

Cleveland State University [EngagedScholarship@CSU](https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/)

[Physics Faculty Publications](https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/sciphysics_facpub) **Physics Department**

11-11-2011

Equation of state from the Potts-percolation model of a solid

Miron Kaufman Cleveland State University, m.kaufman@csuohio.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/sciphysics_facpub](https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/sciphysics_facpub?utm_source=engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu%2Fsciphysics_facpub%2F138&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

C Part of the Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics Commons [How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](http://library.csuohio.edu/engaged/)

Original Citation

Kaufman, Miron. "Equation of state from the Potts-percolation model of a solid." Physical Review E (Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics), v. 84 issue 5, 2011, p. 051106-051109.

Repository Citation Kaufman, Miron, "Equation of state from the Potts-percolation model of a solid" (2011). Physics Faculty Publications. 138. [https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/sciphysics_facpub/138](https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/sciphysics_facpub/138?utm_source=engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu%2Fsciphysics_facpub%2F138&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Physics Department at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

Equation of state from the Potts-percolation model of a solid

Miron Kaufman¹ and H. T. Diep^{2,*}

¹*Department of Physics, Cleveland State University, Cleveland, Ohio 44115, USA* ²*Laboratoire de Physique Theorique et Mod ´ elisation, Universit ´ e de Cergy-Pontoise, CNRS, UMR 8089 2, ´ Avenue Adolphe Chauvin, FR-95302 Cergy-Pontoise Cedex, France*

(Received 23 June 2011; revised manuscript received 1 September 2011; published 11 November 2011)

We expand the Potts-percolation model of a solid to include stress and strain. Neighboring atoms are connected by bonds. We set the energy of a bond to be given by the Lennard-Jones potential. If the energy is larger than a threshold the bond is more likely to fail, whereas if the energy is lower than the threshold, the bond is more likely to be alive. In two dimensions we compute the equation of state: stress as a function of interatomic distance and temperature by using renormalization-group and Monte Carlo simulations. The phase diagram, the equation of state, and the isothermal modulus are determined. When the Potts heat capacity is divergent the continuous transition is replaced by a weak first-order transition through the van der Waals loop mechanism. When the Potts transition is first order the stress exhibits a large discontinuity as a function of the interatomic distance.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.84.051106](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.84.051106) PACS number(s): 05*.*50*.*+q, 05*.*10*.*Ln, 05*.*10*.*Cc, 64*.*60*.*−i

I. INTRODUCTION

The mechanical properties of solids, such as melting [\[1,2\]](#page-9-0) and mechanical failure [\[3–6\]](#page-9-0), are topics of considerable interest. In this paper we continue the analysis of an equilibrium statistical mechanics model [\[7,8\]](#page-9-0) of a solid. Previously we assumed [\[9\]](#page-9-0) harmonic springs and evaluated the role of thermal fluctuations by using renormalization-group and Monte Carlo simulations. Furthermore, we studied [\[10\]](#page-9-0) the model with an extended defect line and found a hybrid, firstand second-order phase transition. In this paper we study the equation of state of the solid, stress as a function, of strain and temperature, obtained by assuming neighboring atoms are separated by a fixed interatomic distance and associating the Lennard-Jones energy to each pair of neighboring atoms . An alternative realistic anharmonic energy versus atomic

distance due to Ferrante [\[11\]](#page-9-0) has been considered in a previous work [\[12\]](#page-9-0).

The model is defined in Sec. II. We assume the energy of a pair of neighboring atoms to be given by the Lennard-Jones 6-12 potential. If the energy of such a spring is larger than the threshold energy, the probability for its failure is higher than 50%. This model is mapped into a Potts model with couplings that are dependent on the interatomic distance. The free energy, number of live bonds, their fluctuations, stress, and modulus are computed using renormalization-group and Monte Carlo techniques. Fixing the interatomic distance to a value independent of the atom locations makes this model mean-field like. The role of the interatomic distance fluctuations will be studied in a future work. The number of Potts states *q* is a fugacity conjugated to the number of clusters of live bonds, with $q = 1$ corresponding to springs failing independently of each other. A challenging question not addressed here is how to connect *q* to data from a real solid.

The equation of state is studied paying particular attention to the solid failure signaled by an extremum in the dependence of stress on the interatomic distance. Beyond the maximum under expansion and the minimum under compression for stress versus strain dependence, the solid ceases to be thermodynamically stable. The phase diagram includes the Potts transition line. If the Potts transition line is in the stable region of the phase diagram and if the *q* value is such that the Potts heat capacity is divergent, a remarkable phenomenon occurs in the vicinity of the transition line. A van der Waals loop [\[13\]](#page-9-0) develops in the stress-strain dependence signaling a weak phase transition that replaces the continuous Potts phase transition. While in the renormalization-group calculations $[14,15]$ that are exact $[16]$ on hierarchical lattices $[17,18]$ the Potts transitions are always continuous, in the Monte Carlo simulations we can see both continuous transitions (for small *q*) and discontinuous transitions (for large *q*). This allows us to explore the influence of the order of the Potts transition on the mechanical properties of the solid, such as stress dependence on temperature and interatomic distance.

In Sec. [III](#page-4-0) we present numerical results based on the renormalization-group Migdal-Kadanoff scheme. Monte Carlo simulations are presented in Sec. [IV.](#page-6-0) Our concluding remarks are found in Sec. [V.](#page-8-0)

II. MODEL

The energy of any pair of neighboring atoms is

$$
E(r) = \epsilon \left[\left(\frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{12} - 2 \left(\frac{r_0}{r} \right)^6 \right],\tag{1}
$$

where r is the interatomic distance and r_0 is the equilibrium interatomic distance (under zero stress). If the energy of the spring is larger than the threshold energy E_0 the bond is more likely to fail than to be alive. *p* is the probability that the bond is alive and $1 - p$ is the probability that the bond is broken. We assume the probabilistic weight $w = p/(1 - p)$ to depend on energy through the Boltzmann weight:

$$
w = \frac{p}{1 - p} = e^{-[E(r) - E_0]/k_B T}.
$$
 (2)

We allow for correlations between failing events by using the Potts number of states q , which plays the role of a fugacity

^{*}Corresponding author: diep@u-cergy.fr

FIG. 1. Phase diagram (T, r) plane for $E_0 = -0.5$, $q = 10$. The line with crosses is the instability line and the line with diamonds is the Potts transition line. The model solid is thermodynamically unstable outside the instability line.

controlling the number of clusters. For the same number of live bonds, graphs with more clusters are favored if *q >* 1, while if $q \ll 1$ there is a tendency to form a few large clusters. If $q = 1$, bonds fail independently of one another, i.e., random percolation process.

The partition function is obtained [\[19\]](#page-9-0) by summing over all possible configurations of bonds arranged on the lattice

$$
Z = \sum_{\text{config}} q^C w^B,\tag{3}
$$

where C is the number of clusters, including single site clusters, and *B* is the number of live bonds.

The free energy per bond is $f = \ln Z/N_{bonds}$. The derivatives of the free energy *f* with respect to *w* and *q* provide, respectively, the number of live bonds *b* and the number of clusters *c*, each normalized by the total number of lattice bonds

$$
b = w \frac{\partial f}{\partial w},\tag{4}
$$

$$
c = q \frac{\partial f}{\partial q}.\tag{5}
$$

The derivatives of *b* and *c* with respect to *w* and *q* provide the fluctuations (variances) of those quantities:

$$
\Delta b^2 = w \frac{\partial b}{\partial w},\tag{6}
$$

$$
\Delta c^2 = q \frac{\partial c}{\partial q}.\tag{7}
$$

FIG. 2. (Color online) Equation-of-state isotherms: stress (white circles) versus strain for $E_0 = -0.5$, $q = 10$, $T = 0.2$ (upper) and 0.26 (lower). The Potts critical points are indicated by the lines with crosses and the stability limits are indicated by the solid vertical lines. The region between the solid lines is the stable region. The compression region to its left and the expansion region to its right are unstable. In Fig. 3 we show zooms close to the Potts critical points.

FIG. 3. (Color online) Close-ups on isotherm $E_0 = -0.5$, $q = 10$, $T = 0.26$ near the Potts transition line under compression and expansion, respectively. van der Waals loops, which signal discontinuous transitions through the Maxwell construction, are exhibited at the Potts critical points (indicated by vertical lines with crosses). The solid line shows the stability limit.

The stress σ is calculated by taking the derivative of the free energy with respect to r , the interatomic distance:

$$
\sigma = -k_B T \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} = b \frac{dE(r)}{dr}.
$$
 (8)

The equation of state, Eq. (8) , states that the average stress is equal to the stress associated with each live bond *dE*(*r*)*/dr* multiplied by the number of live bonds *b*. The energy gradient is obtained from Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0):

$$
\frac{dE(r)}{dr} = -\frac{12\epsilon}{r_0} \left[\left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{13} - \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^7 \right].
$$
 (9)

The equation of state yields the isothermal linear modulus (inverse compressibility) *m*:

$$
m = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial r}\bigg|_{T} = \frac{d^2 E(r)}{dr^2} - \frac{1}{k_B T} \Delta b^2 \left(\frac{dE(r)}{dr}\right)^2.
$$
 (10)

We perform Monte Carlo simulations of the model using its mapping into the Potts model. By using the Kasteleyn-Fortuin expansion [\[20,21\]](#page-9-0) we can rewrite the partition function, Eq. (3) , as

$$
Z = \text{Tr}_{\sigma} e^{-(H/k_B T)}.
$$
 (11)

The Hamiltonian is

$$
-\frac{H}{k_B T} = \sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} J(r)\delta(s_i, s_j),\tag{12}
$$

where s_i is a Potts [\[22\]](#page-9-0) spin at the lattice site *i* taking *q* values. The coupling constant $J(r)$ is related to the original parameters by

$$
J(r) = \ln(1+w) = \ln(1 + e^{-[E(r) - E_0]/k_B T}).
$$
 (13)

To get the equation of state from Monte Carlo simulations for a given T , r , and E_0 , we calculate the Potts coupling constant $J(r)$, using Eq. (13). Then we rewrite Eq. (8) to get the stress. The number of live bonds *b* is obtained from the Potts energy

$$
u = -\overline{\delta(s_i, s_j)}.
$$
 (14)

The equation of state becomes

$$
\sigma = -u(e^{[E(r)-E_0]/k_B T} + 1)^{-1} \frac{dE(r)}{dr}.
$$
 (15)

FIG. 4. (Color online) Isothermal modulus *m* versus interatomic distance *r* for $E_0 = -0.5$, $q = 10$, $T = 0.2$ (upper) and 0.26 (lower). The Potts transitions are indicated by the vertical lines with crosses, while the solid lines show the stability limits. The region between the solid lines is the stable region. The compression region to its left and the expansion region to its right are unstable.

FIG. 5. (Color online) Stress and modulus versus interatomic distance at the critical temperature corresponding to $r = 1$. The Potts critical point is indicated by the line with crosses. No anomaly occurs because of the vanishing of $\frac{dE}{dr}$. The region between the solid lines is the stable region. The compression region to its left and the expansion region to its right are unstable.

FIG. 6. Phase diagram (T, r) plane for $E_0 = 0.5$, $q = 10$. Lines displayed by crosses are instability lines and the line with black circles is the Potts transition line. The model solid is thermodynamically unstable outside the instability lines.

In all numerical results that will be presented next in Sec. III from renormalization-group calculations and in Sec. [IV](#page-6-0) from Monte Carlo simulations, we express energy in units of ϵ , temperature in units of ϵ / k_B , distance in units of r_0 , and stress in units of ϵ/r_0 .

FIG. 7. Phase diagram (T, r) plane for $E_0 = -0.5$ (upper) and $E_0 = 0.5$ (lower) for $q = 1$. Lines displayed by crosses are instability lines and the line with black circles is the Potts transition line. The model solid is thermodynamically unstable outside the instability lines.

FIG. 8. Averaged Potts energy *U* and order parameter *Q* vs temperature *T* for $q = 10$, $r = 0.96$ (black circles), 1 (void circles), and 1.04 (black triangles), with $N_x = N_y = 100$ and $E_0 = -0.5$. Lines are guides to the eye.

III. RENORMALIZATION GROUP

The Migdal-Kadanoff [\[14,15\]](#page-9-0) recursion equation for two dimensions is

$$
w' = \left[1 + \frac{w^2}{2w + q}\right]^2 - 1.
$$
 (16)

The free energy $f = \ln Z/N_B$, N_B being the number of lattice edges, is

$$
f = \sum_{N} \frac{C_N}{4^N},\tag{17}
$$

where

$$
C = 2 \ln(2w + q). \tag{18}
$$

The recursion equations (16) – (18) represent the exact solutions [\[16\]](#page-9-0) for the diamond hierarchical lattice [\[17,18\]](#page-9-0).

The renormalization-group flows are governed by the following fixed points: (i) $w = 0$ (nonpercolating live bonds), (ii) $w = \infty$ (percolating network of live bonds), and (iii) $w = w_c$ (Potts critical point). Using the free energy we can compute the number of live bonds *b*, their fluctuation (variance), stress, thermal expansion, and modulus. Each

FIG. 9. Stress vs *r* for $q = 10$ at $T = 0.36$ (upper left), 0.38 (upper right), 0.40 (lower left), and 0.46 (lower right), with $N_x = N_y = 100$ and $E_0 = -0.5$. Lines are guides to the eye. See text for comments.

of those quantities is scaled by the total number of lattice bonds.

For given values of E_0 and q , the phase diagram in the plane (*T ,r*) includes two types of singularity lines. In Fig. [1](#page-2-0) we fixed the threshold energy at $E_0 = -0.5$ and the cluster fugacity at $q = 10$. The Potts-percolation line separates the region where the probability for formation of an infinite cluster of live bonds is nonzero from the region where the probability is zero. On this line the probability weight defined in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) is equal to w_c . On the instability line the solid becomes soft, as its modulus vanishes $\partial \sigma / \partial r = 0$. The minimum of stress versus interatomic distance under compression $(r < 1)$ and its maximum under expansion (*r >* 1) provide the limits of stability for the solid. The solid becomes soft at these points. Beyond this line the solid is thermodynamically unstable since *∂σ/∂r <* 0. Note that a large portion of the Potts transition line (diamonds) is situated in the unstable region.

We show in Fig. [2](#page-2-0) the stress versus interatomic distance for two isotherms $T = 0.2$ and $T = 0.26$, respectively. Figure [3](#page-2-0) contains zooms close to the Potts transitions that show the van der Waals loops. The modulus is shown in Fig. [4](#page-3-0) for the same values of the model parameters.

The $T = 0.2$ isotherm is in the region of phase diagram where the Potts transition is in the instability region, while the $T = 0.26$ one is in the region where the Potts transition is in the stable region. A zoom view on the isotherm $T = 0.26$ in the neighborhoods of the two Potts transitions (see Fig. [3\)](#page-2-0) reveals van der Waals loops [\[13\]](#page-9-0) that yield weak discontinuous transitions (small discontinuity) by means of the Maxwell construction. Hence discontinuous transitions replace the continuous transition of the Potts model for the hierarchical lattice. The modulus and the thermal expansion (Fig. [4\)](#page-3-0) show anomalies at the Potts transition that are connected to the divergence of Δb^2 (or of the heat capacity) in the Potts model on the diamond hierarchical lattice for $q = 10$. The modulus becomes negative, and thus the solid is thermodynamically unstable, when Δb^2 is large enough [see Eq. [\(8\)](#page-3-0)]. For the square lattice we expect the same phenomenon for $q = 2,3,4$

FIG. 10. Stress vs *T* for $q = 10$, at three values of *r*: 1.04 (black triangles), 1 (void circles), and 0.96 (black circles), with $N_x = N_y$ 100 and $E_0 = -0.5$. Note the discontinuities in the cases $r = 0.96$ and 1.04. Lines are guides to the eye. See text for comments.

where the heat capacity (and thus Δb^2) is infinite at the Potts critical point. This will be confirmed through Monte Carlo simulations in Sec. IV below.

In Fig. [5](#page-3-0) we show the isotherm where the temperature equals the critical temperature for $r = 1$ (equilibrium interatomic distance for zero stress). We also show the corresponding modulus. The anomaly apparent in Figs. [2–](#page-2-0)4 is not present at $r = 1$ because the derivative of E with respect to r [see Eq. [\(9\)](#page-3-0)] is zero at $r = 1$ and thus the negative contribution on the right-hand side of Eq. (10) vanishes.

To illustrate the dependence of the phase diagram on the parameters q and E_0 we show, in addition to the phase diagram for $E_0 = -0.5$, $q = 10$ of Fig. [1,](#page-2-0) the phase diagram for $E_0 =$ 0.5, $q = 10$ in Fig. [6](#page-4-0) and the phase diagrams for $E_0 = -0.5$, $q = 1$ and $E_0 = 0.5$, $q = 1$ in Fig. [7.](#page-4-0) The Potts line in the (T,r) plane is obtained by substituting in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) the critical value $w_c(q)$, $r_0 = 1$ and using Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0):

$$
\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{12} - 2\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^6 = E_0 - T \ln[w_c(q)].
$$
 (19)

For the self-dual square lattice the critical value [\[20\]](#page-9-0) is $w_c(q) = \sqrt{q}$ and this will be used in Sec. IV below to verify the accuracy of the Monte Carlo simulations. The instability line originates at zero temperature at the two values of *r* for which $E(r) = E_0$ provided the threshold energy is $-1 < E_0$ −0*.*787. The energy value of −0.787 is the energy at the inflexion point $r = 1.11$. If the threshold energy $E_0 > -0.787$ the instability line in the expansion region $r > 1$ originates

FIG. 12. Stress (upper curve) and zooms (lower curves) vs *r* for $q = 4, T = 0.67931$, with $N_x = N_y = 60$ and $E_0 = -0.5$.

from the inflexion point of $E(r)$, while under compression the starting point is at the *r* for which $E(r) = E_0$.

IV. MONTE CARLO SIMULATIONS

A. General remarks

We perform here Monte Carlo simulations of the Potts model governed by the Hamiltonian in Eq. [\(12\)](#page-3-0) with the nearest-neighbor interaction $J(r)$ given by Eq. [\(13\)](#page-3-0). For a given q , the main parameters are T , r , and E_0 . As in the previous section, we fix q and E_0 and make simulations in the space (T, r) .

The details of the simulations are the following. We consider a square lattice of size $N_x \times N_y$, where $N_x = N_y$ 40*,*60*,*80*,*100. Each lattice site is occupied by a *q*-state Potts spin. We use periodic boundary conditions. Depending on the location of the studied point in the phase space, we used an equilibrating time from 10^5 to 10^6 Monte Carlo (MC) steps per spin and an averaging time of the order of $10⁶$ MC steps per spin.

FIG. 13. Averaged Potts energy *U* and order parameter *Q* vs temperature *T* for $q = 3, r = 0.96$ (black circles), 1 (black triangles), and 1.04 (black squares), with $N_x = N_y = 100$ and $E_0 = -0.5$.

One purpose here is to test the renormalization-group prediction of Sec. [III](#page-4-0) for the cases when the heat capacity of the Potts model is divergent at criticality: $q = 2,3,4$. Although the renormalization-group analysis is exact for the diamond hierarchical lattice, its predictions for the square lattice are to be checked. The other goal of the simulations is to learn about the influence of the discontinuous Potts transition for $q > 4$ on the equation of state of our model. To achieve this we simulate the $q = 10$ on the square lattice.

To verify the accuracy of our simulations we compared the critical temperature estimated from our simulations to the exact critical temperature. For $r = 1$ it is

$$
T_c = \frac{1 + E_0}{\ln(\sqrt{q})}.
$$
\n(20)

With $q = 4$, $E_0 = -0.5$, we find $T_c \approx 0.721$. The Monte Carlo simulations give the same result up to four digits.

In MC simulations, we work at finite sizes, so for each size we have to determine the "pseudo" transition which corresponds, in general, to the maximum of the specific heat or of the susceptibility. The maxima of these quantities need not to be at the same temperature. Only at the infinite size, they should coincide. The theory of finite-size scaling permits one to deduce properties of a system at its thermodynamic limit. In this work we have used a size large enough to reproduce the bulk transition temperature up to the fourth decimal. We define the Potts order parameter *Q* by

$$
Q = [q \max(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_q) - 1]/(q - 1), \qquad (21)
$$

FIG. 14. Stress (upper) and zoom (lower) vs r for $q = 3, T = 0.5$ (black circles), 0.55 (black triangles), and 0.6 (void circles), with $N_x = N_y = 60$ and $E_0 = -0.5$.

where Q_n $(n = 1, \ldots, q)$ is the averaged value defined by

$$
Q_n = \left\langle \sum_j \delta(s_j - n) / (N_x N_y) \right\rangle, \tag{22}
$$

sj being the Potts spin at site *j* .

B. Results for $q = 10$

We recall that the Potts model shows a first-order transition [\[20\]](#page-9-0) for $q > 4$ at a finite temperature. This is seen in Fig. [8](#page-4-0) where the averaged Potts energy *U* defined by Eq. [\(14\)](#page-3-0) and the order parameter *Q* are shown for three values of *r*.

Note that these quantities show a large discontinuity at the transition temperature. These results confirm the first-order character of the transition. Repeating the simulations for other values of *r*, we determine the Potts transition line in the space (T,r) which agrees up to four digits with the exact critical line of Eq. (20), using $w_c = \sqrt{10}$. Note that unlike in some first-order transitions, the slow heating and slow cooling of the system do not result in a hysteresis. The energy barrier between the two phases is believed to be therefore not so high.

We calculate the stress σ using Eq. [\(15\)](#page-3-0) with *u* obtained from MC simulations shown above in Fig. [8,](#page-4-0) *E*(*r*) and $dE(r)/dr$ being given by Eqs. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) and [\(9\)](#page-3-0). This is done for many values of *r* and *T* around the Potts transition curve in search of the unstable regions predicted by the renormalization-group analysis shown in Figs. [1](#page-2-0)[–7.](#page-4-0) In practice, we fixed a temperature and then changed the value of *r*

FIG. 15. Averaged Potts energy *U* and order parameter *Q* vs temperature *T* for $q = 2$, $r = 0.96$ (black circles), 1 (void circles), and 1.04 (black triangles), with $N_x = N_y = 100$ and $E_0 = -0.5$.

across the Potts transition line by following a vertical line in Fig. [7.](#page-4-0) In doing so, we obtained for each *T* the stress as a function of r . At a given T , if there is no crossing of the Potts transition line then the stress behaves as shown in the curve for $T = 0.46$ in Fig. [9:](#page-5-0) σ goes smoothly through a minimum at a compression position to a maximum at a dilatation one. The solid is stable in the region between the minimum and the maximum since the modulus $d\sigma/dr$ is positive. On the other hand, when the system crosses the transition line by varying *r*, the stress exhibits jumps as seen in the three curves at $T = 0.36$, 0.38, and 0.40 in Fig. [9.](#page-5-0) The discontinuity in stress is due to the Potts energy discontinuity associated with the first-order transition of the ten-state Potts model. The first-order nature in the case $q = 10$ observed above enhances the instability. As will be seen below, a qualitatively different instability occurs for $q = 2,3,4$ where the transition is of second order.

It is interesting to show now in Fig. [10](#page-5-0) the stress versus *T* at a given *r*. As seen the stress undergoes a discontinuity at the Potts transition temperature if $r \neq 1$, but the discontinuity vanishes for $r = 1$.

To close this section, we emphasize that for the system studied here, the size effects are indistinguishable from $N_x =$ $N_{y} = 60$ up.

C. Results for *q* **= 2***,***3***,***4**

Let us consider the case where $q = 4$. We show in Fig. [11](#page-6-0) the averaged Potts energy Eq. [\(14\)](#page-3-0) and the order parameter *Q* obtained by MC simulations as described above for $q = 4$, $E_0 = -0.5$ and three values of *r*. The Potts transition is con-

FIG. 16. Stress vs *r* near the instability region (upper) for $q = 2$ with several temperatures from $T = 0.535$ to 0.675: data points for $T = 0.65$ are marked with void squares. This case is shown for a large region of *r* in the lower figure. $N_x = N_y = 60$, $E_0 = -0.5$.

tinuous with diverging heat capacity [\[20\]](#page-9-0). As a consequence of Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-0) the modulus becomes negative close to the transition temperature. This instabilty implies the emergence of a van der Waals loop in the dependence of the stress on *r*. We show this in Fig. [12.](#page-6-0) Hence the transition becomes weakly first order.

For $q = 3$ and $q = 2$, the results are shown in Figs. [13](#page-7-0) and [14](#page-7-0) and Figs. 15 and 16, respectively. The replacement of the Potts continuous transition with a weakly first-order transition occurs here also because the Potts heat capacity is divergent for $q = 2,3,4$. As seen, the van der Waals loops, though weak, are present.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have used renormalization-group and Monte Carlo simulations to study the phase diagram of a two-dimensional solid by using a model in which the interaction between neighboring atoms follows the Lennard-Jones potential.We have mapped the model into a *q*-state Potts model and investigated the effect of both a uniform compression and a uniform expansion of the volume of the solid. In the temperature, interatomic distance plane we find a line of Potts transitions and stability boundaries where the stress as a function of interatomic distance has an extremum. For the cases where the Potts heat capacity is divergent $(q = 2, 3, 4)$ for the square lattice, $q > 6.8$ for the hierarchical diamond lattice) a van der Waals loop (instability) occurs close to the Potts transition and thus a weak first-order transition (using Maxwell construction) replaces the continuous transition. This is a remarkable result that warrants further analysis. Monte Carlo simulations for large *q* values (where the square lattice Potts transition is discontinuous) indicate that the discontinuity in the Potts energy translates into a discontinuity in the stress as a function of the interatomic distance at the transition temperature. The influence of interatomic distance fluctuations on the nature of the phase transitions in this model will be addressed in a future study. Finally, let us note that while it is known that the solid phase cannot survive at finite *T* in two dimensions with continuous degrees of freedom of atom

- [1] L. Gomez, A. Dobry, and H. T. Diep, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.224103) **63**, 224103 [\(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.224103)
- [2] L. Gomez, A. Dobry, C. Geuting, H. T. Diep, and L. Burakovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**[, 095701 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.095701)
- [3] L. de Arcangelis, A. Hansen, H. J. Herrmann, and S. Roux, *[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.877)* Rev. B **40**[, 877 \(1989\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.877)
- [4] P. D. Beale and D. J. Srolovitz, Phys. Rev. B **37**[, 5500 \(1988\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.5500)
- [5] Z. G. Wang, U. Landman, R. L. Blumberg Selinger, and W. M. Gelbart, Phys. Rev. B **44**[, 378 \(1991\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.44.378)
- [6] M. J. Alava, P. K. V. V. Nukala, and S. Zapperi, [Adv. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018730300741518) **55**, [349 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018730300741518)
- [7] R. Englman and Z. Jaeger, Physica A **168**[, 655 \(1990\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(90)90418-R)
- [8] R. L. Blumberg Selinger, Z. G. Wang, W. M. Gelbart, and A. Ben-Shaul, Phys. Rev. A **43**[, 4396 \(1991\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.43.4396)
- [9] M. Kaufman and H. T. Diep, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/20/7/075222) **20**, [075222 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/20/7/075222)

motions, our present study with discrete degrees of freedom shows some interesting behaviors which would serve as a starting point to study three-dimensional solids where melting mechanisms are not well understood [1,2].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

M.K. wishes to thank the University of Cergy-Pontoise for hospitality while this work was carried out.

- [10] H. T. Diep and M. Kaufman, Phys. Rev. E **80**[, 031116 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.80.031116)
- [11] J. Ferrante and J. R. Smith, Phys. Rev. B **31**[, 3427 \(1985\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.3427)
- [12] M. Kaufman and J. Ferrante, NASA Tech. Memo. 107112 (1996).
- [13] J. D. van der Waals, *On the Continuity of the Gaseous and Liquid States* (Dover, New York, 2004).
- [14] A. A. Migdal, Sov. Phys. JETP **42**, 743 (1976).
- [15] L. P. Kadanoff, [Ann. Phys. \(NY\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(76)90066-X) **100**, 359 (1976).
- [16] A. N. Berker and S. Ostlund, J. Phys. C **12**[, 4961 \(1979\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/12/22/035)
- [17] M. Kaufman and R. B. Griffiths, Phys. Rev. B **30**[, 244 \(1984\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.30.244)
- [18] R. B. Griffiths and M. Kaufman, Phys. Rev. B **26**[, 5022 \(1982\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.26.5022)
- [19] M. Kaufman and D. Andelman, Phys. Rev. B **29**[, 4010 \(1984\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.29.4010)
- [20] F. Y. Wu, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.54.235) **54**, 235 (1982).
- [21] C. M. Fortuin and P. W. Kasteleyn, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. Suppl. **26**, 11 (1969).
- [22] R. B. Potts, [Proc. Cambridge Phios. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100027419) **48**, 106 (1952).