



CSU  
College of Law Library

# Cleveland State Law Review

---

Volume 50 | Issue 1

Article

---

1-1-2002

## Table of Contents

Cleveland State Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstrev>

**How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!**

---

### Recommended Citation

Cleveland State Law Review, *Table of Contents*, 50 Clev. St. L. Rev. (2002)  
*available at* <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstrev/vol50/iss1/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cleveland State Law Review by an authorized editor of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact [library.es@csuohio.edu](mailto:library.es@csuohio.edu).

# CLEVELAND STATE LAW REVIEW

---

Volume 50

2002-03

Number 1

---

## CONTENTS

### ARTICLES

- UNITED STATES v. KPMG*: DOES SECTION 6103  
ALLOW THE IRS TO PUT TAXPAYER  
NAMES ON THE FRONT PAGE OF THE  
WALL STREET JOURNAL? ..... *Beckett G. Cantley* 1
- SITUATING “THINKING LIKE A LAWYER”  
WITHIN LEGAL PEDAGOGY ..... *David T. ButleRitchie* 29

### NOTES

- MERGING TECHNOLOGY WITH JUSTICE:  
HOW ELECTRONIC COURTROOMS  
SHAPE EVIDENTIARY CONCERNS ..... *Nicole J. De Sario* 57
- WHY THE OHIO BUREAU OF WORKERS’  
COMPENSATION MUST REFUND FIFTY  
MILLION DOLLARS IN SUBROGATION  
PAYMENTS: A DETAILED LOOK INTO  
THE STATE OF SUBROGATION IN OHIO  
AFTER HOLETON V. CROUSE CARTAGE  
COMPANY ..... *Anthony Alan Bauccho* 73
- SHOULD BANKS BE PERMITTED TO  
ENGAGE IN REAL ESTATE BROKERAGE  
AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES?: HOW  
THE CURRENT DEBATE  
DEMONSTRATES THE INADEQUACIES  
OF THE GRAMM-LEACH-BLILEY ACT ..... *Patrick J. Burke* 103
- TO EXHAUST OR NOT TO EXHAUST?: THE  
PRISONER LITIGATION REFORM ACT  
REQUIRES PRISONERS TO EXHAUST  
ALL ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES  
BEFORE FILING EXCESSIVE FORCE  
CLAIMS IN FEDERAL COURT ..... *Danielle M. McGill* 129

---

**CITE AS 50 CLEV. ST. L. REV. \_\_ (2002-03)**

---

The views expressed in the *Cleveland State Law Review* are those of the authors of the articles and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the trustees, faculty, alumni, or students of the Cleveland State University or the College of Law. Contributing authors are expected to reveal personal, economic, or professional interest that may have influenced the views taken or advocated in their articles. Each author impliedly represents that such disclosure has been made.