



CSU
College of Law Library

Cleveland State Law Review

Volume 53 | Issue 3

Article

2005

Table of Contents

Cleveland State Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstrev>

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Recommended Citation

Cleveland State Law Review, *Table of Contents*, 53 Clev. St. L. Rev. (2005)
available at <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstrev/vol53/iss3/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cleveland State Law Review by an authorized editor of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

CLEVELAND STATE LAW REVIEW

Volume 53

2005-06

Number 3

CONTENTS

ARTICLES

- PRENUPTIAL AGREEMENTS: A NEW REASON TO
REVIVE AN OLD RULE *Jeffrey Sherman* 359
- LIMITED POWERS IN THE LOOKING-GLASS:
OTIOSE TEXTUALISM, AND AN EMPIRICAL
ANALYSIS OF OTHER APPROACHES, WHEN
ACTIVISTS IN PRIVATE SHOPPING CENTERS
CLAIM STATE CONSTITUTIONAL LIBERTIES *Richard Peltz* 399
- EASTERN VISIONS, WESTERN VOICES: A SERMON
ON LOVE IN THE VALLEY OF LAW *John W. Teeter, Jr.* 429
- REPUDIATING MORALS LEGISLATION: RENDERING
THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO PRIVACY
OBSOLETE *Sonu Bedi* 447

NOTES

- OHIO CHARTER SCHOOLS AND EDUCATIONAL
PRIVATIZATION: UNDERMINING THE LEGACY
OF THE STATE CONSTITUTION'S COMMON
SCHOOL APPROACH *Nathaniel J. McDonald* 467
- RETHINKING AMERICA'S APPROACH TO
WORKPLACE SAFETY: A MODEL FOR
ADVANCING SAFETY ISSUES IN THE CHEMICAL
INDUSTRY *Gwen Forté* 513
- EQUAL PROTECTION FOR HOMOSEXUALS: WHY
THE IMMUTABILITY ARGUMENT IS NECESSARY
AND HOW IT IS MET *Kari Balog* 545

CITE AS 53 CLEV. ST. L. REV. __ (2005-06)

The views expressed in the *Cleveland State Law Review* are those of the authors of the articles and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the trustees, faculty, alumni, or students of the Cleveland State University or the College of Law. Contributing authors are expected to reveal personal, economic, or professional interest that may have influenced the views taken or advocated in their articles. Each author impliedly represents that such disclosure has been made.