1.0	1.5	0.5		
$a_2 = 61.1$ $a_{\rm m} = 57.8$ $\alpha_{\rm p} = 180.0$		1.5	0.5		

 \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L}

Figure 16.--Dynamic transmission error of the bull and second spur compound gear, ψ_B - ψ_{2s} .

9

mum dynamic transmission errors of the gear pairs. The numbers result of unequal static bearing reactions of the two compound in these tables are given in dimensionless form. The physical gears. displacements can be recoterd by multiplying the dimensionless Figures **II** and 12 show the axial displacements of the two values by the characteristic parameters contained in appendix B. compound gears. The static transmission errors of the two power compound gear increases as the pinion is placed closer to the output the gear train; this is a realistic condition which will arise due to vibration amplitudes of the pinion shaft and has almost no effect on transmission errors may be the cause for the slight phase difference the cutput shaft vibrations. The data of Table I(b) shows that between the axial motion of the two compound gears, Z_1 and Z_2 , bringing the pinion gear closer to the output gear reduces the axial as depicted in Figs. 11 and 12. The phase shift between the axial bringing the pinion gear closer to the output gear reduces the axial $\frac{1}{2}$ compound gear mesh, $\Psi_+ - \Psi_{++}$, and the first output spur mesh, train. while the dynamic transmission error of the pinion and the second the helical and spur gear meshes of the two power paths. respeccompound gear, $\Psi_p - \Psi_{2h}$ increases.

Tables l(a), to (c), except here, the mesh stiffness phase difference is power paths as well as the small differences in the simulated static varied to determine its influence on the response of the system. The transmission errors in each power path. data of Table II(a) indicates that as the phase difference between The mathematical model presented in this work may be the stiffnesses of the helical pinion and the two compound gears employed in an optimization process to determine the optimum

TABLE II. - EFFECT OF MESH STIFFNESS TUNING ON GEARBOX increases, the amplitude of lateral vibration increases for all shafts.
VIBRATION However, an increase of the phase difference decreases the axial (a) Lateral vibration of shafts **vibration of shaft** and the two compound gears (Table $II(b)$). The data of Table $II(c)$ indicates that an increase in the phase difference causes an increase in the dynamic transmission errors of the meshing gear pairs. These results show that the shaft locations and stiffness tuning are significant design parameters that **dog** influence the motions of the system. Optimization techniques should be applied with this analysis to determine the optimum shaft locations and mesh stiffness tuning parameters.

3.6 1.6 1.1 **1.3 0.3** The periodic, time-variable mesh stiffness categorizes the gear **3_.0 16.2_** _ _ **__1 1** __ _.3train as a parametrically excited dynamic system. The simulated **36.0 16.2 7.1 1.7** 1.3 motions of the system shown in Figs. 7 to 16 are consistent with 90.0 **12.7** 17.1 2.7 3.0 typical responses of a parametrically excited system with high

180.0 53.65 25.1 3.6 4.5 **have a low-frequency characteristics restrained by a low-frequency envelope** Also, dynamic instabilities similar to those observed in systems (b) *Axial vibration* of *shafte* (b) *Axial vibration* of *shafte* expressed by Mathieu's equations are expected under certain operating conditions (Nayfeh, 1979). Unlike externally excited systems in which a small excitation produces large response only if the excitation frequency is close to one of the natural frequencies of the **⁰**,• - **02,a.** system, a small parametric excitation can potentially produce a **deg** large response when the excitation frequency is close to one-half of one of the natural frequencies of the system. In such cases, if the system is purely linear, theoretically the response amplitude increases to infinity. However, most physical dynamic systems **36.0** 2.s 2.0 0.2 possess some degree of nonlinearity which influences the system's response as soon as the amplitude of motion grows beyond certain limits. Hence, the linear theory is only useful in determining the initial growth or decay of the system response. The dynamic system **(c)** Angular vibration of gear pain studied in this work has been modeled as a linear system with parametric excitations. However, the physical system possesses some inherent nonlinear characteristics such as the gear mesh backlash and hardening bearing stiffnesses which influence the motion of the physical system. These nonlinear effects are not included in the theoretical model presented in this work. The large amplitudes of vibrations for $\Psi_{1s} - \Psi_B$ as shown in Table II(c) are thought to be the results of instabilities inherent in parametrically excited linear **3.6** 2.0 **1.0 7.0** systems and not representative of the nonlinear physical system.

As mentioned earlier, although the split-torque gear train is geometrically symmetric with respect to the centerline of the bull and pinion gears, the dynamic behavior of the first and second compound gears are not the same; this can be seen by comparing Figs. 7 to 10, respectively. The difference between the vibrations of the two compound gears has been observed in an experiment conducted by Table I(b) shows the axial displacements of the first and second the Sikorsky Helicopter Company as a part of the Advanced Rotorcompound gears and of the pinion. Table 1(c) contains the maxi- craft Transmission Project (Kish, 1992). This difference may be the

As shown in Table I(a), the vibration amplitude of the first paths were considered slightly different in the dynamic simulation of bull gear; this closeness is measured by the angle α_p (Fig. 2). Manufacturing limitations of making two exactly matched com-
Installing the pinion closer to the output bull gear decreases the pound gear assemblies. The movement of the compound gears and the pinion shaft. The data of motions of the two compound gears indicates that the balance beam Table I(c) depicts that the dynamic transmission errors of the first has a rocking motion in the simulated dynamic operation of the gear

 $I_A - \Psi_B$, decreases with moving the pinion toward the output gear Figures 13 to 16 are the dynamic transmission errors of pairs of tively. The differences in the responses of the corresponding mesh Tables II(a) to (c) present similar results as those of pairs may be the result of the nonsymmetric bearing reactions of the

ponents of the gear train.

dynamic and vibration characteristics of split-torque transmissions. 1989, pp. 53-65.
The model consists of 18 equations of motion. The equations were White G.: De developed using the Lagrangian method and solved using a fifth- NASA Technical Report NAS3-22528, 1982. order/sixth-order Runge-Kutta method. The model was demon- Zakrajsek, **J.:** Comparison Study of Gear Dynamics Computer simulated static transmission errors for each gear pair. Parametric studies were also conducted to examine the effects of two design parameters, shaft location, and mesh stiffness tuning, on the performance of the gear train. The following specific results were APPENDIX A - TYPICAL EQUATION OF MOTION OF THE obtained:

1. The simulated motions of the sytem are consistent with SPLIT-TORQUE TRANSMISSION typical responses of a parametrically excited sytem with highfrequency cheracteristics restrained by a low-frequency envelope. The system nas 18 equations of motion.

2. The large amplitudes of vibrations in some of the simulated motions are thought to be the results of instabilities inherent in The equation of lateral displacement of the first compound gear, Yi, parametrically excited linear systems and not represenative of the is presented below: nonlinear physical system.

3. Although the split-torque gear train is geometrically sym- M_1 **V**. metric, the simulated dynamic behavior of the first and second compound gears are not the same.

4. The shaft location and mesh stiffness tuning are significant design parameters that influence the motions of the system

August, R., Kasuba, R., Frater, J., and Pintz, A.: Dynamics of Planetary Gear Trains, NASA Contractor Report 3793, Grant NAG3-186, June 1984.

Choy, F.K., Townsend, D.P., and Oswald, F.B.: Experimental and Analytical Evaluation of Dynamic Load and Vibration of a 2240-kW (3000 hp) Rotorcraft Transmission, NASA TM-88975, presented at the ASME Design Engineering Conference, Chicago, March 2-5, 1987.

Choy, F.K., Townsend, D.P., and Oswald, F.B.: Dynamic Analysis of Multimesh-Gear Helicopter Transmission, NASA TP-2789, February 1988.

Coy, J.J., and Bill, R.C.: Advanced Transmission Studies, NASA TM-100867, AVSCOM TR 88-C-002, presented at 44th Annual Forum of the American Helicopter Society, Washington D.C., June **22** 16-18, 1988. **[18]** $\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^{1-\epsilon}$ [18] $\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^{1-\epsilon}$ [18] $\left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^{1-\epsilon}$

Fischer G.: Advanced Power Transmission Technology, Proc. of a symposium sponsored by Propulsion Laboratory, AVRADCOM Research and Technology Laboratories and NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio, June 9-11, 1981.

Hochmann, D., Smith, D., Thomas, J., and Houser, D.R.: Transmission Error and Load Distribution Analysis of Spur and Double Helical Gear Pair Used in a Split Path Helicopter Transmission Design, presented at AHS Technical Specialists Meeting, Philadelphia, October 15-16, 1991.

Kish, J: Advanced Rotorcraft Transmission Program Final Report, NASA Contractor Report In Process, 1992.

Nayfeh, Ali H., and Mook, Dean T.: Nonlinear Oscillations, Pure and Applied Mathematics, A Whiley-Interscience Series of Texts, ISBN:0-471-03555-6, 1979.

Singh, R., and Lim, T.C.: Vibration Transmission Through Rolling Element Bearings in Geared Rotor Systems, NASA CR-4334, AVSCOM TR 90-C-019, November 1990.

White **G.:** New Family of High-Ratio Reduction Gear with Multiple Drive Paths, Proc. Instn. Engrs., Vol. 188, IMech, 1974, pp. 281-288.

values of the geometric and material properties of the subcom-
 White, G.: 3600 hp Split-Torque Helicopter Transmission, NASA

Report CR 174932, 1985.

White, G.: Design of A 375 KW Helicopter Transmission with Split-Torque Epicyclic and Bevel Drive Stages, Proc. lnstn. Mech. **SUMMARY OF RESULTS** Engrs., Part C, 1983, 197, pp. 213-224.

White, G.: Split-Torque Helicopter Transmission with Widely A mathematical model has been developed to analyze the Separated Engines, Proc. Instn Mech. Engrs., Vol 203, IMech,

White G.: Design Study of Split-Torque Helicopter Transmissions,

Programs at NASA Lewis Research Center, NASA Technical Paper
2901, March 1989.

¹ vBI} Y1 - (Sin(al)Cos(al) K1 .} X, R- { [(rpCos² (C))Klmb)(rpCos2(0)Sin(aP)K mhi/rp2 Cos² (ý)(klmh **REFERENCES PP2b/** ^m ()(K -Cosa)K))/ Cos ² (•)(Kim ()(K **-** ^K ² m) *+* K}Z Cs ² ('i)(klmh - *K2rh) +* K.} *ih C.s•()(Klmb ²**• ¹**+ C2 1 **X1**

+ C_{2,3}
$$
\dot{X}_p
$$
 + C_{2,4} \dot{Y}_p + C_{2,6} \dot{Y}_2 + C_{2,8} \dot{Y}_B
+ C_{2,9} \dot{Z}_1 + C_{2,10} \dot{Z}_2 + C_{2,11} \dot{Z}_p
+ C_{2,12} $\dot{\Psi}_i$ + C_{2,13} $\dot{\Psi}_{1h}$ + C_{2,14} $\dot{\Psi}_{2h}$ + C_{2,15} $\dot{\Psi}_{1s}$ + C_{2,17} $\dot{\Psi}_B$
= - {[- K_{1mh} Cos(β) + (r_p²Cos²(β)K_{1mh}²)/(r_p²Cos²(β)(K_{1mh}
+ K_{2mh}) + K_{sp})] e_{1h}(t)
+ [(r_p Cos²(β) K_{1mh} K_{2mh})/(r_p²Cos²(β)(K_{1mh} + K_{2mh}) + K_{sp})]
× e_{2h}(t) - [K_{1ms} Cos(α_1)] e_{1s}(t)}

APPENDIX B - DIMENSIONLESS ANALYSIS

The equations of motion are expressed in dimensionless forms, using certain characteristic parameters inherent in the gear train system. The characteristic parameters are:

Length = \overline{E}_p , where \overline{E}_p is the mean value of the displacement element function of the pinion and helical compound gear

Time = $1/\omega_{\rm p}$, where $\omega_{\rm n}$ is the pinion speed, rad/sec

Force = $\overline{E}_p \overline{K}_{1mh}$, where \overline{K}_{1mh} is the mean value of the time varying mesh characteristic stiffness of the pinion-compound mesh

Torque = $(\overline{E}_p \ \overline{K}_{1mb}) r_p$, where r_p is the pinion's base circle radius

$$
Angle = \overline{E}_p/r_n
$$

Using the above characteristic parameters, the following dimensionless parameters may be defined:

Time = ω t

Linear displacement = displacement/ \overline{E}_n

Angular displacement = (r_p/\overline{E}_p) angular displacement

Inertia in linear displacement equation = $M \omega_p^2 / \overline{K}_{1mh}$

Inertia in angular displacement equation = $I \omega_p^2 / r_p^2 \overline{K}_{1mh}$

Damping of linear displacement in linear equation of motion $=\omega_{\rm p}^{\dagger} C/\overline{K}_{1\rm mb}$

Damping of linear disclacement in angular equation of motion $=\omega_{\rm p}$ C/r_p K_{1mh}

Damping of angular displacement in linear equation of motion $=\omega_{\rm n}$ C/r_p K_{1mh}

Damping of angular displacement in angular equation of motion
= $\omega_p C/r_p^2 \overline{K}_{1\text{mh}}$

Stiffness of linear displacement in linear equation of motion $= K(t)/K_{1mh}$

Stiffness of linear displacement in angular equation of motion $= K(t)/r_{\rm p} \overline{K}_{1\text{mh}}$

Stiffness of angular displacement in linear equation of motion $= K(t)/r_{\rm n} \overline{K}_{1\text{mh}}$

Stiffness of angular displacement in angular equation of motion $= K(t)/r_p^2 \overline{K}_{1mh}$

$$
\text{Force} = \text{force} / (\overline{\text{E}}_{\text{p}} \text{ K}_{1 \text{mh}})
$$

 $\text{Torque} = \text{torque}/(\mathbf{r_{\mathrm{n}}}\ \overline{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathrm{n}}\ \overline{\mathbf{K}}_{1\text{mh}})$

The above dimensionless parameters were employed to carry out a computer simulation of the dynamics of this gear train.

APPENDIX C - DIMENSIONS AND PROPERTIES OF SPLIT

TORQUE TRANSMISSION

