

3-2019

Gluing Semigroups and Strongly Indispensable Free Resolutions

Mesut Sahin

Hacettepe University, mesut.sahin@hacettepe.edu.tr

Leah Gold Stella

Cleveland State University, L.Gold33@csuohio.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/scimath_facpub

 Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

Repository Citation

Sahin, Mesut and Stella, Leah Gold, "Gluing Semigroups and Strongly Indispensable Free Resolutions" (2019). *Mathematics Faculty Publications*. 313.

https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/scimath_facpub/313

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics and Statistics Department at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

GLUING SEMIGROUPS AND STRONGLY INDISPENSABLE FREE RESOLUTIONS

MESUT ŞAHİN AND LEAH GOLD STELLA

ABSTRACT. We study strong indispensability of minimal free resolutions of semigroup rings focusing on the operation of gluing used in literature to take examples with a special property and produce new ones. We give a naive condition to determine whether gluing of two semigroup rings has a strongly indispensable minimal free resolution. As applications, we determine simple gluings of 3-generated non-symmetric, 4-generated symmetric and pseudo symmetric numerical semigroups as well as obtain infinitely many new complete intersection semigroups of any embedding dimensions, having strongly indispensable minimal free resolutions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{N} denote the set of non-negative integers and consider the affine semigroup S generated minimally by $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_n \in \mathbb{N}^r$. Let K be a field. Turning the additive structure of S into a multiplicative one yields an algebra $K[S]$ called the affine semigroup ring associated to S . Any polynomial ring $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, can be graded by S , via $\deg_S(x_i) = \mathbf{m}_i$, yielding a graded map $R \rightarrow K[S]$, sending x_i to $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{m}_i} := t_1^{m_{i1}} \cdots t_r^{m_{ir}}$, whose kernel, denoted by I_S , is called the toric ideal of S . When K is algebraically closed, $K[S]$ is isomorphic to the coordinate ring R/I_S of the affine toric variety $V(I_S)$.

Toric ideals with unique minimal generating sets or equivalently those that are generated by indispensable binomials attracted researchers attention due to its importance for algebraic statistics. This connection leads to a search for criteria to characterize indispensability (see e.g. [5, 6, 9, 13, 16, 22]). Indispensable binomials are those that appear in every minimal *binomial* generating set up to a constant multiple. Strongly indispensable binomials are those appearing in *every* minimal generating set, up to a constant multiple. In the same vein, as introduced for the first time by Charalambous and Thoma in [3, 4], strongly indispensable higher syzygies are those appearing in *every* minimal free resolution. Semigroups all of whose higher syzygy modules are generated

Date: October 3, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13D02;20M25;14M25;13A02.

Key words and phrases. semigroup rings; free resolutions; indispensability.

The first author is supported by the project 114F094 under the program 1001 of the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey.

minimally by strongly indispensable elements are said to have a strongly indispensable minimal free resolution, SIFRE for short. The statistical models having SIFREs or equivalently having uniquely generated higher syzygy modules are a subclass of those having a unique Markov basis and therefore have a better potential statistical behaviour.

It is difficult to construct examples having SIFREs. It is known that generic lattice ideals have SIFRE ([17, Theorem 4.2], [3, Theorem 4.9]). Numerical semigroups having SIFREs have been classified for some small embedding dimensions in [2, 20].

Motivated by the third question stated by Charalambous and Thoma at the end of [4], our main aim in this article is to identify some semigroups having SIFREs. We focus on the operation of gluing used in literature to produce more examples with a special property from the existing one (see e.g. [23, 14, 19, 15, 8]). In Section 2, we restate the general method given by [3, Theorem 4.9] to check if a given semigroup has a SIFRE, see Lemma 2.1. In section 3, we study the gluing S of S_1 and S_2 . We show that a minimal graded free resolution for $K[S]$ is obtained from that of $K[S_1]$ and $K[S_2]$ via the tensor product of three complexes (for details see Theorem 3.2). As a consequence we get the Betti S -degrees, see Lemma 3.4, which is key for our refined criterion special to semigroups obtained by gluing. We then give a naive criterion to determine whether $K[S]$ has a SIFRE, see Theorem 3.6. We conclude the section with Example 3.8 illustrating the efficiency of our criterion. In the last section, we focus on a particular gluing also known as extension or simple gluing, and get an even more refined criterion in this case. It turns out that this condition is very helpful for producing infinitely many examples having SIFRE from a single example. As applications, we determine extensions of 3-generated non-symmetric, 4-generated symmetric and pseudo symmetric numerical semigroups as well as obtain infinitely many complete intersection semigroups of any embedding dimension, having SIFREs.

2. STRONGLY INDISPENSABLE MINIMAL FREE RESOLUTIONS

Let (\mathbf{F}, ϕ) be a graded minimal free R -resolution of $K[S]$, where

$$\mathbf{F} : 0 \longrightarrow R^{\beta_k} \xrightarrow{\phi_k} R^{\beta_{k-1}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{k-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_2} R^{\beta_1} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} R^{\beta_0} \longrightarrow K[S] \longrightarrow 0.$$

The elements $s_{i,j} \in S$ for which $R^{\beta_i} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_i} R[-s_{i,j}]$ are called i -Betti S -degrees. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_i(S)$ the set of these i -Betti S -degrees for $1 \leq i \leq \text{pd}(S)$ and let $\mathcal{B}_i(S) = \{0\}$ otherwise, where $\text{pd}(S)$ is the projective dimension of $K[S]$. Note that we allow $\mathcal{B}_i(S)$ to contain repeating elements in a nonstandard way for convenience.

The resolution (\mathbf{F}, ϕ) is called **strongly indispensable** if for any graded minimal resolution (\mathbf{G}, θ) , we have an injective complex map $i: (\mathbf{F}, \phi) \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}, \theta)$. When (\mathbf{F}, ϕ) is strongly indispensable S or $K[S]$ is said to have a SIFRE for short.

The following general criterion about strong indispensability is a version of Charalambous and Thoma's Theorem 4.9 in [3] stated slightly different for semigroup rings. We compare two elements s_1 and s_2 of S saying that $s_1 < s_2$ if $s_2 - s_1 \in S$. An element is regarded minimal with respect to this partial ordering.

Lemma 2.1. *A minimal graded free resolution of $K[S]$ is strongly indispensable if and only if $\pm(b_i - b'_i) \notin S$ for all $b_i, b'_i \in \mathcal{B}_i(S)$ and for each $1 \leq i \leq \text{pd}(S)$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.9 in [3] that $K[S]$ has a SIFRE if and only if i -Betti degrees are minimal elements of $\mathcal{B}_i(S)$ and are different, for each i . If i -Betti degrees are different and minimal, for each i , then their differences can not lie in S as otherwise there would be $b_i, b'_i \in \mathcal{B}_i(S)$ with $b_i - b'_i = s \in S \setminus \{0\}$, contradicting the minimality of b_i . Conversely, if $\pm(b_i - b'_i) \notin S$ for all $b_i, b'_i \in \mathcal{B}_i(S)$ and for each $1 \leq i \leq \text{pd}(S)$, then all $b_i \in \mathcal{B}_i(S)$ are clearly minimal. They are also different as S always contains 0. □

When S is symmetric, it is sufficient to check the condition above for the first half of the indices.

Lemma 2.2. *If S is symmetric, then $K[S]$ has a SIFRE if and only if $\pm(b_i - b'_i) \notin S$ for all $b_i, b'_i \in \mathcal{B}_i(S)$ and for each $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \text{pd}(S)/2 \rfloor$.*

Proof. The proof of Lemma 21 in Barucci, Fröberg, and Şahin's paper [2, Lemma 21] extends from numerical semigroups to arbitrary affine semigroups, as it uses the symmetry in the minimal graded free resolution of $K[S]$, which is true for any graded Gorenstein K -algebra by Stanley's second proof of Theorem 4.1. in [21]. □

We finish this section by illustrating how this criterion applies.

Example 2.3. Let $S = \langle 5 \cdot 31, 5 \cdot 37, 5 \cdot 41, 82 \cdot 4, 82 \cdot 5 \rangle = \langle 155, 185, 205, 328, 410 \rangle$. Macaulay 2 computes I_S to be the following ideal

$$I = \left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 2 & & 5 & & 2 & & 9 & & 2 & 2 & 5 & & 4 & 7 & 3 & & 4 \\ x^2 - x^5, & x^2 - x^9, & x^2 - x^4, & x^2 - x^7, & x^2 - x^4 \\ x^3 - x^5, & x^2 - x^1, & x^3 - x^5, & x^1 - x^2, & x^3 - x^5, & x^4 - x^5, & x^1 - x^2, & x^5 \end{array} \right).$$

In order to check whether S has a SIFRE we compute a minimal S -graded free resolution using the commands:

`C = res I;`

`C.dd`

and determine all the i -Betti S -degrees as follows. For instance, the following computes the set $\mathcal{B}_1(S)$ of 1-Betti S -degrees:

`i1 : B1=(degrees C.dd#1)_1`

`o1 = {410, 925, 1395, 1640, 1640}`

As there are two syzygies with the same Betti S -degree 1640, and their difference is $0 \in S$, the semigroup S can not have a SIFRE.

3. GLUING STRONGLY INDISPENSABLE RESOLUTIONS

In this section, we study the concept of gluing introduced for the first time by Rosales [18]. Let $S_1 = \mathbb{N}\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$ and $S_2 = \mathbb{N}\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$ be two affine semigroups. If there is an $\alpha \in S_1 \cap S_2$ such that $\mathbb{Z}S_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}S_2 = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ then $S = S_1 + S_2$ is said to be the **gluing** of S_1 and S_2 by the virtue of [18, Theorem 1.4 and Definition 2.1]. When

$$\alpha = u_1\mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + u_m\mathbf{a}_m = v_1\mathbf{b}_1 + \dots + v_n\mathbf{b}_n,$$

the binomial $f_\alpha = x_1^{u_1} \dots x_m^{u_m} - y_1^{v_1} \dots y_n^{v_n}$ has S -degree α and the toric ideal is of the form

$$I_S = I_{S_1} + I_{S_2} + \langle f_\alpha \rangle \subset R = K[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n].$$

Note that f_α might not be unique as different u_i 's or v_j 's may appear in the expression of α above.

Let

$$\mathbf{F} : 0 \rightarrow F_k \xrightarrow{\phi_k} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} F_0 \rightarrow 0$$

be a minimal S_1 -graded free resolution of I_{S_1} with $H_0(\mathbf{F}) = R/I_{S_1}$,

$$\mathbf{G} : 0 \rightarrow G_l \xrightarrow{\Phi_l} \dots \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} G_1 \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} G_0 \rightarrow 0$$

be a minimal S_2 -graded free resolution of I_{S_2} with $H_0(\mathbf{G}) = R/I_{S_2}$.

Our aim is to compute a minimal S -graded free resolution of I_S using the complexes \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{G} .

Since $I_S = I_{S_1} + I_{S_2} + \langle f_\alpha \rangle$ the idea is to tensor these complexes and the complex below :

$$\mathbf{C}_{f_\alpha} : 0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} R \rightarrow 0.$$

This method works if f_α is a non-zero-divisor on $R/(I_{S_1} + I_{S_2})$ so we address it first.

Lemma 3.1. *The gluing binomial f_α is a non zerodivisor on $R/(I_{S_1} + I_{S_2})$.*

Proof. For notational convenience, let $f_\alpha = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{v}} = x_1^{u_1} \cdots x_m^{u_m} - y_1^{v_1} \cdots y_n^{v_n}$. Take an element $g = \sum_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}} c_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}} \in R$ with $gf_\alpha \in I_{S_1} + I_{S_2}$. As $I_{S_1} + I_{S_2}$ is generated by binomials of the form $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}'}$ and $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}'}$, these binomials appear in the expansion of $gf_\alpha = \sum_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}} c_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}+\mathbf{v}})$. In other words, each monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}}$ has a match of type $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}'+\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}'}$ or $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}'} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}'+\mathbf{v}}$ such that

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}'+\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}'} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}'} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}'+\mathbf{v}}$$

is divisible by one of the binomials $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}'}$ or $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}'}$. In the first case, this is possible only if $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}'$ or $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}'$. If $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}'$, then

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}'+\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}'} = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}'}) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}'}).$$

This means that the term $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}}$ of g has a match $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}'}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}'}$ is divisible by $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}'}$. Similarly, one can prove that this happens for the other cases. Hence, terms in g may be rearranged so that it is an algebraic combination of binomials $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}'}$ and $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{w}'}$, that is, $g \in I_{S_1} + I_{S_2}$. \square

We are now ready to prove the following key result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let S be the gluing of S_1 and S_2 . If \mathbf{F} is a minimal S_1 -graded free resolution of I_{S_1} and \mathbf{G} is a minimal S_2 -graded free resolution of I_{S_2} , then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{f}_\alpha} \otimes \mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G}$ is a minimal S -graded free resolution of I_S .*

Proof. Recall that the tensor product of \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{G} is a complex

$$\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G} : 0 \longrightarrow F_k \otimes G_l \xrightarrow{\delta_{k+l}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_2} F_1 \otimes G_0 \oplus F_0 \otimes G_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} F_0 \otimes G_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

with terms $(\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G})_i = \bigoplus_{p+q=i} F_p \otimes G_q$ and maps given by

$$\delta_i \left(\sum_{p+q=i} a_p \otimes b_q \right) = \sum_{p+q=i} \phi_p(a_p) \otimes b_q + (-1)^p a_p \otimes \Phi_q(b_q).$$

It is well known that $H_i(\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G}) = H_i(\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{I}_{S_2})$ where $\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{I}_{S_2}$ is the complex

$$0 \rightarrow F_k \otimes R/I_{S_2} \xrightarrow{\Delta_k} \cdots \xrightarrow{\Delta_2} F_1 \otimes R/I_{S_2} \xrightarrow{\Delta_1} F_0 \otimes R/I_{S_2} \rightarrow 0,$$

with $\Delta_i(a_i \otimes b) = \phi_i(a_i) \otimes b$. It is easy to see that

$$\text{Ker}(\Delta_i) = [\text{Ker}(\phi_i) \otimes R/I_{S_2}] \cup [(\phi_i^{-1}(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_{i-1}} I_{S_2})) \otimes R/I_{S_2}],$$

where $r_{i-1} = \text{rank}(F_{i-1})$, and $\text{Im}(\Delta_i) = \text{Im}(\phi_i) \otimes R/I_{S_2}$. Since, $\text{Im}(\phi_i)$ involves the variables x_j only and I_{S_2} involves the variables y_j only, it follows that $\phi_i^{-1}(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_{i-1}} I_{S_2}) = \{0\}$. Thus, $H_i(\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G}) =$

$H_i(\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{I}_{S_2}) = 0$, for all $i > 0$. Since $H_0(\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G}) = R/I_{S_1} \otimes R/I_{S_2} \cong R/(I_{S_1} + I_{S_2})$, it follows that $\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G}$ is an S -graded minimal free resolution of $R/(I_{S_1} + I_{S_2})$.

Now let $f = f_\alpha$ for notational convenience. As before,

$$H_i(\mathbf{C}_f \otimes \mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G}) = H_i(\mathbf{C}_f \otimes \mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{I}_{S_1} + \mathbf{I}_{S_2})), \quad \text{where } \mathbf{C}_f \otimes \mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{I}_{S_1} + \mathbf{I}_{S_2}) \text{ is}$$

$$0 \rightarrow R \otimes R/(I_{S_1} + I_{S_2}) \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1} R \otimes R/(I_{S_1} + I_{S_2}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $H_1(\mathbf{C}_f \otimes \mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{I}_{S_1} + \mathbf{I}_{S_2})) = ((I_{S_1} + I_{S_2}) : f) \otimes R/(I_{S_1} + I_{S_2}) = \{0\}$ as f is a non-zero-divisor on $R/(I_{S_1} + I_{S_2})$. Since we have the following isomorphism

$$H_0(\mathbf{C}_f \otimes \mathbf{R}/(\mathbf{I}_{S_1} + \mathbf{I}_{S_2})) \cong R/(I_{S_1} + I_{S_2} + \langle f \rangle),$$

it follows that $\mathbf{C}_f \otimes \mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G}$ gives an S -graded minimal free resolution of I_S . \square

Remark 3.3. As we were preparing the final version for submission, a slightly different version of the theorem above is posted on arxiv by Gimenez and Srinivasan [10]. See also our preprint posted on arxiv at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.09298>.

Recall that $\mathcal{B}_i(S)$ is the set of i -Betti S -degrees of a minimal free resolution of $K[S]$ for every $1 \leq i \leq \text{pd}(S)$ and $\mathcal{B}_i(S) = \{0\}$ otherwise.

Lemma 3.4. *Let S be the gluing of S_1 and S_2 . Then,*

$$\mathcal{B}_i(S) = \left[\bigcup_{p+q=i} \mathcal{B}_p(S_1) + \mathcal{B}_q(S_2) \right] \cup \left[\bigcup_{p+q=i-1} \mathcal{B}_p(S_1) + \mathcal{B}_q(S_2) + \{\alpha\} \right].$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, $\mathbf{C}_{f_\alpha} \otimes \mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G}$ is an S -graded minimal free resolution of I_S . Hence, the proof follows from the following

$$(\mathbf{C}_{f_\alpha} \otimes \mathbf{F} \otimes \mathbf{G})_i = \bigoplus_{p+q=i} R \otimes F_p \otimes G_q + \bigoplus_{p+q=i-1} R(-\alpha) \otimes F_p \otimes G_q,$$

since S -degrees of elements in $F_p \otimes G_q$ constitute the set $\mathcal{B}_p(S_1) + \mathcal{B}_q(S_2)$. \square

We use the following simple observation in the proof of our main result.

Lemma 3.5. *Let S be the gluing of S_1 and S_2 . Fix $j \in \{1, 2\}$, and $b, b' \in S_j$. Then, $b - b' \in S_j \iff b - b' \in S$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $j = 1$. As $S_1 \subset S$, $b - b' \in S_1 \Rightarrow b - b' \in S$. For the converse, take $b, b' \in S_1$ with $b - b' \in S$. Then, $b - b' = s_1 + s_2$, for some $s_1 \in S_1$ and $s_2 \in S_2$, since $S = S_1 + S_2$. So, $s_2 = b - b' - s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}S_1$. Since $\mathbb{Z}S_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}S_2 = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ and $s_2 \in S_2$, we have $s_2 = k\alpha$ for a positive integer k . Hence, $b - b' = s_1 + k\alpha \in S_1$. \square

We are now ready to prove our main result which gives a practical method to produce infinitely many affine semigroups having a SIFRE.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $b_{i,j}$ denote an element of $\mathcal{B}_i(S_j)$ for $i = 1, \dots, pd(S_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. Then, I_S has a SIFRE if and only if I_{S_1} and I_{S_2} have SIFREs and the following hold*

- (1) $\pm(\alpha + b_{i-1,j} - b_{i,j}) \notin S_j$,
- (2) $\pm(b_{p,1} + b_{q,2} - b'_{r,1} - b'_{s,2}) \notin S$, for $p - r \geq 2$, where $p + q = i = r + s$,
- (3) $\pm(b_{p,1} + b_{q,2} - b'_{r,1} - b'_{s,2} - \alpha) \notin S$ for $p - r \geq 2$, where $p + q = i = r + s + 1$.

Proof. Let us prove necessity first. By Lemma 2.1, the differences between the elements in $\mathcal{B}_i(S)$ do not belong to S . Let $b_{i,j}, b'_{i,j} \in \mathcal{B}_i(S_j)$, for $j = 1, 2$. By Lemma 3.4, we have $\mathcal{B}_i(S_j) \subset \mathcal{B}_i(S)$, and thus $b_{i,j} - b'_{i,j} \notin S$. This implies $b_{i,j} - b'_{i,j} \notin S_j$ by Lemma 3.5, which means that I_{S_1} and I_{S_2} have SIFRE by the virtue of Lemma 2.1. As the elements in the Conditions (1)-(3) are the differences of some elements in $\mathcal{B}_i(S)$, they do not belong to S . So, Conditions (2) and (3) hold. Lemma 3.5 implies (1) now.

Now let us prove sufficiency. If $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}_i(S)$, then there are three possibilities due to Lemma 3.4:

- (i) $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}_p(S_1) + \mathcal{B}_q(S_2)$, for $p + q = i$,
- (ii) $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}_p(S_1) + \mathcal{B}_q(S_2) + \alpha$, for $p + q = i - 1$,
- (iii) $b \in \mathcal{B}_p(S_1) + \mathcal{B}_q(S_2)$, $b' \in \mathcal{B}_r(S_1) + \mathcal{B}_s(S_2) + \alpha$, for $p + q = i = r + s + 1$.

Case (i): Let $b = b_{p,1} + b_{q,2}$ and $b' = b'_{r,1} + b'_{s,2}$ with $p + q = i = r + s$. Suppose now that $b - b' \in S$. Then, $b - b' = b_{p,1} + b_{q,2} - b'_{r,1} - b'_{s,2} = s_1 + s_2$, for some $s_1 \in S_1$ and $s_2 \in S_2$. Thus, $b_{p,1} - b'_{r,1} - s_1 = s_2 - b_{q,2} + b'_{s,2} = k\alpha$ being an element of $\mathbb{Z}S_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}S_2 = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$. By Condition (2), we need only to check the difference for $p = r$ and $p = r + 1$.

When $p = r$, it follows that $b_{p,1} - b'_{p,1} = s_1 + k\alpha \in S_1$ if $k \geq 0$, and that $b_{q,2} - b'_{q,2} = s_2 + (-k)\alpha \in S_2$ if $k < 0$, contradicting to hypothesis by Lemma 2.1.

When $p = r + 1$, it follows that $b_{r+1,1} - b'_{r,1} - s_1 = s_2 - b_{q,2} + b'_{q+1,2} = k\alpha$. Since the resolution of I_{S_2} is S_2 -graded, there is $s'_2 \in S_2$ such that $b'_{q+1,2} = b_{q,2} + s'_2$. So, $k\alpha = s_2 + s'_2 \in S_2$. Since $S_2 \cap (-S_2) = \{0\}$, we have $k > 0$. But then, $b_{r+1,1} - b'_{r,1} - \alpha = s_1 + (k - 1)\alpha \in S_1$, which contradicts Condition (1).

Case (ii): follows from Case (i).

Case (iii): Let $b = b_{p,1} + b_{q,2}$ and $b' = b'_{r,1} + b'_{s,2} + \alpha$ with $p + q = i = r + s + 1$. Suppose that $b - b' \in S$. Then, $b - b' = b_{p,1} + b_{q,2} - b'_{r,1} - b'_{s,2} - \alpha = s_1 + s_2$, for some $s_1 \in S_1$ and $s_2 \in S_2$. It follows that $b_{p,1} - b'_{r,1} - s_1 = s_2 - b_{q,2} + b'_{s,2} + \alpha = k\alpha$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Condition (3), we need only to check the difference for $p = r$ and $p = r + 1$.

When $p = r$, we have $b_{r,1} - b'_{r,1} = s_1 + k\alpha \in S_1$ if $k > 0$, and $b_{s+1,2} - b'_{s,2} - \alpha = s_2 + (-k)\alpha \in S_2$ if $k \leq 0$, which give rise to a contradiction.

When $p = r + 1$, we have $b_{r+1,1} - b'_{r,1} - \alpha = s_1 + (k - 1)\alpha \in S_1$ if $k > 0$, and $b_{s,2} - b'_{s,2} = s_2 + (-k)\alpha \in S_2$ if $k \leq 0$, which give rise to a contradiction.

One proves that $b' - b \notin S$ similarly. \square

Remark 3.7. Let S_1 and S_2 be two numerical semigroups minimally generated by the integers $a_1 < \dots < a_m$ and $b_1 < \dots < b_n$ respectively. This implies that $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \gcd(b_1, \dots, b_n) = 1$. Take $a = u_1 a_1 + \dots + u_m a_m \in S_1$ and $b = v_1 b_1 + \dots + v_n b_n \in S_2$. Then, by [18, Lemma 2.2], the numerical semigroup $S = \langle ba_1, \dots, ba_m, ab_1, \dots, ab_n \rangle$ is a gluing of the semigroups bS_1 and aS_2 if and only if $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ with $a \notin \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ and $b \notin \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ such that

$$\{ba_1, \dots, ba_m\} \cap \{ab_1, \dots, ab_n\} = \emptyset.$$

In this case, one needs to pay attention to the notation as S is not a gluing of S_1 and S_2 . For instance, one has to use $\mathcal{B}_p(bS_1)$ in Lemma 3.4 rather than $\mathcal{B}_p(S_1)$.

The following illustrates the efficiency of our criterion special to semigroups obtained by gluing.

Example 3.8. $S_1 = \langle 31, 37, 41 \rangle$ and $S_2 = \langle 4, 5 \rangle$ have SIFREs. Take

$$a = u_1 \cdot 31 + u_2 \cdot 37 + u_3 \cdot 41 \in S_1 \quad \text{and} \quad b = v_1 \cdot 4 + v_2 \cdot 5 \in S_2.$$

Then, by Remark 3.7, the semigroup $S = bS_1 + aS_2$ is the gluing of bS_1 and aS_2 if and only if $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ with $a \notin \{31, 37, 41\}$ and $b \notin \{4, 5\}$. It is difficult, however, to determine a and b for which S has a SIFRE using Lemma 2.1 as we explain now. Macaulay 2 computes the i -Betti S_1 -degrees as follows:

```
i1 : B1S1=(degrees C1.dd#1)_1
```

```
o1 = {185,279,328}
```

```
i2 : B2S1=(degrees C1.dd#2)_1
```

```
o2 = {390,402}
```

Clearly, the only Betti S_2 -degree is $\mathcal{B}_1(S_2) = \{20\}$. Therefore, using Lemma 3.4 we get the following sets:

- $\mathcal{B}_1(S) = \{185b, \quad 279b, \quad 328b, \quad 20a, \quad ab\},$
- $\mathcal{B}_2(S) = \{390b, \quad 402b, \quad 185b + 20a, \quad 279b + 20a, \quad 328b + 20a,$
 $185b + ab, \quad 279b + ab, \quad 328b + ab, \quad 20a + ab\},$
- $\mathcal{B}_3(S) = \{390b + 20a, \quad 402b + 20a, \quad 390b + ab, \quad 402b + ab,$
 $185b + 20a + ab, \quad 279b + 20a + ab, \quad 328b + 20a + ab\},$

- $\mathcal{B}_4(S) = \{390b + 20a + ab, \quad 402b + 20a + ab\}$.

For instance, there are 10 positive differences of elements in $\mathcal{B}_1(S)$. Hence, Lemma 2.1 requires checking if 68 elements do not lie in S for every choice of a and b . As the positive integers not in S (also known as gaps of S) depend on a and b , it is difficult to foresee which gluing will have a SIFRE. On the other hand, Conditions (2) and (3) of Theorem 3.6 hold automatically and it is sufficient to check Condition (1) only. This means to check if

- $\pm(a - b_1) \notin S_1$, for $b_1 \in \{185, 279, 328\}$
- $\pm(a + b_1 - b_2) \notin S_1$, for $b_1 \in \{185, 279, 328\}$ and $b_2 \in \{390, 402\}$
- $\pm(b - c_1) \notin S_2$, for $c_1 \in \{20\}$.

One can use gaps of $\langle 31, 37, 41 \rangle$ to see only $a = 109$ or $a = 150$ yield a situation where the first two items above hold.

One can use gaps $\{1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 11\}$ of $\langle 4, 5 \rangle$ to see that the last bullet holds for any

$$b \in Q = \{19, 18, 17, 14, 13, 9, 21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 31\}.$$

The values when $a = 109$ with any $b \in Q$ or $a = 150$ with $b = 19, 17, 13, 23, 31$ produce gluings with SIFREs. Similarly one can see using our criterion that $\langle 6, 7, 10 \rangle$ or $\langle 8, 9, 11 \rangle$ does not give rise to a gluing with a SIFRE.

Remark 3.9. This section generalizes the main results of [9] as we briefly explain now. Our Lemma 3.4 specializes to $\mathcal{B}_1(S) = \mathcal{B}_1(S_1) \cup \mathcal{B}_1(S_2) \cup \{\alpha\}$, which is exactly [9, Theorem 10]. Furthermore the condition (1) in our Theorem 3.6, specializes to $\mp(\alpha - b_{1,j}) \notin S_j$, since $b_{0,j} = 0$, for $j = 1, 2$. This is exactly the condition in [9, Theorem 12] by the virtue of Lemma 3.5 and $\alpha \in S_1 \cap S_2$. One can produce gluings with unique presentations or equivalently unique minimal generating sets which do not have SIFREs. For example, take the gluing in Example 3.8 with $a = 355$ and

$$b \in Q = \{19, 18, 17, 14, 13, 9, 21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 31\}.$$

Then, S has a unique presentation as the following hold:

- $\pm(a - b_1) \notin S_1$, for $b_1 \in \{185, 279, 328\}$
- $\pm(b - c_1) \notin S_2$, for $c_1 \in \{20\}$.

On the other hand, we have seen in Example 3.8 that the following condition does not hold:

- $\pm(a + b_1 - b_2) \notin S_1$, for $b_1 \in \{185, 279, 328\}$ and $b_2 \in \{390, 402\}$.

4. EXTENDING STRONGLY INDISPENSABLE RESOLUTIONS

We determine some semigroups having SIFREs in this section. We focus on a particular case of gluing where the second semigroup is generated by a single element. These semigroups are also known as extensions in the literature. Given an affine semigroup S generated minimally by $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_n$, recall that an *extension of S* is an affine semigroup denoted by E and generated minimally by $\ell\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \ell\mathbf{m}_n$ and \mathbf{m} , where ℓ is a positive integer coprime to a component of $\mathbf{m} = u_1\mathbf{m}_1 + \dots + u_n\mathbf{m}_n$ for some non-negative integers u_1, \dots, u_n . Note that E is the gluing of $S_1 = \ell S$ and $S_2 = \mathbb{N}\{\mathbf{m}\}$, with $\alpha = \ell\mathbf{m}$.

Theorem 4.1. *$K[E]$ has a SIFRE if and only if $K[S]$ has a SIFRE and the condition $\pm(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{b}) \notin S$ holds, for all $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{B}_i(S)$, $\mathbf{b}' \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}(S)$, and $1 \leq i \leq \text{pd}(S) + 1$.*

Proof. $K[E]$ has a SIFRE if and only if $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}' \notin E$ for all $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}' \in \mathcal{B}_i(E)$ by Lemma 2.1. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that $\mathcal{B}_i(E) = \ell\mathcal{B}_i(S) \cup \ell[\mathcal{B}_{i-1}(S) + \mathbf{m}]$, and so we have three possibilities if $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}' \in \mathcal{B}_i(E)$:

- (1) $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}' \in \ell\mathcal{B}_i(S)$,
- (2) $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}' \in \ell[\mathcal{B}_{i-1}(S) + \mathbf{m}]$,
- (3) $\mathbf{e} \in \ell\mathcal{B}_i(S)$ and $\mathbf{e}' \in \ell[\mathcal{B}_{i-1}(S) + \mathbf{m}]$.

In the first two cases $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}' = \ell(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}') \notin E$ if and only if $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}' \notin S$, by Lemma 3.5, which is equivalent to $K[S]$ having a SIFRE by Lemma 2.1. In the last one, $\pm(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}') = \pm\ell(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{m}) \notin E$ if and only if $\pm(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{m}) \notin S$, which completes the proof. \square

4.1. Symmetric affine semigroups. As another application, we obtain infinitely many complete intersection semigroup rings with a SIFRE. When E is symmetric (or equivalently S is symmetric), it is sufficient to check the condition above for the first half of the indices.

Corollary 4.2. *If E is symmetric, then $K[E]$ has a SIFRE if and only if $K[S]$ has a SIFRE and $\pm(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{b}) \notin S$, for $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{B}_i(S)$, $\mathbf{b}' \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}(S)$, and $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \text{pd}(E)/2 \rfloor$.*

Proof. The proof mimics the proof of Theorem 4.1, applying Lemma 2.2 instead of Lemma 2.1. \square

Let $n > 1$ and $\{\mathbf{e}_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ denote the canonical basis of \mathbb{N}^n . Let u_1, \dots, u_n be some positive integers and S be the semigroup generated minimally by $u_1\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, u_n\mathbf{e}_n$. It is clear that $I_S = (0)$ and thus $K[S] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has a SIFRE.

Fix $\mathbf{a} = (-u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$, $\mathbf{a}_0 = (0, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in S$ and consider the extensions of S defined recursively as follows:

- $E_1 = 2S + \mathbb{N}\{\mathbf{a}_1\}$, where $\mathbf{a}_1 = 2\mathbf{a}_0 - \mathbf{a} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in S$, and

- $E_j = 2E_{j-1} + \mathbb{N}\{\mathbf{a}_j\}$, where $\mathbf{a}_j = \mathbf{a}_{j-1} + 2\mathbf{a}_{j-2} \in E_{j-1}$, for $j \geq 2$.

Proposition 4.3. With the notations above, we have

- (1) $\mathbf{a}_j - 2\mathbf{a}_{j-1} = (-1)^j \mathbf{a}$, for all $j \geq 1$,
- (2) $\mathbf{a}_j + \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{b} = u\mathbf{a}$, for some $u \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ and for all $\mathbf{b}' \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}(E_{j-1})$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{B}_i(E_{j-1})$, where $j \geq 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor j/2 \rfloor$,
- (3) $K[E_j]$ has a SIFRE for all $j \geq 1$.

Proof. We use induction on j in all items.

- (1) The claim follows from the definition of $\mathbf{a}_1 = 2\mathbf{a}_0 - \mathbf{a}$ when $j = 1$. Assuming that the claim is true for $j = p - 1$, we have $\mathbf{a}_p - 2\mathbf{a}_{p-1} = -(\mathbf{a}_{p-1} - 2\mathbf{a}_{p-2}) = -(-1)^{p-1} \mathbf{a} = (-1)^p \mathbf{a}$, since $\mathbf{a}_p = \mathbf{a}_{p-1} + 2\mathbf{a}_{p-2}$, for all $p \geq 2$.
- (2) When $j = 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor j/2 \rfloor = 1$, we have $\mathbf{a}_2 + \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}_2 - 2\mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{a}$, by Part (1), for all $\mathbf{b}' \in \mathcal{B}_0(E_1) = \{0\}$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{B}_1(E_1) = \{2\mathbf{a}_1\}$, as I_{E_1} is a principal ideal generated by $y^2 - x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ of E_1 -degree $2\mathbf{a}_1$. Assume now that the claim is true for all indices $3 \leq j \leq p - 1$. We need to study $\mathbf{a}_p + \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{b}$, for all $\mathbf{b}' \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}(E_{p-1})$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{B}_i(E_{p-1})$, where $p \geq 4$ and $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor p/2 \rfloor$. There are four cases to consider since by Lemma 3.4, $\mathcal{B}_i(E_{p-1}) = 2\mathcal{B}_i(E_{p-2}) \cup 2[\mathcal{B}_{i-1}(E_{p-2}) + \mathbf{a}_{p-1}]$:
 - Case (i): $\mathbf{b}' = 2\mathbf{c}'$, $\mathbf{c}' \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}(E_{p-2})$ and $\mathbf{b} = 2\mathbf{c}$, $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{B}_i(E_{p-2})$. In this case, $\mathbf{a}_p + \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}_p - 2\mathbf{a}_{p-1} + 2(\mathbf{a}_{p-1} + \mathbf{c}' - \mathbf{c}) = (-1)^p \mathbf{a} + 2u\mathbf{a}$, for some $u \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, by the induction hypothesis.
 - Case (ii): $\mathbf{b}' = 2(\mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{a}_{p-1})$, $\mathbf{c}' \in \mathcal{B}_{i-2}(E_{p-2})$ and $\mathbf{b} = 2(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{a}_{p-1})$, $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}(E_{p-2})$. In this case, $\mathbf{a}_p + \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}_p - 2\mathbf{a}_{p-1} + 2(\mathbf{a}_{p-1} + \mathbf{c}' - \mathbf{c}) = (-1)^p \mathbf{a} + 2u\mathbf{a}$, for some $u \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, by the induction hypothesis.
 - Case (iii): $\mathbf{b}' = 2(\mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{a}_{p-1})$, $\mathbf{c}' \in \mathcal{B}_{i-2}(E_{p-2})$ and $\mathbf{b} = 2\mathbf{c}$, $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{B}_i(E_{p-2})$. Taking $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}(E_{p-2})$ in this case, we have $\mathbf{a}_p + \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}_p - 2\mathbf{a}_{p-1} + 2(\mathbf{a}_{p-1} + \mathbf{c}' - \mathbf{d}) + 2(\mathbf{a}_{p-1} + \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{c}) = (-1)^p \mathbf{a} + 2u_1\mathbf{a} + 2u_2\mathbf{a}$, for some $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, by the induction hypothesis.
 - Case (iv): $\mathbf{b}' = 2\mathbf{c}'$, $\mathbf{c}' \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}(E_{p-2})$ and $\mathbf{b} = 2(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{a}_{p-1})$, $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}(E_{p-2})$. So, $\mathbf{a}_p + \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}_p - 2\mathbf{a}_{p-1} + 2(\mathbf{c}' - \mathbf{c}) = (-1)^p \mathbf{a} + 2(\mathbf{c}' - \mathbf{c})$. Note that the proof will be complete if we show that $\mathbf{c}' - \mathbf{c} = v\mathbf{a}$, for some $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let us prove this by verifying the claim that $\mathbf{c}' - \mathbf{c} = v\mathbf{a}$, for some $v \in \mathbb{Z}$, and for all $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}' \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}(E_q)$, $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor p/2 \rfloor$, using induction on $1 \leq q \leq p - 2$. For $q = 1$, the claim is trivial with $v = 0$ as $i = 1$ and $\mathcal{B}_0(E_1) = \{0\}$. Assume now that it is true for $q = r - 1$, and consider $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}' \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}(E_r)$. By Lemma 3.4, we have three possibilities as before and in two of them $\mathbf{c}' - \mathbf{c} = 2(\mathbf{d}' - \mathbf{d})$, for either $\mathbf{d}', \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}(E_{r-1})$

or $\mathbf{d}', \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{B}_{i-2}(E_{r-1})$. So, we are done by induction hypothesis on q . In the third one, $\mathbf{c}' - \mathbf{c} = 2(a_p + \mathbf{d}' - \mathbf{d}) = 2u\mathbf{a}$, by the induction hypothesis on p , where $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}(E_{r-1})$ or $\mathbf{d}' \in \mathcal{B}_{i-2}(E_{r-1})$.

- (3) As I_{E_1} is a principal ideal, the projective dimension of $K[E_1]$ is 1 and $\mathcal{B}_0(E_1) = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_1(E_1) = \{2\mathbf{a}_1\}$. So, when $j = 1$, there is nothing to check in Corollary 4.2 as $K[S]$ has a SIFRE and $\lfloor j/2 \rfloor = 0$. So, $K[E_1]$ has a SIFRE. Assume that the claim is true for $j = p - 1$, so $K[E_{p-1}]$ has a SIFRE for all $p \geq 2$. We first note that the projective dimension of $K[E_p]$ is p , by Theorem 3.2. So, we need to verify that $\mathbf{a}_p + \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{b} \notin E_{p-1}$, for all $\mathbf{b}' \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}(E_{p-1})$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{B}_i(E_{p-1})$, where $p \geq 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor p/2 \rfloor$, which is true by (2) as $E_{p-1} \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ and $u\mathbf{a} \notin \mathbb{N}^n$, for $u \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$.

So, $K[E_j]$ has a SIFRE for $j \geq 1$. □

4.2. Numerical semigroups. In this section, we characterize extensions of some numerical semigroups having SIFRE. As the extensions of 3-generated symmetric numerical semigroups were classified in [2, Theorem 25], we start with 3-generated non-symmetric numerical semigroups here. It is known that they have SIFREs (see [2, Example 20]). As a first application, we determine their extensions which have SIFREs, using the following results.

Theorem 4.4 (Herzog [12, Proposition 3.2]). *Let α_p be the smallest positive integer such that $\alpha_p m_p = \alpha_{pq} m_q + \alpha_{pr} m_r$, for some $\alpha_{pq}, \alpha_{pr} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{p, q, r\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then $S = \langle m_1, m_2, m_3 \rangle$ is 3-generated not symmetric if and only if $\alpha_{pq} > 0$ for all p, q , and $\alpha_{qp} + \alpha_{rp} = \alpha_p$, for all $\{p, q, r\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then $K[S] = R/(f_1, f_2, f_3)$, where*

$$f_1 = x_1^{\alpha_1} - x_2^{\alpha_{12}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}}, f_2 = x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_3^{\alpha_{23}}, f_3 = x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1^{\alpha_{31}} x_2^{\alpha_{32}}.$$

Although the following follows from the previous result and the classical Hilbert-Burch theorem, a detailed proof has been given by Denham in [7, Lemma 2.5].

Theorem 4.5. *If S is a 3-generated semigroup which is not symmetric then $K[S]$ has a minimal graded free R -resolution*

$$0 \longrightarrow R^2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} R^3 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} R \longrightarrow K[S] \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\phi_1 = (f_1 \ f_2 \ f_3)$, and $\phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & x_2^{\alpha_{32}} \\ x_1^{\alpha_{31}} & x_3^{\alpha_{13}} \\ x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & x_1^{\alpha_{21}} \end{pmatrix}$.

Remark 4.6. As the resolution above is graded, $\mathcal{B}_1(S) = \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$, where $d_p = \alpha_p m_p = \alpha_{pq} m_q + \alpha_{pr} m_r$, for all $p, q, r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since the entries in $\phi_1 \phi_2 = 0$ are S -homogeneous, we have $\mathcal{B}_2(S) = \{b_1, b_2\}$, where

$$b_1 = \alpha_{23} m_3 + d_1 = \alpha_{31} m_1 + d_2 = \alpha_{12} m_2 + d_3, \text{ and}$$

$$b_2 = \alpha_{32} m_2 + d_1 = \alpha_{13} m_3 + d_2 = \alpha_{21} m_1 + d_3.$$

Theorem 4.7. *Let $S = \langle m_1, m_2, m_3 \rangle$ be a non-symmetric numerical semigroup and E be an extension of S , where $m = u_1 m_1 + u_2 m_2 + u_3 m_3$. Then, $K[E]$ has a SIFRE if and only if $0 < u_p < \min\{\alpha_{qp}, \alpha_{rp}\}$ for all $\{p, q, r\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. In particular, S does not have an extension with a SIFRE if and only if $\alpha_{pq} = 1$ for some $p, q \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.*

Proof. If $K[E]$ has a SIFRE, then $m + d_i - b_j \notin S$ and $d_i - m \notin S$, for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ by Theorem 4.1. By Remark 4.6, there are $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $m + d_i - b_j = (u_p - \alpha_{qp})m_p + u_q m_q + u_r m_r$. When, $u_p \geq \alpha_{qp}$ for some $p, q \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $m + d_i - b_j \in S$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $u_p < \alpha_{qp}$ for all $p, q \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. If $u_i = 0$, for some i , then $d_i - m = (\alpha_{ip} - u_p)m_p + (\alpha_{iq} - u_q)m_q \in S$, which is a contradiction.

Conversely, assume that $0 < u_p < \min\{\alpha_{qp}, \alpha_{rp}\}$ for all $\{p, q, r\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. We claim $m - d_p = u_p m_p + (u_q - \alpha_{pq})m_q + (u_r - \alpha_{pr})m_r \notin S$. If not, $m - d_p = v_p m_p + v_q m_q + v_r m_r$, for some $v_i \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $(u_p - v_p)m_p = (u_q + v_q - \alpha_{pq})m_q + (u_r + v_r - \alpha_{pr})m_r > 0$ which contradicts to α_p being the smallest positive integer with this property. Next, we prove $d_p - m = (\alpha_p - u_p)m_p - u_q m_q - u_r m_r \notin S$. If not, $d_p - m = v_p m_p + v_q m_q + v_r m_r$, for some $v_i \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $(\alpha_p - u_p - v_p)m_p = (u_q + v_q)m_q + (u_r + v_r)m_r > 0$, which contradicts the fact that α_p is the smallest positive integer with this property.

By [2, Corollary 11], $PF(S) = \{b_1 - N, b_2 - N\}$, where $N = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$, are the pseudo-Frobenius elements of S . In particular, $b_i - N \notin S$. This implies that $b_i - m - d_j \notin S$, since $b_i - N = (b_i - m - d_j) + (\alpha_p + u_p - 1)m_p + (u_q - 1)m_q + (u_r - 1)m_r$. Finally, by Remark 4.6, for all i, j there are p, q, r such that $m + d_i - b_j = (u_p + \alpha_{ip})m_p + (u_q - \alpha_{pq})m_q + (u_r - \alpha_{pr})m_r$. If $m + d_i - b_j = v_p m_p + v_q m_q + v_r m_r$, for some $v_i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(u_p + \alpha_{ip} - v_p)m_p = (v_q + \alpha_{pq} - u_q)m_q + (v_r + \alpha_{pr} - u_r)m_r > 0$, which implies that $u_p + \alpha_{ip} - v_p \geq \alpha_p$, as α_p is the smallest with this property. But this contradicts the assumption $u_p < \min\{\alpha_{qp}, \alpha_{rp}\}$. \square

Example 4.8. Take $S = \langle 7, 9, 10 \rangle$. Then $K[S] = R/(f_1, f_2, f_3)$, where

$$f_1 = x_1^4 - x_2^2 x_3, \quad f_2 = x_2^3 - x_1 x_3^2, \quad f_3 = x_3^3 - x_1^3 x_2.$$

Since $\alpha_{13} = 1$, no extension of S will have a SIFRE. On the other hand, the following semigroups will lead to infinitely many families of extensions having SIFREs. For $S = \langle 31, 37, 41 \rangle$, Macaulay2

computes the following generators

$$f_1 = x_1^9 - x_2^2 x_3^5, \quad f_2 = x_2^5 - x_1^2 x_3^3, \quad f_3 = x_3^8 - x_1^7 x_2^3.$$

So, $u_1 = 1, u_2 = 1$ and $1 \leq u_3 \leq 2$ give $m = 109$ and $m = 150$, respectively. Hence, $E = \langle 31\ell, 37\ell, 41\ell, 109 \rangle$ and $E = \langle 31\ell, 37\ell, 41\ell, 109 \rangle$ have SIFREs, for any ℓ , with $\gcd(\ell, 109) = 1$ and with $\gcd(\ell, 150) = 1$, respectively. Similarly, $S = \langle 67, 91, 93 \rangle$ leads to 6 and $S = \langle 71, 93, 121 \rangle$ leads to 14 different infinite families having SIFREs.

Now, we study extensions of a symmetric 4-generated not complete intersection numerical semigroup using the following theorem.

Theorem 4.9 (Bresinsky [1, Theorem 5, Theorem 3]). *The semigroup S is 4-generated symmetric, non-complete intersection if and only if there are integers α_i and α_{ij} , such that $0 < \alpha_{ij} < \alpha_i$, for all i, j , with $\alpha_1 = \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{31}, \alpha_2 = \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{42}, \alpha_3 = \alpha_{13} + \alpha_{43}, \alpha_4 = \alpha_{14} + \alpha_{24}$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_{14} + \alpha_{32} \alpha_{13} \alpha_{24}, & m_2 &= \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{31} \alpha_{43} \alpha_{24}, \\ m_3 &= \alpha_1 \alpha_4 \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{14} \alpha_{42} \alpha_{31}, & m_4 &= \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_{43} + \alpha_{42} \alpha_{21} \alpha_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $K[S] = R/(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= x_1^{\alpha_1} - x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, & f_2 &= x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}}, & f_3 &= x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1^{\alpha_{31}} x_2^{\alpha_{32}}, \\ f_4 &= x_4^{\alpha_4} - x_2^{\alpha_{42}} x_3^{\alpha_{43}}, & f_5 &= x_3^{\alpha_{43}} x_1^{\alpha_{21}} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $S = \langle m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 \rangle$ is a symmetric non-complete intersection numerical semigroup then $K[S]$ has a SIFRE by [2, Theorem 27]. Let E be an extension of S with $m = u_1 m_1 + u_2 m_2 + u_3 m_3 + u_4 m_4$. Then, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.10. *$K[E]$ has a SIFRE if and only if $u_p < \min\{\alpha_{qp}, \alpha_{rp}\}$ for all $p, q, r \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and at most one $u_p = 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 = 0 &\implies \alpha_{32} - \alpha_{42} < u_2 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_{13} - \alpha_{43} < u_3, \\ u_2 = 0 &\implies \alpha_{43} - \alpha_{13} < u_3 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_{24} - \alpha_{14} < u_4, \\ u_3 = 0 &\implies \alpha_{31} - \alpha_{21} < u_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_{14} - \alpha_{24} < u_4, \\ u_4 = 0 &\implies \alpha_{21} - \alpha_{31} < u_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_{42} - \alpha_{32} < u_2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use [2, Corollary 13] and Theorem 4.9 for all the relations involving a_i and d_i , where $d_i = \deg_S(f_i)$, and a_i is the S -degree of a first syzygy, for $i = 1, \dots, 5$. We first prove the necessity of these conditions. Assume $K[E]$ has a SIFRE. Then by Corollary 4.2, $m + d_j - a_k \notin S$ and

$d_i - m \notin S$, Given α_{pi} there are j, k with $d_j - a_k = -\alpha_{pi}m_i$. So, if $u_i \geq \alpha_{pi}$, then $m + d_j - a_k = \sum_{q \neq i} u_q m_q + (u_i - \alpha_{pi})m_i \in S$. Therefore, $u_p < \min\{\alpha_{qp}, \alpha_{rp}\}$ for all $\{p, q, r\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. If $u_p = u_q = 0$, then $d_i - m = (\alpha_{jr} - u_r)m_r + (\alpha_{ts} - u_s)m_s - u_p m_p - u_q m_q \in S$. So, at most one $u_p = 0$. If $\alpha_{32} - \alpha_{42} \geq u_2$ and $\alpha_{13} - \alpha_{43} \geq u_3$ when $u_1 = 0$, then $a_4 - d_4 - m = (\alpha_{32} - \alpha_{42} - u_2)m_2 + (\alpha_{13} - \alpha_{43} - u_3)m_3 + (\alpha_{14} - u_4)m_4 \in S$. The others are shown similarly. Next, we prove sufficiency. Assume $u_p < \min\{\alpha_{qp}, \alpha_{rp}\}$ for all $\{p, q, r\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and at most one $u_p = 0$. Then, $d_i - m = \sum v_j m_j$ implies $d_i = \sum (u_j + v_j)m_j$. Since f_i is indispensable, there are only two monomials with S -degree d_i . So, $\sum (u_j + v_j)m_j$ must be $\alpha_i m_i$ or $\alpha_{pq} m_q + \alpha_{rs} m_s$. In any case, at least two $u_j = 0$, which is a contradiction. So, $m - d_i \notin S$. If $m - d_i = \sum v_j m_j$, then $d := (u_i - v_i)m_i + (u_j - v_j)m_j = (u_q - \alpha_{pq} - v_q)m_q + (u_s - \alpha_{rs} - v_s)m_s > 0$. Since $u_i - v_i < \alpha_i$ and $u_j - v_j < \alpha_j$, we get $u_i > v_i$ and $u_j > v_j$ by the minimality of α_i and α_j . But then $d <_S d_s = \alpha_{pi} m_i + \alpha_{qj} m_j$, which contradicts the minimality of d_s . So, $m - d_i \notin S$. For, $i \neq j$ and $(i, j) \notin \{(1, 3), (2, 4)\}$, we have $a_i - d_j = \alpha_{pq} m_q$. So, if $a_i - d_j - m = \sum v_j m_j$, then $(\alpha_{pq} - u_q - v_q)m_q = \sum_{j \neq q} (u_j + v_j)m_j \geq 0$. Since $\alpha_{pq} - u_q - v_q < \alpha_q$, all $u_i = 0$ for $i \neq q$. So, $a_i - d_j - m \notin S$. If $a_1 - d_3 - m = \sum v_j m_j$, then $(\alpha_2 - u_2 - v_2)m_2 = (\alpha_{13} + u_3 + v_3)m_3 + (u_1 + v_1)m_1 + (u_4 + v_4)m_4 > 0$. By the minimality of α_2 , $u_2 = v_2 = 0$ in which case we have a third monomial $x_1^{u_1+v_1} x_3^{\alpha_{13}+u_3+v_3} x_4^{u_4+v_4}$ of S -degree d_2 , which is a contradiction to the indispensability of f_2 . Similarly, $a_2 - d_4 - m = \alpha_1 m_1 - \alpha_{42} m_2 - m \notin S$. To prove that $a_i - d_i - m \notin S$, it suffices to see $m + 2d_i - N \in S$, as the Frobenius number of S , which is the biggest integer not in S , is $a_i + d_i - N = (a_i - d_i - m) + (m + 2d_i - N)$ by [2, Corollary 14], where $N = \sum_j m_j$. If all $u_i > 0$ then $m - N \in S$, so $m + 2d_i - N \in S$. If $u_p = 0$ for some $p \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then $m + 2d_i - N = (d_i + m - m_j - m_q - m_r) + (d_i - m_p) \in S$, except for $(i, p) \in \{(4, 1), (1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4)\}$. When $u_1 = 0$, we have $a_4 - d_4 - m = (\alpha_{32} - \alpha_{42} - u_2)m_2 + (\alpha_{13} - \alpha_{43} - u_3)m_3 + (\alpha_{14} - u_4)m_4$. If $a_4 - d_4 - m = \sum v_j m_j$, then either $\alpha_{32} - \alpha_{42} \geq u_2$ or $\alpha_{13} - \alpha_{43} \geq u_3$ as $0 < \alpha_{14} - u_4 < \alpha_4$. If $\alpha_{32} - \alpha_{42} \geq u_2$ then $\alpha_{13} - \alpha_{43} < u_3$ and thus we have

$$(\alpha_{32} - \alpha_{42} - u_2 - v_2)m_2 + (\alpha_{14} - u_4 - v_4)m_4 = v_1 m_1 + (\alpha_{43} - \alpha_{13} + u_3 + v_3)m_3.$$

This gives a binomial in I_S of degree d with $d <_S d_5$, which is a contradiction to the minimality of d_5 . If $\alpha_{13} - \alpha_{43} \geq u_3$, then we get similarly a binomial of S -degree less than d_1 . The other cases can be done the same way. Finally, we prove that $m + d_j - a_i \notin S$. For $i \neq j$ and $(i, j) \notin \{(1, 3), (2, 4)\}$, we have $m + d_j - a_i = \sum_{p \neq q} u_p m_p + (u_q - \alpha_{rq})m_q$. If $m + d_j - a_i = \sum v_p m_p \in S$, then $(u_r - v_r)m_r + (u_s - v_s)m_s = (v_p - u_p)m_p + (\alpha_{rq} - u_q + v_q)m_q$, as the other cases contradicts the minimality of some α_t . But this gives a binomial in I_S of S -degree less than $d_t = \alpha_{pr} m_r + \alpha_{qs} m_s$, which is a contradiction. Now, $d_1 - a_1 = \alpha_{31} m_1 - \alpha_{43} m_3 - \alpha_{24} m_4$. If $m + d_1 - a_1 = \sum v_j m_j$, then

$(u_2 - v_2)m_2 + (\alpha_{31} + u_1 - v_1)m_1 = (\alpha_{43} - u_3 + v_3)m_3 + (\alpha_{24} - u_4 + v_4)m_4 > 0$. If one term of the left hand side is negative then we get a contradiction to the minimality of α_1 and α_2 . So, this gives a binomial in I_S of S -degree d . Only d_3 may be less than d , so $\alpha_{32} \leq u_2 - v_2 \leq u_2$, which is a contradiction. The rest is similar and we are done. \square

Remark 4.11. Using the formulas in Theorem 4.9, one can now produce infinitely many symmetric non complete intersections $S = \langle m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 \rangle$ having SIFREs.

There is a classification of 4-generated pseudo symmetric semigroups having SIFRE in Şahin and Şahin [20]. The next result reveals that none of the extensions of these semigroups have a SIFRE.

Theorem 4.12. *Let S be a 4-generated pseudo symmetric semigroup and E be one of its extensions. Then $K[E]$ does not have a SIFRE.*

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [20], we have $\mathcal{B}_1(S) = \{d_1, \dots, d_6\}$, $\mathcal{B}_2(S) = \{b_1, \dots, b_6\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_3(S) = \{c_1, c_2\}$, where

$$c_1 = b_1 + m_4 = b_2 + m_1 = b_4 + m_3 = b_5 + m_2.$$

Thus, if $m = u_1m_1 + \dots + u_4m_4$ with $u_j > 0$, then there is some i such that $m + b_i - c_1 = m - m_j \in S$. The result now follows from Theorem 4.1. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Anargyros Katsabekis for his suggestions on the preliminary version of the paper. They also thank the referee for helpful comments and suggestions. All the examples were computed by using the computer algebra system Macaulay 2, see [11].

REFERENCES

1. H. Bresinsky, Symmetric semigroups of integers generated by 4 elements, *Manuscripta Math.* **17** (1975), 205–219.
2. V. Barucci, R. Fröberg, M. Şahin, On free resolutions of some semigroup rings, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **218** (2014) 1107–1116.
3. H. Charalambous, A. Thoma, On simple A-multigraded minimal resolutions, *Contemporary Mathematics*, 33–44, 502, 2009.
4. H. Charalambous, A. Thoma, On the generalized Scarf complex of lattice ideals, *J. Algebra*, **323** 2010, 1197–1211.
5. H. Charalambous, A. Katsabekis and A. Thoma, Minimal systems of binomial generators and the indispensable complex of a toric ideal, *Proc. of Amer. Math. Soc.*, **135** (2007), 3443–3451.
6. H. Charalambous, A. Thoma and M. Vladioiu, Binomial fibers and indispensable binomials, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **74** (2016), 578–591.
7. G. Denham, Short generating functions for some semigroup algebras, *Electr. J. Combinatorics* **10** (2003), R36.

8. L. Fel, Symmetric (not complete intersection) semigroups generated by five elements, *Integers* **18** (2018), A44.
9. P. A. Garcia-Sanchez, I. Ojeda, Uniquely presented finitely generated commutative monoids, *Pac. J. Math.* **248:1** (2010), 91–105.
10. P. Gimenez, H. Srinivasan, The structure of the minimal free resolution of semigroup rings obtained by gluing, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.07237>
11. D. Grayson, M. Stillman, Macaulay 2—A System for Computation in Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra. <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2>.
12. J. Herzog, Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings, *Manuscripta Math.* **3** (1970), 175–193.
13. A. Katsabekis, I. Ojeda, An indispensable classification of monomial curves in A^4 , *Pac. J. Math.* **268:1** (2014), 95–116.
14. M. Morales, Noetherian symbolic blow-ups. *J. Algebra* **140:1** (1991), 12–25.
15. T. Numata, A variation of gluing of numerical semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, **93:1** (2016), 152–160.
16. I. Ojeda, A. Vigneron-Tenorio, Indispensable binomials in semigroup ideals. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **138:12**, 2010, 4205–4216.
17. I. Peeva, B. Sturmfels, Generic lattice ideals, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **11** (1998) 363–373.
18. J.C. Rosales, On presentations of subsemigroups of \mathbb{N}^n , *Semigroup Forum* **55** (1997) 152–159.
19. M. Şahin, Extensions of toric varieties, *Electron. J. Comb.* **18:1** (2011), P93.
20. M. Şahin, N. Şahin, On pseudo symmetric monomial curves, *Comm. Algebra* (2018), **46:6**, 2561–2573.
21. R. P. Stanley, Hilbert functions of graded algebras, *Adv. Math.* **28** (1978), 57–83.
22. A. Takemura and S. Aoki, Some characterizations of minimal Markov basis for sampling from discrete conditional distributions, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.* **56:1** (2004), 1–17.
23. K. Watanabe, Some examples of one dimensional Gorenstein domains, *Nagoya Math. J.* **49** (1973) 101–109.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HACETTEPE UNIVERSITY, BEYTEPE, 06800, ANKARA, TURKEY

E-mail address: mesut.sahin@hacettepe.edu.tr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY, CLEVELAND, OH, USA

E-mail address: l.gold33@csuohio.edu