


9-2002

Comment on "Quadriceps Protects the Anterior Cruciate Ligament"

Antonie J. van den Bogert
Cleveland State University, a.vandenbogert@csuohio.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/enme_facpub

 Part of the [Biomechanical Engineering Commons](#), and the [Biomedical Engineering and Bioengineering Commons](#)

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

Publisher's Statement

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Journal of Orthopaedic Research. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Journal of Orthopaedic Research, 20, 5, (09-01-2002); 10.1016/S0736-0266(02)00041-4

Original Citation

van den Bogert, A. J., 2002, "Discussion. Comment on "Quadriceps Protects the Anterior Cruciate Ligament" Journal of Orthopaedic Research, 20(5) pp. 1133-1134.

This Response or Comment is brought to you for free and open access by the Mechanical Engineering Department at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mechanical Engineering Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

Discussion

Comment on “Quadriceps protects the anterior cruciate ligament”

Antonie J. van den Bogert *

Department of Biomedical Engineering, Cleveland Clinic Foundation (ND-20), 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195, USA

In a recent paper in this journal it was claimed that contraction of the quadriceps muscle protects the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) [3]. This is contrary to the common understanding that quadriceps contraction at small flexion angles causes an increase in ACL loading [6,7,9]. I applaud the courage of the author to challenge existing views, and indeed there are recent indications that those views have been overly simplistic [1,8,9]. However, the methods employed in [3] are seriously flawed, and application of these findings in a rehabilitation program may be harmful to patients.

The first flaw is caused by the statement that the quadriceps acts superiorly on the tibia during an open chain exercise because the tibia is moving, and that the quadriceps acts inferiorly on the femur during a closed chain exercise because the tibia is constrained. In fact, both forces (action and reaction force) always exist at the same time. When *all* forces are shown, effectively combining Figs. 1 and 2 of [3], there is no difference between closed chain and open chain exercise. The different movement of muscle fibers, as seen using ultrasound imaging [3], may have been due to a larger range of motion or a lower resistance during the open chain exercise. Neither range of motion or resistance appeared to have been controlled in the experiment [3]. Tendon and aponeurosis tissue is stiffer under large load, possibly resulting in smaller motion of muscle fibers relative to the bone.

The second flaw is that the force in the patellofemoral joint was not considered in Fig. 2 of [3]. When considering whether the femur is being pulled anteriorly or posteriorly by the quadriceps, it is important to include *all* effects of the quadriceps on the femur, including the forces transmitted through the patella. It is then easily seen that the resultant of all forces acting on the femur (Q_1 , Q_2 , and Q_3 in Fig. 1) is directed posteriorly. Hence, quadriceps activation pushes the femur posteriorly rel-




Fig. 1. Forces in the quadriceps and patella tendon. Forces Q_1 , Q_2 and Q_3 are transmitted to the femur. Force vectors Q_1 and Q_2 are equal and opposite, and cancel each other out when considering their resultant action on the femur. The resultant force on the femur due to quadriceps contraction is therefore equal to Q_3 , which is equal and opposite to Q_4 , the force acting on the tibia. Adapted from [3].

ative to the tibia and increases the strain in the ACL, which is exactly the same result that was obtained by Fig. 1 in [3].

Nevertheless, it is important to know that there is some evidence that the ACL is protected and safe during closed chain exercise [2,4,5]. This can be explained mainly by the fact that closed chain exercise is a multi-joint task in which the hamstrings tend to have a higher level of activation because they contribute to hip extension [4]. The magnitude of this protective effect will depend on the patient's muscle coordination. A secondary

* Tel.: +1-216-444-5566; fax: +1-216-444-9198.

E-mail address: bogert@bme.ri.ccf.org (A.J. van den Bogert).

consideration is that the force vector orientation of the external resistance may be different for both types of exercise. Both effects will diminish the loading of the ACL that is caused by quadriceps contraction.

It is also important to stress that increased compressive force in the knee, due to either weightbearing or muscle contraction, can potentially be protective. Such compression may increase or decrease the load in the ACL, depending on the slope of the tibial plateau [8,9]. Aune et al. [1] showed a protective effect of joint compression due to quadriceps contraction, but this was in a dissected joint where frictional properties of cartilage may have contributed to the anterior stability.

In conclusion, the results presented in [3] were obtained with a flawed method, and the conclusion that quadriceps contraction protects the ACL during closed chain exercise is incorrect and potentially harmful to patients.

References

- [1] Aune AK, Cawley PW, Ekeland A. Quadriceps muscle contraction protects the anterior cruciate ligament during anterior tibial translation. *Am J Sports Med* 1997;25:187-90.
- [2] Beynnon BD, Johnson RJ, Fleming BC, Stankewich CJ, Renstrom PA, Nichols CE. The strain behavior of the anterior cruciate ligament during squatting and active flexion-extension comparison of an open and a closed kinetic chain exercise. *Am J Sports Med* 1997;25:823-9.
- [3] Bodor M. Quadriceps protects the anterior cruciate ligament. *J Orthop Res* 2001;19:629-33.
- [4] Escamilla RF, Fleisig GS, Zheng N, Barrentine SW, Wilk KE, Andrews JR. Biomechanics of the knee during closed kinetic chain and open kinetic chain exercises. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1998;30:556-69.
- [5] Escamilla RF, Fleisig GS, Zheng N, Lander JE, Barrentine SW, Andrews JR, et al. Effects of technique variations on knee biomechanics during the squat and leg press. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2001;33:1552-66.
- [6] Li G, Rudy TW, Sakane M, Kanamori A, Ma CB, Woo SL. The importance of quadriceps and hamstring muscle loading on knee kinematics and in-situ forces in the ACL. *J Biomech* 1999;32:395-400.
- [7] Renstrom P, Arms SW, Stanwyck TS, Johnson RJ, Pope MH. Strain within the anterior cruciate ligament during hamstring and quadriceps activity. *Am J Sports Med* 1986;14:83-7.
- [8] Sakane M, Livesay GA, Fox RJ, Rudy TW, Runco TJ, Woo SL. Relative contribution of the ACL, MCL, and bony contact to the anterior stability of the knee. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 1999;7(2):93-7.
- [9] Shelburne KB, Pandy MG. Determinants of cruciate-ligament loading during rehabilitation exercise. *Clin Biomech* 1998;13:403-13.