1-1-2013

Spectroscopic Analysis of Polymerization and Exonuclease Proofreading By A High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase During Translesion DNA Synthesis

Babho Devadoss
Case Western Reserve University

Irene Lee
Case Western Reserve University

Anthony J. Berdis
Cleveland State University, A.BERDIS@csuohio.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/scichem_facpub

Part of the [Biochemistry Commons](#), and the [Chemistry Commons](#)

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

Recommended Citation

Devadoss, Babho; Lee, Irene; and Berdis, Anthony J., "Spectroscopic Analysis of Polymerization and Exonuclease Proofreading By A High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase During Translesion DNA Synthesis" (2013). *Chemistry Faculty Publications*. 215.
https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/scichem_facpub/215

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Chemistry Department at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

Spectroscopic analysis of polymerization and exonuclease proofreading by a high-fidelity DNA polymerase during translesion DNA synthesis

Babho Devadoss , Irene Lee , Anthony J. Berdis

Introduction

DNA polymerases play essential roles in accurately replicating genomic material. One of the most faithful DNA polymerases studied to date is the bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase (gp43) [1]. When replicating undamaged DNA, gp43 displays remarkably high fidelity by allowing only one dNMP misincorporation event per 10^8 turnovers [2]. Base substitution frequencies for other DNA polymerases range significantly. For example, replicative DNA polymerases such as mammalian DNA polymerase delta and the *Escherichia coli* DNA polymerase III holoenzyme

Abbreviations: gp43, wild-type bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase; gp43exo, exonuclease-deficient bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase; dATP, deoxyadenosine triphosphate; dGTP, deoxyguanosine triphosphate; 2-AATP, 2-aminopurine-2'-deoxyribose triphosphate; 5-NapITP, 5-naphthyl-indole-2'-deoxyribose triphosphate; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetate sodium salt; DTT, dithiothreitol; dNTP, deoxynucleoside triphosphate; Pol, DNA polymerase

show low base substitution frequencies of one misincorporation event per 10^6 and $\sim 10^7$ turnovers, respectively [3,4]. However, other polymerases such as HIV 1 reverse transcriptase [5] and mouse myeloma DNA polymerase beta [6] are far less faithful when replicating DNA, displaying higher mutational frequencies of ~ 1 error every 5000 polymerization events.

Gp43, like most replicative polymerases, utilizes the multiplicative process outlined in Fig. 1A to maintain genomic fidelity [7]. This kinetic scheme encompasses three major control points for achieving fidelity: nucleobase selection and insertion (step A), removal of a misinserted nucleotide via exonuclease processing (step B), and enzyme dissociation from primer/templates that are misaligned due to mispairing (step C). In the case of gp43, the most important step for maintaining fidelity is the DNA polymerization step which encompasses several microscopic kinetic events including binding of dNTP to the Pol:DNA complex, a conformational change in the Pol:DNA complex, and phosphoryl transfer (Fig. 1B). Discrimination against misinsertion is proposed to be achieved through a combination of improper binding (step 2), inappropriate orientation (step 3), and/or diminished rate of phosphoryl transfer (step 4). These microscopic events collectively function to provide an error frequency of 1 misincorporation event per 10^5 – 10^6 turnovers [8].

Fig. 1. (A) Mechanisms used to maintain genomic fidelity during DNA replication. Step A indicates nucleotide selection and insertion, step B indicates removal of misinserted nucleotides by exonuclease activity, step C reflects enzyme dissociation from DNA, and step D reflects elongation beyond the formed mismatch. (B) Minimal kinetic mechanism for nucleotide incorporation catalyzed by gp43, the high-fidelity bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase. Step 1 represents binding of polymerase to DNA substrate. Step 2 represents dNTP binding to the polymerase:nucleic acid complex. Step 3 represents the conformational change preceding phosphoryl transfer. Step 4 represents the phosphoryl transfer step. Step 5 represents the conformational change after phosphoryl transfer. Step 6 represents release of pyrophosphate as the first product. Step 7 represents release of polymerase from extended nucleic acid product.

Despite these kinetic barriers, genomic mistakes can occur if a nucleotide is misincorporated opposite a non complementary partner. In these instances, the proofreading capability of the associated exonuclease domain reduces the error frequency by an additional 1000 fold [9]. While the activities of polymerization and exonuclease activities have been extensively studied during the replication of normal DNA [10–14], there is relatively little known on how these activities are coordinated during translesion DNA synthesis. The misreplication of damaged DNA is an important biomedical concern as translesion DNA synthesis can increase the probability of generating mutations. Indeed, defects in polymerase and exonuclease activities are associated with various genetic diseases including cancer [15–17]. As such, elucidating the biochemical mechanisms regulating polymerization and exonuclease activities is an important challenge in understanding how genomic fidelity is maintained and how defects in these activities give rise to various pathological conditions.

Accurately studying the concerted efforts of polymerase and exonuclease activities has been hindered due to the lack of chemical entities that can accurately probe these reactions. For example, most natural nucleotides display poor kinetic behavior when incorporated opposite miscoding and non coding DNA lesions. In general, the catalytic efficiencies for incorporating natural nucleotides opposite most DNA lesions are >1000 fold lower than those measured during the replication of undamaged DNA [18–21]. In addition, natural nucleotides are spectroscopically inactive. There are, however, several examples of fluorescent analogs that can be used as surrogates for natural dNTPs [22–28]. In particular, Tor and co workers have developed pyrrolo dC as a fluorescent pyrimidine analog [22] whereas Saito and colleagues have developed fluorescent triazole deoxycytidine analogs [23,24]. In addition, several pteridine analogs of guanine and adenine have been reported [25,26]. Finally, Wilhelmsom and co workers recently described a novel fluorescent triazole adenine analog that was developed using “click” chemistry [27]. However, perhaps the most widely used analog for enzymological studies of DNA synthesis is 2 aminopurine deoxyribose triphosphate (2 APTP), a highly fluorescent analog of dATP [28–30]. Most biochemical studies using this nucleotide analog place the fluorogenic analog into single stranded DNA [28,29] or double stranded DNA [31] as a way to measure conformational changes

in nucleic acid. Since 2 APTP has a significantly higher intrinsic fluorescence while free in solution, experiments designed to directly measure its incorporation into DNA are technically challenging as the concentration of 2 APTP must be maintained low (<5 μ M). This low concentration is typically below the K_m value for insertion of the nucleotide opposite damaged DNA, and this kinetic feature hinders its use to monitor the kinetics of nucleotide incorporation and excision, particularly during translesion DNA synthesis.

These deficiencies mandate that discontinuous assays be used to study nucleotide incorporation and excision independently. This creates a serious complication as the inability to study both reactions simultaneously provides indirect evidence for kinetic events associated with the interaction between each active site. To circumvent these complications, this report describes the use of a non natural nucleotide designated 5 naphthyl indole 2' deoxyribose triphosphate (5 NapITP) (Fig. 2A) as a spectroscopic probe to study both polymerization and exonuclease proofreading activities during translesion DNA synthesis. Since this non natural nucleotide is highly conjugated, it is highly fluorescent while free in solution and this fluorescence becomes quenched upon incorporation into DNA [31]. In addition, the binding affinity of 5 NapITP during the replication of various DNA lesions including abasic sites and cyclobutane thymine dimers is relatively high ($K_d \sim 10 \mu$ M) [32]. These features collectively suggest that 5 NapITP could function as a common and spectroscopically active analog to study the coordination of nucleotide incorporation and excision during translesion DNA synthesis. The analyses provided here demonstrate the existence of distinct nuances in the mechanism by which structurally diverse DNA lesions are replicated. In addition, our studies reveal the presence of a fluorescence recovery phase that corresponds to the formation of a pre excision complex that precedes hydrolytic excision of the non natural nucleotide. The rate constant for forming this complex is lesion dependent, indicating that exonuclease proofreading is regulated by the physical composition and nature of the DNA lesion. Taken together, this work provides the first demonstration of a unique non natural nucleotide that can be used for real time spectroscopic analyses to probe the coordinated efforts of polymerization and exonuclease proofreading.

Methods and materials

Materials

[γ -³²P] ATP was purchased from MP Biomedical (Irvine, CA). Unlabelled dNTPs (ultrapure) were obtained from Pharmacia. MgCl₂ and Trizma base were from Sigma. Urea, acrylamide, and bis acrylamide were from Aldrich. Oligonucleotides, including those containing a tetra hydrofuran moiety mimicking an abasic site, were synthesized by Operon Technologies (Alameda, CA). Oligonucleotides containing thymine dimers were synthesized by TriLink Biotechnologies (San Diego, CA). 5 NapITP was synthesized and purified as previously reported [31]. All other materials were obtained from commercial sources and were of the highest available quality. Wild type gp43 and gp43exo[−] (Asp 219 to Ala mutation) were purified and quantified as previously described [33]. 14Nap mer was generated by reacting single stranded 13 mer DNA (10 mM) with 20 μ M 5 NapITP with 0.2 units terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (Fisher) for 30 min at 37 °C. The reaction was heated at 65 °C for 10 min to thermally denature the polymerase. DNA was separated from unreacted 5 NapITP using G25 spin columns as described [34]. An aliquot of the DNA was treated with [γ -³²P] ATP and 0.1 unit polynucleotide kinase for 30 min at 37 °C, and the reaction was analyzed by denaturing gel electrophoresis to validate incorporation of 5 NapITP to form the corresponding 14 mer.

Enzyme assays

The assay buffer used in all kinetic studies consisted of TrisOAc (25 mM pH 7.5), KOAc (150 mM), and DTT (10 mM) at pH 7.5. All

Fig. 2. (A) Chemical structures of 5-NapITP and dATP. (B) Sequence of DNA substrate used in this study. X denotes the presence of an unmodified thymine (T), a thymine dimer (T=T), or an abasic site (Sp). The chemical structures of normal nucleobase and DNA lesions are also provided to highlight structural diversity between normal and damaged DNA.

assays were performed at 25 °C. Polymerization reactions were monitored by analysis of the products of 20% sequencing gels as previously described. Gel images were obtained with a Packard PhosphorImager by using the OptiQuant software supplied by the manufacturer. Product formation was quantified by measuring the ratio of ^{32}P labeled extended and unextended primer. The ratios of product formation are corrected for substrate in the absence of polymerase (zero point). Corrected ratios are then multiplied by the concentration of primer/template used in each assay to yield total product.

Pre steady state nucleotide incorporation assays

A rapid quench instrument (KinTek Corporation, Clarence, PA) was used to measure time courses in the incorporation of 5 NapITP with all DNA substrates. Experiments were performed under single turnover reaction conditions such that the concentrations of polymerase and nucleotide substrates were maintained in molar excess versus that of DNA substrate. A typical reaction was performed by rapidly mixing an aliquot of a preincubated solution of DNA polymerase (1 μM) and 5 NapITP (4 μM) in assay buffer containing EDTA (100 μM) with an equal volume of solution containing DNA (500 nM) and $\text{Mg}(\text{OAc})_2$ (10 mM). After mixing the solutions in the rapid quench flow instrument for time intervals ranging from 0.1 to 60 s, the reactions were quenched by the addition of EDTA (350 mM) through the third syringe of the instrument. Reaction products were then analyzed as described above. Data obtained for single turnover DNA polymerization assays were fitted to Eq. (1):

$$y = A \cdot (1 - e^{-kt}) + C \quad (1)$$

where A is the burst amplitude, k is the observed rate constant in product formation, t is time, and C is the end point of the reaction.

In some cases, polymerization reactions performed under single turnover conditions were quenched by the addition of 1 M HCl to

denature the protein catalyst. Acid quenched samples were extracted using 100 μL of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol and then neutralized by the addition of approximately 25 μL 3 M NaOH/1 M Tris. Reaction products were analyzed as described above and fitted to Eq. (1).

Polymerization reactions were also performed using pseudo first order reaction conditions in which the concentrations of DNA substrate and 5 NapITP were maintained in molar excess versus the concentration of DNA polymerase. A typical reaction was performed by rapidly mixing an aliquot of a preincubated solution of DNA polymerase (100 nM) and 5 NapITP (4 μM) in assay buffer containing EDTA (100 μM) with an equal volume of solution containing DNA (500 nM) and $\text{Mg}(\text{OAc})_2$ (10 mM). Reaction products were performed using the rapid quench instrument and then analyzed as described above. Data obtained for polymerization assays performed under pseudo first order conditions were fitted to Eq. (2):

$$y = A \cdot (1 - e^{-kt}) + Bt + C \quad (2)$$

where A is the burst amplitude, k is the observed rate constant in product formation, t is time, B is the slope of the second slower phase, and C is the end point of the reaction.

Stopped flow fluorescence analyses

5 NapITP is a highly conjugated, π electron rich nucleotide that can be excited at 255 nm and the changes in the fluorescence can be measured at 349 nm without interference from tryptophan fluorescence. Emission spectra for monitoring the insertion of 5 NapITP opposite an abasic site, thymine dimer and thymine were obtained by using a stopped flow instrument (KinTek Corporation (Clarence, PA)). Samples were excited at 255 nm, and fluorescence emission data were collected by using a cut off filter that measures emission wavelength greater than 340 nm. Assays were performed under

single turnover conditions as described above for pre steady state polymerization reactions. A typical reaction was performed by rapidly mixing an aliquot of a preincubated solution of DNA polymerase (1 μM) and DNA (500 nM) in assay buffer containing EDTA (100 μM) with an equal volume of solution containing nucleotide analog (4 μM) and $\text{Mg}(\text{OAc})_2$ (10 mM). Data obtained for single turnover DNA polymerization assays were fitted to Eq. (3):

$$y = Ae^{kt} + C \quad (3)$$

where A is the burst amplitude, k is the observed rate constant in fluorescence quenching, t is time, and C is the end point of the reaction.

2.5. Quantifying the inhibitory effects of dATP on the incorporation of 5 NapITP

The ability of dATP to inhibit 5 NapIMP incorporation opposite an abasic site or thymine dimer was measured using the fluorescence of the non natural nucleotide. A typical reaction was performed by rapidly mixing an aliquot of a preincubated solution of DNA polymerase (1 μM) and DNA (500 nM) in assay buffer containing EDTA (100 μM) with an equal volume of solution containing 5 NapITP (4 μM), $\text{Mg}(\text{OAc})_2$ (10 mM), and variable concentrations of dATP (0–500 μM). Data obtained for these single turnover DNA polymerization reactions were fitted to Eq. (2). Apparent K_i values, designated as $K_{i,\text{app}}$ values, were obtained using the x intercept from plots of 1/amplitude versus dATP concentration. True inhibition constants, designated as K_i values, were obtained using Eq. (4).

$$K_i = K_{i,\text{app}} / (1 + ([5\text{-NapITP}]/K_m)) \quad (4)$$

where $K_{i,\text{app}}$ is the apparent inhibition constant determined from the Dixon plot, [NapITP] is the concentration of non natural nucleotide substrate, and K_m is the Michaelis constant for 5 NapITP. Measured K_i values represent an average of two independent determinations.

Idle turnover measurements

Single turnover conditions were employed to measure the rates of excision of 5 NapIMP opposite an abasic site, thymine dimer, or thymine. The reaction was initiated by mixing gp43 (1 μM) with 250 nM DNA and 4 μM 5 NapITP in assay buffer containing EDTA (100 μM) and 30 μM dATP and 10 mM $\text{Mg}(\text{OAc})_2$. Aliquots of the reactions were quenched with 350 mM EDTA at variable times (5–900 s) and products were analyzed as described [34].

Results

Incorporation of 5 NapITP opposite normal and damaged DNA

Previous work demonstrated that the exonuclease deficient T4 DNA polymerase, gp43 exo^- , incorporates a wide variety of non natural nucleotides opposite an abasic site (Fig. 2B) [35–38]. 5 NapITP is a particular interesting analog as it is efficiently incorporated opposite this non instructional lesion with a low K_d value of $10.3 \pm 4.5 \mu\text{M}$ and a k_{pol} of $27.1 \pm 1.5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ [31]. Here, we compared the kinetics for the incorporation of 5 NapIMP opposite this non instructional lesion using a fluorescence quenching assay versus a conventional radiolabeled extension assay. Comparing the rate constants obtained using each technique can identify the location of the rate limiting step along the reaction pathway. As previously described [39], the radiolabel assay measures product formation (DNA_{n+1}) that occurs only after phosphoryl transfer (step 4) (Fig. 1B) whereas the fluorescence assay can detect intermediates including ternary complexes such as $\text{Pol}:\text{DNA}:\text{dNTP}$ (step 2) and $\text{Pol}^*:\text{DNA}:\text{dNTP}$ (step 3) that form prior to phosphoryl transfer (step 4). Thus, if phosphoryl transfer is

completely rate limiting, the rate constant in fluorescence quenching (step 2 and/or step 3) should be faster than that of measuring product formation via the radiolabel assay. In contrast, identical rate constants would indicate that the conformational change preceding phosphoryl transfer is the rate limiting step. The data provided in Fig. 3A suggests that this latter mechanism is operative with the high fidelity T4 polymerase as the time course in fluorescence quenching of 5 NapITP opposite an abasic site is essentially identical to its incorporation opposite the DNA lesion. Fits of each time course to a single exponential process validate that the rate constant of $5.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in fluorescence quenching (k_{quench}) is identical to that of $5.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for incorporating the non natural nucleotide (k_{inc}). The k_{inc} value of 5.5 s^{-1} measured here is significantly slower than the k_{pol} value of 27.1 s^{-1} previously reported [31]. This low k_{inc} of 5.5 s^{-1} was measured using a concentration of 4 μM of 5 NapITP that is 2.5 times below the K_d value of 10.3 μM . In fact, application of the Michaelis Menten equation ($k_{\text{obs}} = (k_{\text{pol}} * [5 \text{ NapITP}]) / (K_d + [5 \text{ NapITP}])$) indicates that the measured k_{inc} of 5.5 s^{-1} is nearly identical to the predicted rate constant of 7.7 s^{-1} .

The identity in the fluorescence quenching and nucleotide incorporation rate constants argues that the conformational change step preceding phosphoryl transfer is the rate limiting step for incorporating 5 NapIMP opposite an abasic site. To further validate this, we measured the incorporation of 5 NapIMP opposite this non instructional DNA lesion using pseudo first order reaction conditions in which the concentration of DNA and 5 NapITP were maintained in molar excess versus DNA polymerase. The time course provided in Fig. 3B shows that product formation is biphasic as there is a rapid initial “burst” in product formation followed by a second, slower phase. This biphasic time course indicates that a kinetic step after phosphoryl transfer is rate limiting for enzyme turnover [40]. In addition, the initial burst in product formation is identical to the amount of polymerase used in the reaction. The stoichiometric burst in product formation argues that phosphoryl transfer is not rate limiting for the insertion of 5 NapIMP opposite an abasic site. This was subsequently confirmed by comparing the kinetics of 5 NapIMP incorporation opposite an abasic site using HCl or EDTA as denaturing versus non denaturing quenching agents, respectively. As illustrated in Fig. 3C, the time courses in product formation are independent of quenching agent, and this result is consistent with a mechanism in which the conformational change step preceding phosphoryl transfer is the rate limiting step for 5 NapIMP incorporation opposite an abasic site [41]. Furthermore, the kinetic dissociation constant (K_d) as well as the maximal rate constant for the fluorescence quenching ($k_{\text{max quench}}$) of 5 NapITP opposite an abasic site was measured by varying the concentration of the non natural nucleotide from 1 to 16 μM using single turnover reaction conditions. Fig. 3E shows the concentration dependency of 5 NapITP on fluorescence quenching. Note that the amplitude in fluorescence quenching decreases as a function of increasing 5 NapITP concentrations, and the reduction prohibits accurately measuring rate constants at 5 NapITP concentrations greater than 16 μM . Regardless, k_{quench} values at all concentrations of 5 NapITP tested here (1–16 μM) were defined by fitting each time course to the equation for a single exponential decay (Eq. (3)). The plot of k_{quench} versus 5 NapITP concentration is hyperbolic (Fig. 3E) and a fit of the data to the Michaelis Menten equation provides a K_D 5-NapITP of $9.2 \pm 1.4 \mu\text{M}$ and a $k_{\text{max quench}}$ value of $38 \pm 10 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The hyperbolic dependency of the rate constant on 5 NapITP concentration is indicative of a two step binding mechanism in which the conformational change preceding phosphoryl transfer is the rate limiting step for nucleotide incorporation opposite the abasic site. Finally, the identity in kinetic parameters measured using this fluorescence quenching assay versus a radiolabeled extension assay [31] is consistent with this mechanism.

We next evaluated if this mechanism is lesion dependent by measuring the kinetics of 5 NapIMP incorporation opposite a thymine dimer, a miscoding DNA lesion that is structurally distinct from an abasic site (Fig. 2B). In this case, the k_{quench} of $1.03 \pm 0.05 \text{ s}^{-1}$ is

Fig. 3. (A) Time courses for the incorporation of 5-NapITP opposite an abasic site by gp43exo. The black line indicates product formation detected using a conventional radiolabeled DNA extension assay while the blue line indicates fluorescence quenching of 5-NapITP as it is incorporated opposite the DNA lesion. (B) Time course for the incorporation of 5-NapITP opposite an abasic site under pseudo-first order reaction conditions. (C) Time courses monitoring the incorporation of 5-NapITP opposite an abasic site under single turnover reaction conditions using EDTA (○) versus HCl (●) as non-denaturing and denaturing quenching agents, respectively. (D) Dependency of 5-NapITP concentration on the observed rate constant in fluorescence quenching as measured under single turnover conditions. (E) The observed rate constants in fluorescence quenching (k_{quench}) (●) were plotted versus 5-NapITP concentration and were fitted to the Michaelis-Menten equation to determine K_d and k_{max} values.

~1.3 fold faster than the k_{inc} of $0.77 \pm 0.02 \text{ s}^{-1}$ measured using the radiolabeled extension assay (Fig. 4A). The faster rate constant in fluorescence quenching argues that base stacking of the non natural nucleotide opposite this bulky DNA lesion occurs prior to product formation, i.e., phosphoryl transfer. To verify this conclusion, we applied the experimental paradigm described above to monitor the incorporation of 5 NapITP opposite a thymine dimer using pseudo first order reaction conditions. The time course provided in Fig. 4B shows a rapid initial “burst” in product formation followed by a second, slower phase. As before, the biphasic time course indicates that a kinetic step after phosphoryl transfer is rate limiting for enzyme

turnover [40]. However, close inspection of the data shows that the initial burst in product formation of 70 nM is lower than the amount polymerase used in the reaction (100 nM). This sub stoichiometric burst in product formation is consistent with a mechanism in which phosphoryl transfer is partially rate limiting during the incorporation of 5 NapITP opposite an abasic site. This mechanism was subsequently confirmed by comparing the kinetics of 5 NapITP incorporation opposite a thymine dimer using a denaturing (HCl) or non denaturing quenching (EDTA) agent. As illustrated in Fig. 4C, the amplitude in product formation using HCl is ~30% lower than the amplitude in product formation using EDTA as the non denaturing quenching agent. This

Fig. 4. (A) Time courses for the incorporation of 5-NapITP opposite a thymine dimer by gp43exo[−] under single turnover reaction conditions. The black line indicates product formation detected using a radiolabeled DNA extension assay while the red line indicates fluorescence quenching of 5-NapITP. (B) Time course for the incorporation of 5-NapITP opposite a thymine dimer under pseudo-first order reaction conditions. (C) Time courses monitoring the incorporation of 5-NapITP opposite a thymine dimer under single turnover reaction conditions using EDTA (○) versus HCl (●) as the quenching agent. (D) Comparing the incorporation of 5-NapITP opposite a templating thymine by gp43exo[−] using a radiolabeled (black line) versus fluorescent quenching (green line) assay. The k_{quench} of $0.23 \pm 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ opposite thymine is essentially identical to the k_{inc} of $0.22 \pm 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ measured using the radiolabeled assay.

difference in amplitude as a function of quenching agent argues that phosphoryl transfer is a partially rate limiting step for 5 NapITP incorporation opposite a thymine dimer. Collectively, these data suggest that the phosphoryl transfer step is at least partially rate limiting for incorporating 5 NapITP opposite the bulky DNA lesion and argues that the mechanism for replicating a miscoding thymine dimer is different than replicating the non instructional abasic site.

Identical experiments were performed monitoring the incorporation of 5 NapITP opposite a templating thymine to compare normal versus translesion DNA synthesis. The k_{quench} of $0.23 \pm 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ opposite thymine is essentially identical to the k_{inc} of $0.22 \pm 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ measured using the radiolabeled assay (Fig. 4D). Similar analyses measuring the 5 NapITP incorporation opposite cytosine yield a k_{quench} of $0.25 \pm 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and k_{inc} of $0.20 \pm 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (data not shown). In both cases, the identity in rate constants indicates that phosphoryl transfer does not limit the rate for incorporating 5 NapITP opposite undamaged DNA. A summary of rate constants for fluorescence quenching and in incorporation of 5 NapITP as a function of DNA template is provided in Table 1.

Competition experiments to measure the binding affinities of natural nucleotides

The fluorescent quenching capabilities of 5 NapITP was also used as a spectroscopic tool to directly measure the binding affinities of natural dNTPs with different DNA lesions. In these experiments, a pre incubated solution of $1 \mu\text{M}$ gp43exo[−] and 500 nM 13/20Sp mer was mixed with $4 \mu\text{M}$ 5 NapITP and variable concentrations of dATP ($0-100 \mu\text{M}$). As illustrated in Fig. 5A, the addition of increasing concentrations of dATP reduces the amplitude phase of fluorescence quenching. This decrease occurs since the binding of dATP opposite the abasic site prevents 5 NapITP binding and results in a decreased

amplitude in fluorescence quenching. Since the rate constant for incorporating dAMP opposite an abasic site is relatively slow ($k_{\text{pol}} = 0.15 \text{ s}^{-1}$) [42], the reduction in fluorescence signal under the time frame tested ($<1 \text{ s}$) is caused by competitive binding between dATP and 5 NapITP rather than through dATP incorporation. Dixon plot analysis (plot of $1/\text{amplitude}$ versus dATP concentration) provides a $K_{\text{i,app}}$ of $90 \pm 5 \mu\text{M}$ for dATP (Fig. 5B). Correcting for the concentration of 5 NapITP via the application of the Cheng Prusoff equation [43] yields a true K_{i} value of $64 \pm 3 \mu\text{M}$ for dATP. This value is essentially identical to the reported K_{m} value of $80 \pm 10 \mu\text{M}$ for dATP [42].

Similar analyses were performed measuring the ability of dATP to inhibit the incorporation of 5 NapITP opposite a thymine dimer. Previous kinetic analyses with the high fidelity T4 DNA polymerase could not accurately define a K_{d} value since the rate constant for incorporating dAMP is extremely slow ($k_{\text{obs}} = 0.007 \text{ s}^{-1}$) even at a high concentration of $500 \mu\text{M}$ [41]. As expected, increasing the concentrations of dATP causes a reduction in the amplitude phase of 5 NapITP fluorescence quenching for incorporation opposite the thymine dimer (Fig. 5C). Dixon plot

Table 1

Summary of kinetic rate constants of 5-NapITP incorporation opposite DNA lesions and undamaged DNA by the exonuclease-deficient bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase.

DNA	$k_{\text{inc}} (\text{s}^{-1})^a$	$k_{\text{quench}} (\text{s}^{-1})^b$
13/20 _{SP}	5.5 ± 0.1	5.4 ± 0.1
13/20 _T τ	0.77 ± 0.02	1.03 ± 0.05
13/20 _T	0.22 ± 0.01	0.23 ± 0.01
13/20 _C	0.20 ± 0.01	0.25 ± 0.01

^a k_{inc} values are observed rate constants for the enzymatic incorporation of 5-NapITP opposite undamaged or damaged DNA.

^b k_{quench} values are observed rate constants for the quenching in fluorescence of 5-NapITP.

Fig. 5. (A) Time courses showing that increasing concentrations of dATP inhibit the fluorescence quenching of 5-NapITP during the replication of an abasic site. The following color code is used to represent reactions performed with the following concentrations of dATP: aqua (0 μM), red (5 μM), blue (10 μM), green (25 μM), black (50 μM), and pink (100 μM). (B) The apparent K_i value of $90 \pm 5 \mu\text{M}$ for dATP was determined via Dixon plot analyses (1/amplitude versus dATP concentration). (C) Time courses showing increasing concentrations of dATP that inhibit the fluorescence quenching of 5-NapITP during the replication of a thymine dimer. The following color code is used to represent reactions performed with the following concentrations of dATP: red (0 μM), blue (100 μM), green (200 μM), black (400 μM), and pink (800 μM). (D) The apparent K_i value of $180 \pm 10 \mu\text{M}$ for dATP was determined via Dixon plot analyses (1/amplitude versus dATP concentration).

analysis (Fig. 5D) followed by correction for the concentration of 5 NapITP using the Cheng Prusoff equation [43] yields a true K_i value of $125 \pm 20 \mu\text{M}$ for dATP opposite the thymine dimer.

Exonuclease proofreading is lesion dependent

Exonuclease proofreading plays an important role in maintaining genomic fidelity by removing misincorporated nucleotides. This activity was evaluated during normal and translesion DNA synthesis by monitoring the stable incorporation of 5 NapITP opposite undamaged and damaged DNA by wild type DNA polymerase. Fig. 6A compares time courses in the fluorescence change of 5 NapITP with gp43 (blue line) and gp43exo⁻ (red line) during the replication of an abasic site. Both polymerases display a rapid decrease in fluorescence that is associated with base stacking of 5 NapITP caused by the incorporation of the non natural nucleotide opposite the lesion. The k_{quench} values are independent of exonuclease proofreading as both wild type and exonuclease deficient polymerases yield identical rate constants of $5.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$. However, the time course with gp43 is distinct due to the presence of a second, slower phase of fluorescence recovery. It is unlikely that this recovery in fluorescence is caused by polymerase dissociation since a similar phenomenon is not observed using the exonuclease deficient DNA polymerase under identical conditions. As such, this fluorescence recovery phase could reflect two distinct kinetic steps: 1.) exonucleolytic removal of 5 NapITP from DNA or 2.) “unstacking” of the non natural nucleotide via partitioning between polymerase and exonuclease active sites.

To distinguish between these possibilities, idle turnover experiments were performed using a modified gel electrophoresis protocol [34] to measure the kinetics of incorporation and excision of the non natural nucleotide. The process of idle turnover occurs when a DNA polymerase incorporates a dNTP and then excises it in the absence of the next

required nucleotide triphosphate. This activity likely occurs under in vivo conditions since the polymerase should be bound and stalled at the DNA lesion. Idle turnover was quantified using a modified gel electrophoresis protocol that monitors the amount of extension and subsequent excision of the primer as a function of time. Since experiments are performed using single turnover reaction conditions, the rate constants in product formation reflect the kinetics of insertion and excision rather than polymerase dissociation from the mispair. Fig. 6B shows that the time course for incorporating and excising 5 NapITP opposite an abasic site (blue line) differs significantly from the corresponding time course measuring fluorescence quenching and recovery (black line). Kinetic simulation of the time courses in nucleotide incorporation and excision using the radiolabeled assay was performed as previously described [34] to calculate the k_{exo} value. In the case of an abasic site, the k_{exo} value of $0.024 \pm 0.004 \text{ s}^{-1}$ is ~ 7 fold slower than the k_{recovery} of $0.17 \pm 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ measured from the fluorogenic assay. The difference in rate constants is consistent with a mechanism in which the incorporated 5 NapITP becomes “un stacked” via the formation of a pre exonuclease complex, and that formation of this complex precedes enzymatic hydrolysis in the exonuclease active site.

To investigate if this mechanism is influenced by the nature of the templating nucleobase, similar experiments were performed using DNA containing a thymine dimer or unmodified thymine. Time courses provided in Fig. 6C show similar trends in the fluorescence quenching and recovery phases using DNA containing thymine (green line), a thymine dimer (red line), or an abasic site (blue line). The time courses in each case show an initial decrease in fluorescence reflecting 5 NapITP incorporation which is followed by a second, slower phase in fluorescence recovery. As before, the rate constants for 5 NapITP incorporation vary as a function of DNA lesion (vide supra). However, significant differences are also observed in the fluorescence recovery phase with these different DNA substrates (Table 2). In particular, the

Fig. 6. (A) Comparison of the time courses in fluorescence quenching of 5-NapITP during the replication of an abasic site catalyzed by gp43exo⁻ (red line) and gp43 (blue line). (B) Time courses comparing fluorescent quenching of 5-NapITP (black line) versus idle turnover of 5-NapITP (blue line) opposite an abasic site with gp43. (C) Time courses comparing the fluorescence quenching of 5-NapITP during the replication of an abasic site (blue line), a thymine dimer (red line), and thymine (green line) by gp43. (D) Time courses comparing fluorescence quenching and recovery of 5-NapITP during the replication of an abasic site (blue line), a thymine dimer (red line), and thymine (green line) by gp43. (E) Time courses comparing the idle turnover of 5-NapITP catalyzed by gp43 during the replication of an abasic site (blue line), thymine dimer (red line), or thymine (green line). (F) Time course for the excision of 5-NapITP from single-stranded DNA by gp43.

k_{recovery} of $0.17 \pm 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ measured at an abasic site is 2 fold faster than the value of $0.08 \pm 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ measured at the thymine dimer and 4 fold faster than the value of $0.04 \pm 0.02 \text{ s}^{-1}$ measured with thymine. The differences in fluorescence recovery suggest that the physical composition of the templating nucleobase influences the rate constant for “un stacking” of the non natural nucleotide.

Idle turnover measurements with these different DNA substrates were also performed to evaluate if the fluorescence recovery phase

is faster than the rate constant of hydrolytic excision. Time courses for incorporating and excising 5 NapITP opposite an abasic site (blue line), a thymine dimer (red line), and thymine (green line) are provided in Fig. 6D. As illustrated, the hydrolytic excision of 5 NapITP from DNA is fastest during the replication of an abasic site and significantly slower when replicating a thymine dimer or thymine. Kinetic simulation of the time courses was again used to calculate k_{exo} values. As summarized in Table 2, the k_{exo} values for all DNA substrates are significantly slower than the corresponding k_{recovery} values. For example, the k_{exo} value of $0.012 \pm 0.004 \text{ s}^{-1}$ measured with a thymine dimer is ~7 fold slower than the k_{recovery} of $0.08 \pm 0.02 \text{ s}^{-1}$. In addition, the rate constants for hydrolytic excision correlate with those measured in fluorescence recovery as a function of DNA substrate. The k_{exo} value of $0.012 \pm 0.004 \text{ s}^{-1}$ measured with a thymine dimer is 2 fold slower than that measured from an abasic site ($k_{\text{exo}} = 0.024 \pm 0.004 \text{ s}^{-1}$). In addition, the k_{exo} value of $0.0026 \pm 0.0003 \text{ s}^{-1}$ measured with thymine is ~4.5 fold slower than that measured from a thymine dimer.

We also quantified the ability of gp43 to degrade single stranded DNA containing 5 NapITP (14Nap mer) at the terminal 3' position. This experiment was performed to determine the intrinsic rate constant for the enzymatic excision of the non natural nucleotide from DNA when not “paired” with a templating partner. Defining this rate constant is important to evaluate if differences in aforementioned rate

Table 2
Summary of kinetic rate constants for 5-NapITP incorporation and excision opposite DNA lesions and undamaged DNA catalyzed by wild-type bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase.

DNA	$k_{\text{quench}} (\text{s}^{-1})^a$	$k_{\text{recovery}} (\text{s}^{-1})^b$	$k_{\text{exo}} (\text{s}^{-1})^c$
13/20 _{SP}	5.6 ± 0.1	0.17 ± 0.02	0.024 ± 0.004
13/20 _T T	1.04 ± 0.05	0.08 ± 0.02	0.012 ± 0.004
13/20 _T	0.22 ± 0.03	0.04 ± 0.01	0.0026 ± 0.0003

^a k_{quench} values are observed rate constants for the quenching in fluorescence of 5-NapITP as a function of DNA substrate.

^b k_{recovery} values are observed rate constants for the recovery in fluorescence quenching of 5-NapITP.

^c k_{exo} values are observed rate constants for the enzymatic excision of 5-NapITP from normal versus damaged DNA.

constants reflect interactions of 5 NapIMP paired with a templating partner rather than effects of the non natural nucleotide itself. Experiments measuring the excision of 5 NapIMP from single stranded DNA were performed under single turnover by mixing 1 μM gp43 with 250 nM 14Nap mer in the presence of 10 mM Mg^{2+} . The time course provided in Fig. 6E shows an increase in fluorescence signal that reflects the generation of free 5 NapIMP caused by the hydrolysis of the non natural nucleotide from single stranded DNA. A rate constant of $10.6 \pm 0.2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ is obtained from the fit of the data to the equation for a single exponential process (Eq. (2)). The k_{exo} value of $\sim 10 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for excising 5 NapIMP with single stranded DNA is >400 times faster than the corresponding rate constants from opposite an abasic site or a thymine dimer. This large difference indicates that the slow k_{exo} values for excising 5 NapIMP from duplex DNA are not caused by an inability of the polymerase to efficiently process the non natural nucleobase. Instead, the measurable differences in rate constants with various duplex DNA substrates suggest that 5 NapIMP becomes “un stacked” via the formation of a pre exonuclease complex that occurs prior to enzymatic hydrolysis in the exonuclease active site. In addition, “un stacking” of the non natural nucleotide depends upon the physical composition of the templating nucleobase.

Polymerase translocation competes with exonuclease proofreading

Replicative fidelity is achieved, in part, by balancing the switch between polymerase and exonuclease active sites [44]. Our previous experiments evaluated the transition between active sites under conditions that would not allow the formed mispair to be elongated. However, under physiological conditions, the presence of dNTPs could prevent partitioning of the mispair to the exonuclease domain and could allow the polymerase to extend the mispair. Indeed, increases in cellular levels of dNTPs via overexpression of ribonucleotide reductase in *E. coli* cause an increase in spontaneous mutagenesis [45]. In addition, a recent report by Fuchs and co workers indicates that increasing dNTP pools also plays an important role in induced mutagenesis in *E. coli* during the DNA damage response [46]. To test if similar effects could be observed with the bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase, we used the spectroscopic properties of 5 NapITP to determine if adding the next correct nucleotide (dGTP) affects the formation of the pre excision complex during normal or translesion DNA synthesis. Representative data provided in Fig. 7A show that the addition of 150 μM dGTP has a minimal effect on the initial fluorescence quenching phase or the subsequent recovery phase when using DNA containing an abasic site. Higher concentrations of dGTP ($>200 \mu\text{M}$) reduced the amplitude of the initial fluorescence quenching phase without affecting the recovery phase (data not shown). The ability of dGTP to lower the amplitude of this

initial phase indicates competition between dGTP and 5 NapITP for binding opposite the abasic site. However, the inability of dGTP to attenuate the fluorescence recovery phase suggests that the addition of the next correct nucleotide does not influence the transition between exonuclease to polymerase active site during the replication of an abasic site.

Similar analyses were performed using undamaged DNA to determine if the presence of templating information influences translocation. Data provided in Fig. 7B show that increasing the concentration of the next correct nucleotide (100–400 μM) causes an attenuation in the fluorescence recovery phase with a minimal effect on the initial fluorescence quenching phase. The ability of the next correct nucleotide to hinder the “un stacking” of 5 NapIMP suggests that gp43 translocates to the next templating position when provided with the next correct nucleotide. Collectively, the difference in fluorescence recovery by the addition of the next correct dNTP as a function of DNA substrate suggests that gp43 not only senses damaged DNA differently from undamaged DNA but also appears to sense and respond differently to structurally diverse DNA lesions.

Discussion

The misreplication of damaged DNA is a leading cause of mutagenesis that is correlated with disease development [15–17] and drug resistance [47–49]. While the link between mutagenesis and dysfunctional DNA replication is obvious, the molecular details describing how polymerases initiate and propagate mutagenic events still remain poorly defined. One particular aspect lacking fundamental knowledge is with respect to the coordination of polymerization and exonuclease proofreading activities during normal and translesion DNA synthesis. In this report, we used the spectroscopic properties of the non natural nucleotide, 5 NapITP, to evaluate how these activities function independently and collaboratively during normal and translesion DNA synthesis using gp43, the high fidelity bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase, as a model. We chose this polymerase as a model for several reasons. First, gp43 is functionally homologous to eukaryotic DNA polymerases such as pol delta and pol epsilon that function during chromosomal DNA synthesis [50,51]. Secondly, the bacteriophage DNA polymerase possesses high sequence identity with other high fidelity DNA polymerases [52], and this sequence identity often correlates with structural identity in critical regions of the DNA polymerases associated with polymerization and exonuclease activities. Finally, we have previously demonstrated that gp43 uses 5 NapITP as a “universal” substrate when replicating unmodified DNA and structurally diverse DNA lesions [38,41]. In this report, we validate that 5 NapITP is utilized as a novel fluorescent nucleotide analog to monitor the replication of damaged and unmodified DNA. Table 1 summarizes the rate constants for fluorescence quenching

Fig. 7. (A) Time course in fluorescence recovery of 5-NapITP during the replication of an abasic site catalyzed by gp43. The red line represents the reaction performed in the absence of dGTP, the next correct nucleotide. The green line represents the reaction performed in the presence of 150 μM dGTP. (B) Time course in the fluorescence recovery of 5-NapITP during the replication of unmodified thymine by gp43. The following color code was used to represent reactions performed with the following concentrations of dGTP: 0 μM = green line, 100 μM = blue line, 200 μM = red line, and 400 μM = black line.

and nucleotide incorporation of 5 NapIMP as a function of DNA template. These results indicate that the high fidelity bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase incorporates 5 NapIMP opposite normal and damaged DNA with different kinetic behaviors, and these differences suggest that distinct mechanisms are used during translesion and normal DNA synthesis. For example, rate constants for nucleotide incorporation and fluorescence quenching are highest with an abasic site and lowest during the replication of unmodified pyrimidines, thymine and cytosine. During incorporation, there is a good correlation between the rate constants in fluorescence quenching with the proposed thermodynamic stability of the formed mispair. In this case, the proposed thermodynamic stability of the mispair is based upon the enhanced base stacking potential of the non natural nucleobase that is caused by its hydrophobic nature coupled with a large π electron surface area [32,37]. As illustrated in Fig. 8, the faster rate constants for inserting 5 NapIMP opposite an abasic site likely reflect the maximized base stacking interactions of the non natural nucleotide paired opposite the non instructional abasic site. In contrast, slower rate constants measured with unmodified pyrimidines suggest that steric hindrance imposed by either templating base impedes incorporation. Finally, rate constants obtained with the thymine dimer lie between those measured for the non instructional abasic site and templating pyrimidines. This result is consistent with previous reports indicating that a thymine dimer is replicated as a hybrid of a non instructional lesion and a templating base [41]. Collectively, the differences in rate constants as a function of DNA template indicate that 5 NapITP can be used as a mechanistic probe to differentiate between the replication of structurally diverse DNA lesions.

Variations in the utilization of 5 NapITP also indicate the importance of several kinetic steps during the replication of distinct DNA lesions. For example, the conformational change step preceding phosphoryl transfer is the rate limiting step for incorporating 5 NapIMP opposite an abasic

site. This is evident as the k_{quench} and k_{inc} values for inserting this non natural nucleotide are identical. Furthermore, the spectroscopic properties of 5 NapITP were used in a competition based assay to measure a K_i value of $64 \pm 3 \mu\text{M}$ for dATP opposite the non instructional abasic site. This K_i value is essentially identical to the K_m value of $80 \pm 10 \mu\text{M}$ obtained via initial velocity studies using radioactive nucleotide incorporation assays [42]. The identity in K_m and K_i values indicates that binding of dATP to the Pol:DNA complex is in rapid equilibrium, and this argues that the conformational change preceding phosphoryl transfer is the rate limiting step for nucleotide incorporation opposite an abasic site. In addition, the biphasic time course monitoring the incorporation of 5 NapIMP opposite the lesion using pseudo first order reaction conditions as well as the identity in time courses obtained using denaturing (HCl) versus non denaturing (EDTA) quenching agents provides further evidence that this conformational change is the rate limiting step for nucleotide incorporation [41]. Finally, the identity in kinetic parameters measured using a fluorescence quenching assay versus a radiolabeled extension assay [31] is consistent with this mechanism. Collectively, these new kinetic data reinforce a model in which the conformational change preceding phosphoryl transfer is the rate limiting step for nucleotide insertion opposite an abasic site [42].

Similar approaches were used to demonstrate that the replication of a bulky thymine dimer is mechanistically different for that of an abasic site. With a thymine dimer, phosphoryl transfer appears to be partially rate limiting since the rate constant in fluorescence quenching of 5 NapITP is faster than the rate constant for incorporation. This was subsequently confirmed by a sub stoichiometric burst in product formation as well as in the differences in product formation detected using denaturing versus non denaturing quenching agents. Finally, the fluorescent competition based assay was also used to measure a K_i value of $125 \mu\text{M}$ for dATP opposite the thymine dimer. The K_i value is 2 fold higher than that measured for the

Fig. 8. Model for differences in the incorporation 5-NapITP during normal versus translesion DNA synthesis. The fast rate constant for incorporating 5-NapITP opposite an abasic site is proposed to reflect optimal base-stacking interactions of the non-natural nucleotide paired opposite the non-instructional lesion. Slower rate constants using a thymine dimer or unmodified pyrimidines are obtained due to steric hindrance.

non instructional abasic site. This difference in binding affinity is surprising since a thymine dimer contains potential coding information while the abasic site lacks direct coding information. It is possible that crosslinking two adjacent thymines causes significant distortion in the DNA template and disrupts nucleotide binding more significantly than the complete lack of templating information at an abasic site. Indeed, it is well known that alterations to a templating base in DNA can adversely affect the mechanism of DNA synthesis. This is borne out from the numerous structures of different DNA polymerases bound to various forms of DNA damage including abasic sites [53–56] and thymine dimers [19,57]. Each structure illustrates how perturbations in DNA sequence affect the conformation of templating DNA and/or polymerase to alter the efficiency and fidelity of nucleotide incorporation. However, these structures only provide snapshots of thermodynamically favored species. Our studies using 5 NapITP have the advantage of measuring the kinetic pathways associated with nucleotide selection, incorporation, and excision as a function of DNA lesion. In fact, the data provided here collectively show that kinetic behavior of the high fidelity bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase is differentially influenced by the physical nature of DNA lesion. The next logical step is to combine structural analyses of DNA polymerases with this spectroscopic probe to fully define differences between normal and translesion DNA synthesis.

The spectroscopic properties of 5 NapITP also confirmed the presence of a pre excision complex that forms prior to hydrolysis of the mispaired primer. This is evident as the rate constant in fluorescence quenching is significantly faster than the rate constant for excising 5 NapIMP (Table 2). These rate constants in fluorescence recovery and excision vary as a function of templating base and again reiterate that processing of a mispaired primer template is dependent upon its physical nature. In particular, faster rate constants are measured when 5 NapIMP is paired opposite an abasic site compared to either thymine dimer or unmodified thymine. This trend is somewhat surprising since we predicted that 5 NapIMP would be excised much slower when paired opposite an abasic site due to better base stacking interactions of the non natural nucleotide with the non instructional DNA lesion. This prediction is based on the fact that there is usually an inverse correlation between the rates of dNMP excision (k_{exo}) with the rates of dNTP incorporation (k_{pol}). In general, polymerases are more proficient at excising a mismatch that is kinetically more challenging to form. Indeed, we demonstrated that this correlation exists during the insertion and excision of dAMP opposite an abasic site as the rate constant for excising dAMP from the lesion ($k_{\text{exo}} \sim 28 \text{ s}^{-1}$) is much faster than incorporating dAMP opposite an abasic site ($k_{\text{pol}} \sim 0.15 \text{ s}^{-1}$) [34]. However, this mechanism does not appear to function when non natural nucleotides are placed in the primer as the results provided in Table 2 indicate that the 5 naphthylindole:thymine and 5 naphthylindole:thymine dimer mismatches are actually degraded more slowly despite being predicted to be less thermodynamically stable than 5 naphthylindole:abasic site. This paradox can be explained if exonuclease proofreading is activated through an inability to translocate to the next templating position rather than through simply sensing the nucleobase in the primer strand. This argument is based on data demonstrating that gp43 rapidly excises 5 NapIMP as it is unable to translocate to the next templating base when supplied with the next correct nucleotide. Likewise, the slower rate constants for excising 5 NapIMP from opposite a templating thymine could be caused by the ability of gp43 to translocate rather than partition the non natural nucleotide into the exonuclease active site.

This last aspect is of particular importance toward understanding the cellular mechanisms of lesion bypass. Under cellular conditions, most replicative DNA polymerases are complexed with accessory proteins that enhance the overall processivity of the DNA replication process [58]. When replicating undamaged DNA, the interaction of the circular “clamp” protein with the DNA polymerase decreases the rate constant of polymerase dissociation from DNA to greatly increase its low intrinsic

processivity [59]. In addition, these circular clamp proteins act as a cellular scaffold to recruit specialized DNA polymerase that can efficiently replicate various DNA lesions that typically serve as strong replication blocks to high fidelity DNA polymerases [60]. Our results showing that exonuclease proofreading is activated by the inability of a DNA polymerase to translocate beyond a DNA lesion have important ramifications for this potential cellular mechanism. For example, the interactions of a replicative DNA polymerase with its processivity factor would hinder dissociation from the DNA lesion and essentially force the polymerase to remain bound and stalled at the site of DNA damage. Stalling of the replicative polymerase directly at the lesion would preclude access to specialized DNA polymerases that could maintain the continuity of cellular DNA synthesis by efficiently inserting and/or extending beyond the lesion. However, the activation of exonuclease activity would allow the replicative polymerase to move back several bases downstream and allow a specialized DNA polymerase access to the DNA lesion. While this is a hypothetical model, we envision that non natural nucleotides such as 5 NapITP can be used as chemical tools to further define the mechanism and dynamics of intramolecular movements between polymerization and exonuclease active sites and how this influences the coordination of polymerase switching during lesion bypass. Since the bacteriophage T4 system is limited to a single DNA polymerase, the prokaryotic *E. coli* system provides the next simplest system to evaluate this mechanism.

References

- [1] L.K. Clayton, M.F. Goodman, E.W. Branscomb, D.J. Galas, Error induction and correction by mutant and wild type T4 DNA polymerases. Kinetic error discrimination mechanisms, *J. Biol. Chem.* 254 (1979) 1902–1912.
- [2] M.E. Santos, J.W. Drake, Rates of spontaneous mutation in bacteriophage T4 are independent of host fidelity determinants, *Genetics* 138 (1994) 553–564.
- [3] T.A. Kunkel, R.D. Sabatino, R.A. Bambara, Exonucleolytic proofreading by calf thymus DNA polymerase delta, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 84 (1987) 4865–4869.
- [4] L.A. Loeb, M.E. Reyland, Fidelity of DNA synthesis, in: F. Eckstein, D.M.J. Lilley (Eds.), *Nucleic Acids and Molecular Biology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987, pp. 157–173.
- [5] B.D. Preston, B.J. Poesz, L.A. Loeb, Fidelity of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase, *Science* 242 (1988) 1168–1171.
- [6] T.A. Kunkel, The mutational specificity of DNA polymerase-beta during in vitro DNA synthesis. Production of frameshift, base substitution, and deletion mutations, *J. Biol. Chem.* 260 (1985) 5787–5796.
- [7] T.A. Kunkel, K. Bebenek, DNA replication fidelity, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 69 (2000) 497–529.
- [8] M.F. Goodman, S. Creighton, L.B. Bloom, J. Petruska, Biochemical basis of DNA replication fidelity, *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 28 (1993) 83–126.
- [9] S. Creighton, M.F. Goodman, Gel kinetic analysis of DNA polymerase fidelity in the presence of proofreading using bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase, *J. Biol. Chem.* 270 (1995) 4759–4774.
- [10] T.L. Capson, J.A. Peliska, B.F. Kaboord, M.W. Frey, C. Lively, M. Dahlberg, S.J. Benkovic, Kinetic characterization of the polymerase and exonuclease activities of the gene 43 protein of bacteriophage T4, *Biochemistry* 31 (1992) 10984–10994.
- [11] H. Cai, H. Yu, K. McEntee, T.A. Kunkel, M.F. Goodman, Purification and properties of wild-type and exonuclease-deficient DNA polymerase II from *Escherichia coli*, *J. Biol. Chem.* 270 (1995) 15327–15335.
- [12] H. Huang, P. Keohavong, Fidelity and predominant mutations produced by deep vent wild-type and exonuclease-deficient DNA polymerases during in vitro DNA amplification, *DNA Cell Biol.* 15 (1996) 589–594.
- [13] M.J. Longley, D. Nguyen, T.A. Kunkel, W.C. Copeland, The fidelity of human DNA polymerase gamma with and without exonucleolytic proofreading and the p53 accessory subunit, *J. Biol. Chem.* 276 (2001) 38555–38562.
- [14] M. de Vega, L. Blanco, M. Salas, Processive proofreading and the spatial relationship between polymerase and exonuclease active sites of bacteriophage phi29 DNA polymerase, *J. Mol. Biol.* 292 (1999) 39–51.
- [15] L.A. Loeb, Cancer cells exhibit a mutator phenotype, *Adv. Cancer Res.* 72 (1998) 25–56.
- [16] E. Crespan, A. Amoroso, G. Maga, DNA polymerases and mutagenesis in human cancers, *Subcell. Biochem.* 50 (2010) 165–188.
- [17] R. Yoshida, K. Miyashita, M. Inoue, A. Shimamoto, Z. Yan, A. Egashira, E. Oki, Y. Kakeji, S. Oda, Y. Maehara, Concurrent genetic alterations in DNA polymerase proofreading and mismatch repair in human colorectal cancer, *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 19 (2011) 320–325.
- [18] G.W. Hsu, M. Ober, T. Carell, L.S. Beese, Error-prone replication of oxidatively damaged DNA by a high-fidelity DNA polymerase, *Nature* 431 (2004) 217–221.
- [19] Y. Li, S. Dutta, S. Doublié, H.M. Bdour, J.S. Taylor, T. Ellenberger, Nucleotide insertion opposite a cis-syn thymine dimer by a replicative DNA polymerase from bacteriophage T7, *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 11 (2004) 784–790.

- [20] B. Devadoss, I. Lee, A.J. Berdis, Enhancing the "A-rule" of translesion DNA synthesis: promutagenic DNA synthesis using modified nucleoside triphosphates, *Biochemistry* 46 (2007) 13752–13761.
- [21] J.N. Patro, M. Urban, R.D. Kuchta, Interaction of human DNA polymerase alpha and DNA polymerase I from *Bacillus stearothermophilus* with hypoxanthine and 8-oxoguanine nucleotides, *Biochemistry* 48 (2009) 8271–8278.
- [22] M.S. Noé, A.C. Rios, Y. Tor, Design, synthesis, and spectroscopic properties of extended and fused pyrrolo-dC and pyrrolo-C analogs, *Org. Lett.* 14 (2012) 3150–3153.
- [23] Y. Saito, K. Hanawa, K. Hayashi, K. Motegi, A. Okaoto, I. Saito, Synthesis and properties of novel base-discriminating fluorescent (BDF) nucleosides, *Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser. (Oxf)* 49 (2005) 153–154.
- [24] S.S. Bag, Y. Saito, K. Hanawa, S. Kodate, I. Suzuka, I. Saito, Intelligent fluorescent nucleoside in sensing cytosine base: importance of hydrophobic nature of perylene fluorophore, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 16 (2006) 6338–6341.
- [25] A.K. Shcheyolkina, D.N. Kaluzhny, O.F. Borisova, M.E. Hawkins, R.L. Jernigan, T.M. Jovin, D.J. Arndt-Jovin, V.B. Zhurkin, Formation of an intramolecular triple-stranded DNA structure monitored by fluorescence of 2-aminopurine or 6-methylisoxanthopterin, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32 (2004) 432–440.
- [26] M. Narayanan, G. Kodali, V. Singh, Y. Xing, M.E. Hawkins, R.J. Stanley, Differential fluorescence quenching of fluorescent nucleic acid base analogues by native nucleic acid monophosphates, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 114 (2010) 5953–5963.
- [27] S. Preus, K. Kilså, L.M. Wilhelmsson, B. Albinsson, Photophysical and structural properties of the fluorescent nucleobase analogues of the tricyclic cytosine (tC) family, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 12 (2010) 8881–8892.
- [28] M.W. Frey, L.C. Sowers, D.P. Millar, S.J. Benkovic, The nucleotide analog 2-aminopurine as a spectroscopic probe of nucleotide incorporation by the Klenow fragment of *Escherichia coli* polymerase I and bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase, *Biochemistry* 34 (1995) 9185–9192.
- [29] E. Fidalgo da Silva, S.S. Mandal, L.J. Reha-Krantz, Using 2-aminopurine fluorescence to measure incorporation of incorrect nucleotides by wild type and mutant bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerases, *J. Biol. Chem.* 277 (2002) 40640–40649.
- [30] D.N. Mhaskar, M.F. Goodman, On the molecular basis of transition mutations. Frequency of forming 2-aminopurine-cytosine base mispairs in the G X C-A X T mutational pathway by T4 DNA polymerase in vitro, *J. Biol. Chem.* 259 (1984) 11713–11717.
- [31] X. Zhang, A. Donnelly, I. Lee, A.J. Berdis, Rational attempts to optimize non-natural nucleotides for selective incorporation opposite an abasic site, *Biochemistry* 45 (2006) 13293–13303.
- [32] X. Zhang, I. Lee, A.J. Berdis, The use of nonnatural nucleotides to probe the contributions of shape complementarity and pi-electron surface area during DNA polymerization, *Biochemistry* 44 (2005) 13101–13110.
- [33] J. Rush, W.H. Konigsberg, Rapid purification of overexpressed T4 DNA polymerase, *Prep. Biochem.* 19 (1989) 329–340.
- [34] X. Zhang, I. Lee, A.J. Berdis, A potential chemotherapeutic strategy for the selective inhibition of promutagenic DNA synthesis by nonnatural nucleotides, *Biochemistry* 44 (2005) 13111–13121.
- [35] E.Z. Reineks, A.J. Berdis, Evaluating the contribution of base stacking during translesion DNA replication, *Biochemistry* 43 (2004) 393–404.
- [36] X. Zhang, I. Lee, A.J. Berdis, Evaluating the contributions of desolvation and base-stacking during translesion DNA synthesis, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2 (2004) 1703–1711.
- [37] X. Zhang, I. Lee, X. Zhou, A.J. Berdis, Hydrophobicity, shape, and pi-electron contributions during translesion DNA synthesis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 128 (2006) 143–149.
- [38] D. Vineyard, X. Zhang, A. Donnelly, I. Lee, A.J. Berdis, Optimization of non-natural nucleotides for selective incorporation opposite damaged DNA, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 5 (2007) 3623–3630.
- [39] I. Lee, A. Berdis, Fluorescent analysis of translesion DNA synthesis by using a novel, nonnatural nucleotide analogue, *Chembiochem* 7 (2006) 1990–1997.
- [40] S.P. Gilbert, A.T. Mackey, Kinetics: a tool to study molecular motors, *Methods* 22 (2000) 337–354.
- [41] B. Devadoss, I. Lee, A.J. Berdis, Is a thymine dimer replicated via a transient abasic site intermediate? A comparative study using non-natural nucleotides, *Biochemistry* 46 (2007) 4486–4498.
- [42] A.J. Berdis, Dynamics of translesion DNA synthesis catalyzed by the bacteriophage T4 exonuclease-deficient DNA polymerase, *Biochemistry* 40 (2001) 7180–7191.
- [43] H.E. Gray, W.G. Luttge, Linearization of two ligand-one binding site scatchard plot and the "IC50" competitive inhibition plot: application to the simplified graphical determination of equilibrium constants, *Life Sci.* 42 (1988) 231–237.
- [44] E. Fidalgo da Silva, L.J. Reha-Krantz, DNA polymerase proofreading: active site switching catalyzed by the bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35 (2007) 5452–5463.
- [45] L.J. Wheeler, I. Rajagopal, C.K. Mathews, Stimulation of mutagenesis by proportional deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate accumulation in *Escherichia coli*, *DNA Repair (Amst)* 4 (2005) 1450–1456.
- [46] S. Gon, R. Napolitano, W. Rocha, S. Coulon, R.P. Fuchs, Increase in dNTP pool size during the DNA damage response plays a key role in spontaneous and induced-mutagenesis in *Escherichia coli*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108 (2011) 19311–19316.
- [47] J.G.G. Lerma, W. Heneine, Resistance of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 to reverse transcriptase and protease inhibitors: genotypic and phenotypic testing, *J. Clin. Virol.* 21 (2001) 197–212.
- [48] R.S. Galhardo, R. Do, M. Yamada, E.C. Friedberg, P.J. Hastings, T. Nohmi, S.M. Rosenberg, DinB upregulation is the sole role of the SOS response in stress-induced mutagenesis in *Escherichia coli*, *Genetics* 182 (2009) 55–68.
- [49] A.J. Moyano, A.M. Smania, Simple sequence repeats and mucoid conversion: biased mucA mutagenesis in mismatch repair-deficient *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *PLoS One* 4 (2009) e8203.
- [50] T.A. Kunkel, P.M. Burgers, Dividing the workload at a eukaryotic replication fork, *Trends Cell Biol.* 18 (2008) 521–527.
- [51] U. Hubscher, G. Maga, S. Spadari, Eukaryotic DNA polymerases, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 71 (2002) 133–163.
- [52] D.K. Braithwaite, J. Ito, Compilation, alignment, and phylogenetic relationships of DNA polymerases, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 21 (1993) 787–802.
- [53] E. Freisinger, A.P. Grollman, H. Miller, C. Kisker, Lesion (in)tolerance reveals insights into DNA replication fidelity, *EMBO J.* 23 (2004) 1494–1505.
- [54] M. Hogg, S.S. Wallace, S. Doublié, Crystallographic snapshots of a replicative DNA polymerase encountering an abasic site, *EMBO J.* 23 (2004) 1483–1493.
- [55] K.E. Zahn, H. Belrhali, S.S. Wallace, S. Doublié, Caught bending the A-rule: crystal structures of translesion DNA synthesis with a non-natural nucleotide, *Biochemistry* 46 (2007) 10551–10561.
- [56] S. Obeid, N. Blatter, R. Kranaster, A. Schnur, K. Diederichs, W. Welte, A. Marx, Replication through an abasic DNA lesion: structural basis for adenine selectivity, *EMBO J.* 29 (2010) 1738–1747.
- [57] H. Ling, F. Boudsocq, B.S. Plosky, R. Woodgate, W. Yang, Replication of a cis-syn thymine dimer at atomic resolution, *Nature* 424 (2003) 1083–1087.
- [58] M.A. Trakselis, M.U. Mayer, F.T. Ishmael, R.M. Roccasecca, S.J. Benkovic, Dynamic protein interactions in the bacteriophage T4 replisome, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 26 (2001) 566–572.
- [59] Z. Kelman, J. Hurwitz, M. O'Donnell, Processivity of DNA polymerases: two mechanisms, one goal, *Structure* 6 (1998) 121–125.
- [60] A.R. Lehmann, Clubbing together on clamps: the key to translesion synthesis, *DNA Repair (Amst)* 5 (2006) 404–407.