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#### Abstract

We present in this paper the asymptotic behavior of solutions of a boundary value problem for a coupled system of differential equations $u^{\prime \prime}=v$, $\varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+f\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}-g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) v=0$ on a compact interval $I$, where $f\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)$ has turning points in $I$. We provide upper and lower solutions, $\beta(x, \varepsilon)$ and $\alpha(x, \varepsilon)$, respectively, which bound solutions, exhibiting boundary layer and interior layer behavior, for which $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}\{\beta(x, \varepsilon)-\alpha(x, \varepsilon)\}-0$ uniformly on I. © 1991 Acadenic Press, Inc.


## 1. Introduction

Consider the Dirichlet problem for the coupled system of differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime}=v \quad \text { in }(0,1) \\
& u(0, \varepsilon)=0, \quad u(1, \varepsilon)=0 \\
& \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+f\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}-g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) v=0 \quad \text { in }(0,1),  \tag{1.1}\\
& v(0, \varepsilon)=v_{0}, \quad v(1, \varepsilon)=v_{1},
\end{align*}
$$

for $g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 0$ and $f\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)=h(u)$ or $h\left(u^{\prime}\right)$, wherc $h(0)=0$, and $\varepsilon$ is small positive parameter. We assume that for each $\varepsilon>0$, there exists at most one interior turning point $x_{0}$ in $(0,1)$ such that $u\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=0$. We also assume that $\partial f / \partial u$ and $\partial f / \partial u^{\prime}$ do not change sign, and $f\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)$ and $g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right)$ are of class $C^{(1)}[0,1]$. System (1.1) was studied by Dorr and Parter [3,4] and recently by Howes and Shao [8] and Shao [12] who extended and amplified their results. This system is a simple model of the streamfunction-
vorticity equations governing the steady-state, two-dimensional, viscous, incompressible flow as the Reynolds number $\operatorname{Re} \rightarrow \infty$. That is,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla^{2} \psi=\psi_{x x}+\psi_{y y}=-\omega \\
\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}} \nabla^{2} \omega+\psi_{x} \omega_{y}-\psi_{y} \omega_{x}=0 \\
(x, y) \text { in } G, \psi, \omega \text { prescribed on the boundary of } G \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\psi$ is the streamfunction of the flow, that is, $\psi_{y}=u,-\psi_{x}=v$, for $\underline{u}=$ ( $u, v, 0$ ) the velocity field of the flow, and $G$ is a bounded, open connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The purpose of this paper is to provide refined approximations to the solutions of (1.1), which describe the limiting solutions, boundary layer solutions, interior layer solutions, as well as the $S$-layer or $Z$-layer solutions as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$which exhibits the thickness of the boundary layers. Our refinements are in the spirit of Kirschvink [9] as refinements of Howes [1,5] for similar problems in the absence of turning points.

The contents of the various sections are as follows. In Section 2 we state some preliminaries which include the limiting solutions of system (1.1) (cf. [8, 12]). In Sections 3-5 we discuss Model I: $u^{\prime \prime}=v, \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h(u) v^{\prime}=0$; Model II: $\quad u^{\prime \prime}=v, \quad \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h\left(u^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}=0 ; \quad$ Model III: $\quad u^{\prime \prime}=v, \quad \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+$ $h(u) v^{\prime}-g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) v=0, g \geqslant g^{*}>0$; and Model IV: $u^{\prime \prime}=v, \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h\left(u^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}-$ $g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) v=0, g \geqslant g^{*}>0$.

## 2. Preliminaries

For convenience and simplification, we consider system (1.1) for the following models:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Model I: } & u^{\prime \prime}=v, \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h(u) v^{\prime}=0 \\
\text { Model II: } & u^{\prime \prime}=v, \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h\left(u^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}=0 ; \\
\text { Model III: } & u^{\prime \prime}=v, \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h(u) v^{\prime}-g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) v=0, g \geqslant g^{*}>0 ; \\
\text { Model IV: } & u^{\prime \prime}=v, \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h\left(u^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}-g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) v=0, g \geqslant g^{*}>0 .
\end{array}
$$

The limiting behavior of solutions in of these models can be summarized in Fig. 1-3.


Fig. 1. Boundary value portrait for Model I.


Fig. 2. Boundary value portrait for Model II.

Our treatment is through bounding functions and the generalized Nagumo's Theorem (cf. [12, 13]). Clearly, Nagumo's condition is satisfied, so we only need to exhibit bounding functions $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}(i=1,2)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{i} \leqslant \beta_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \\
& \alpha_{1}(0, \varepsilon) \leqslant 0 \leqslant \beta_{1}(0, \varepsilon), \quad \alpha_{1}(1, \varepsilon) \leqslant 0 \leqslant \beta_{1}(1, \varepsilon), \\
& \alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime} \geqslant \beta_{2}, \quad \beta_{1}^{\prime \prime} \leqslant \alpha_{2},  \tag{2.1}\\
& \alpha_{2}(0, \varepsilon) \leqslant v_{0} \leqslant \beta_{2}(0, \varepsilon), \quad \alpha_{2}(1, \varepsilon) \leqslant v_{1} \leqslant \beta_{2}(1, \varepsilon), \\
& \varepsilon \alpha_{2}^{\prime \prime}+f(u, z) \alpha_{2}^{\prime}-g(x, u, z) \alpha_{2} \geqslant 0, \\
& \varepsilon \beta_{2}^{\prime \prime}+f(u, z) \beta_{2}^{\prime}-g(x, u, z) \beta_{2} \leqslant 0,
\end{align*}
$$

for all $u$ in $\left[\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right]$ and $z$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Then the system (1.1) has a (unique) solution $(u=u(x, \varepsilon), v=v(x, \varepsilon))$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1}(x, \varepsilon) \leqslant u(x, \varepsilon) \leqslant \beta_{1}(x, \varepsilon),  \tag{2.2}\\
& \alpha_{2}(x, \varepsilon) \leqslant v(x, \varepsilon) \leqslant \beta_{2}(x, \varepsilon),
\end{align*}
$$

for $x$ in $[0,1]$ (the existence and uniqueness of the solution was proved by Dorr and Parter [3]). We divide our discussion into four cases according to the different signs of the boundary values $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$, that is, (1) $v_{0} \geqslant 0$,


Fig. 3. Boundary value portrait of Model III and Model IV.
$v_{1} \geqslant 0$; (2) $v_{0} \leqslant 0, v_{1} \leqslant 0$; (3) $v_{0}<0, v_{1}>0$; and (4) $v_{0}>0, v_{1}<0$, for each model.

$$
\text { 3. Model I: } u^{\prime \prime}=v, \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h(u) v^{\prime}=0
$$

Consider the following system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime}=v \quad \text { in }(0,1) \\
& u(0, \varepsilon)=u(1, \varepsilon)=0 \\
& \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h(u) v^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { in }(0,1)  \tag{3.1}\\
& v(0, \varepsilon)=v_{0}, \quad v(1, \varepsilon)=v_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

We have the following theorem.
Theorem 1. If $(u(x, \varepsilon), v(x, \varepsilon))$ is the solution of (3.1), then
(i) $v(x, \varepsilon)=v_{0}+\left(v_{1}-v_{0}\right) \exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$
for $\quad v_{0} \geqslant 0, v_{1} \geqslant 0$;
(ii) $v(x, \varepsilon)=v_{1}+\left(v_{1}-v_{0}\right) \exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$
for $v_{0} \leqslant 0, v_{1} \leqslant 0$;
(iii) $v(x, \varepsilon)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}v_{0}+w_{L}(x-O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant x_{0}, \\ v_{1}+w_{R}(x+O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } x_{0} \leqslant x \leqslant 1,\end{array}\right.$
for $v_{0}>0, v_{1}<0$;
(iv) $v(x, \varepsilon)=w_{L}^{*}(x, \varepsilon)+w_{R}^{*}(x, \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$
for $v_{0}<0, v_{1}>0$.
where $w_{L}(x, \varepsilon)=v_{0} \exp \left[-(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2}\left(x_{0}-x\right)\right]$ and $w_{R}(x, \varepsilon)=$ $v_{1} \exp \left[-(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right]$, for all $x$ in $[0,1]$ and each $\varepsilon>0$.

Proof. We know that the $u(x, \varepsilon)$ depends on $v(x, \varepsilon)$ and bounding functions $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}(i=1,2)$ must satisfy the following conditions:
(A1) $\alpha_{i} \leqslant \beta_{i} i=1,2$,
(A2) $\alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime} \geqslant \beta_{2}, \beta_{1}^{\prime \prime} \leqslant \alpha_{2}$,
(A3) $\varepsilon \alpha_{2}^{\prime \prime}+h(u) \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \geqslant 0, \varepsilon \beta_{2}^{\prime \prime}+h(u) \beta_{2}^{\prime} \leqslant 0$, for all $u$ in $\left[\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right]$
(B1) $\quad \alpha_{1}(0, \varepsilon) \leqslant 0 \leqslant \beta_{1}(0, \varepsilon), \alpha_{1}(1, \varepsilon) \leqslant 0 \leqslant \beta_{1}(1, \varepsilon)$,
(B2) $\quad \alpha_{2}(0, \varepsilon) \leqslant v_{0} \leqslant \beta_{2}(0, \varepsilon), \alpha_{2}(1, \varepsilon) \leqslant v_{1} \leqslant \beta_{2}(1, \varepsilon)$.

Without lost generality, we assume $d h / d u>0$, and we divide the discussion into the four cases indicated above.

Case 1. $v_{0} \geqslant 0, v_{1} \geqslant 0$.
Since $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right)=(0,0)$ implies $(u(x, \varepsilon), v(x, \varepsilon)) \equiv(0,0)$, we assume now that $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right) \neq(0,0)$. The $u$-equation $u^{\prime \prime}=v$ with the boundary conditions $u(0, \varepsilon)=u(1, \varepsilon)=0$ gives $u \leqslant 0$ in $[0,1], u<0$ in $[\delta, 1-\delta]$ for each $1>\delta>0$ and so $h(u) \leqslant 0$ in $[0,1], h(u)<0$ in $[\delta, 1-\delta]$. By virtue of the linear case, the solution $v(x, \varepsilon)$ should display a boundary layer at $x=1$ and thus the outer solution of $v$ is $v_{0}$ in this case. We define the bounding functions $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}(i=1,2)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1}(x, \varepsilon)=\left(M+c^{*}\right) x(x-1), & \beta_{1}(x, \varepsilon)=\frac{m x(x-1)}{4} \\
\alpha_{2}(x, \varepsilon)=v_{0}+w_{1}(x, \varepsilon), & \beta_{2}(x, \varepsilon)=v_{0}+w_{2}(x, \varepsilon),
\end{array}
$$

where $M=\max \left\{v_{0}, v_{1}\right\}, m=\min \left\{v_{0}, v_{1},(1 / 2)\left|v_{1}-v_{0}\right|\right\}, w_{i}=w_{i}(x, \varepsilon)$ ( $i=1,2$ ) are the unique solutions of the problems

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon w_{1}^{\prime \prime}-k w_{1}=c \exp \left[-\sigma\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right], \quad x \text { in }(0,1) \\
& w_{1}(0, \varepsilon)=\left(v_{1}-v_{0}\right), \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} w_{1}(x, \varepsilon)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon w_{2}^{\prime \prime}-k w_{2}=-c \exp \left[-\sigma\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right], \quad x \text { in }(0,1) \\
& w_{2}(0, \varepsilon)=\left(v_{1}-v_{0}\right), \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} w_{2}(x, \varepsilon)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

$$
w_{1}=w(x, \varepsilon)-c^{*} \Gamma(x, \varepsilon), \quad w_{2}=w(x, \varepsilon)+c^{*} \Gamma(x, \varepsilon),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w(x, \varepsilon)=\left|v_{1}-v_{0}\right| \exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right], \quad c^{*}=\frac{k c}{1-\sigma^{2}} \\
& \Gamma(x, \varepsilon)=\exp \left[-\sigma\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]-\exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$k, c^{*}$, and $\sigma$ are positive constants such that
$k: h(u)<-k<0$ in $[\delta, 1-\delta]$ for small $\delta>0$ and $0<k<-h(u)\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}$;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma: 0<\sigma<1,\left\{\sigma \exp \left[(1-\sigma)\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right\}>1 \\
\text { and }\left\{\sigma^{2} \exp \left[(1-\sigma)\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right\}<1 \\
c^{*}: c^{*}\left\{\exp \left[-\sigma\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]-\exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right\} \\
>w,\left|w(x, \varepsilon)-c^{*} \Gamma(x, \varepsilon)\right|<O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is clear that $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}(i=1,2)$ satisfy conditions (A1), (B1), and (B2), but we need to show that (A2) and (A3) are true. Since

$$
\alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x, \varepsilon)=2 M+2 c^{*} \geqslant v_{0}+\left(v_{1}-v_{0}\right) \exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]+c^{*} \Gamma(x, \varepsilon)
$$

and

$$
\beta_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x, \varepsilon)=\frac{m}{2} \leqslant v_{0}+\left(v_{1}-v_{0}\right) \exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]-c^{*} \Gamma(x, \varepsilon)
$$

for all $x$ in $[0,1]$, we have $\alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime} \geqslant \beta_{2}$ and $\beta_{1}^{\prime \prime} \leqslant \alpha_{2}$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon \alpha_{2}^{\prime \prime}+ & h(u) \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \\
= & \varepsilon w_{1}^{\prime \prime}+h(u) w_{1}^{\prime} \\
= & k\left[w+c^{*}\left\{\exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]-\sigma^{2} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right\}\right] \\
& +h(u)\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[w+c^{*}\left\{\exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\sigma \exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right\} \mid \geqslant 0, \\
\varepsilon \beta_{2}^{\prime \prime}+ & h(u) \beta_{2}^{\prime} \\
= & \varepsilon w_{2}^{\prime \prime}+h(u) w_{2}^{\prime} \\
= & k\left[w+c^{*}\left\{\sigma^{2} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]-\exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right\}\right] \\
& +h(u)\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[w+c^{*}\left\{\exp \left[-\sigma\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right\}\right] \leqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 4. $\quad v_{0} \geqslant 0, v_{1} \geqslant 0$.
by $w>0, \Gamma(x, \varepsilon)>0$. Thus from our definitions of the constants, we have

$$
\alpha_{1}(x, \varepsilon) \leqslant u(x, \varepsilon) \leqslant \beta_{1}(x, \varepsilon)
$$

and

$$
v(x, \varepsilon)=v_{0}+\left(v_{1}-v_{0}\right) \exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

for all $x$ in $[0,1]$ and each $\varepsilon>0$, where $\left|v_{1}-v_{0}\right| \exp \left[-(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]$ is the boundary layer solution at $x=1$. The thickness of the boundary layer is of order $\varepsilon^{1 / 2}$. The refined approximation of the solution is shown by the narrow region in Fig. 4.

Case 2. $v_{0} \leqslant 0, v_{1} \leqslant 0$.
This case is handled by reflection. Making the change of variables $y=1-x, \mathbf{m}(y, \varepsilon)=-u(1-y, \varepsilon), \mathbf{n}(y, \varepsilon)=-v(1-y, \varepsilon)$, the system (3.1) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{m}^{\prime \prime} & =\mathbf{n} \\
\mathbf{m}(0, \varepsilon) & =\mathbf{m}(1, \varepsilon)=0 \\
\varepsilon \mathbf{n}^{\prime \prime}+h(\mathbf{m}) \mathbf{n}^{\prime} & =0 \\
\mathbf{n}(0, \varepsilon) & =\mathbf{n}_{0}=-v_{1}, \mathbf{n}(1, \varepsilon)=\mathbf{n}_{1}=-v_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $v_{0} \geqslant 0$ and $v_{1} \geqslant 0$, which is Case 1.
Case 3. $v_{0}>0, v_{1}<0$.
We note that $u(x, \varepsilon)$ changes sign in $(0,1)$ in this case, and so does $h(u)$. Since $u^{\prime \prime}=v, u(0, \varepsilon)=u(1, \varepsilon)=0$, there exists a unique $x_{0}$ in $(0,1)$ such that $u\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=0, u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right) \neq 0$, and hence $h\left(u\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)=0$ and $v\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=0$. Since $h(u) \leqslant 0$ in $\left[0, x_{0}\right]$ and $h(u) \geqslant 0$ in $\left[x_{0}, 1\right] ; J[x]=\int_{v_{1}}^{v_{0}}-h\left(u\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) d s=$ [ $-h\left(u\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right]\left(v_{0}-v_{1}\right)=0$ iff $x=x_{0}$, there must be an interior layer at
$x=x_{0}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$in $[0,1]$. We approach this case by considering the following submodel problems

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime}=v \quad \text { in }\left(0, x_{0}\right) \\
& u(0, \varepsilon)=u\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=0  \tag{I}\\
& \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h(u) v^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { in }\left(0, x_{0}\right) \\
& v(0, \varepsilon)=v_{0}, \quad v\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime}=v \quad \text { in }\left(x_{0}, 1\right) \\
& u\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=u(1, \varepsilon)=0 \\
& \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h(u) v^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { in }\left(x_{0}, 1\right)  \tag{1}\\
& v\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=0, \quad v(1, \varepsilon)=v_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

separately. The conditions $h(u) \leqslant 0$ in $\left[0, x_{0}\right]$ and $h(u) \geqslant 0$ in $\left[x_{0}, 1\right]$ imply that there are boundary layers at $x=x_{0}$ in $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}} 1\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}} 1\right)$ respectively. Choosing $\delta=O(\varepsilon)$ it follows from Cases 1 and 2 that $v_{L}(x, \varepsilon)=v_{0}+$ $w_{L}(x, \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$ is the solution of system $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}} 1\right)$ and $v_{R}(x, \varepsilon)=v_{0}+$ $w_{R}(x, \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$ is the solution of system $\left(\mathrm{V}_{1} 2\right)$, where $w_{L}$ and $w_{R}$ are the unique solutions of the problems

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\varepsilon w_{L}^{\prime \prime}-k w_{L}=0 & x \operatorname{in}\left(0, x_{0}\right) \\
w_{L}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=v_{0}, & \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} w_{L}(x, \varepsilon)=0,
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\varepsilon w_{R}^{\prime \prime}-k w_{R}=0 & x \text { in }\left(x_{0}, 1\right), \\
w_{R}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=v_{1}, & \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} w_{R}(x, \varepsilon)=0,
\end{array}
$$

respectively; i.e., $\quad w_{L}(x, \varepsilon)=v_{0} \exp \left[-(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2}\left(x_{0}-x\right)\right] \quad$ and $\quad w_{R}(x, \varepsilon)=$ $v_{1} \exp \left[-(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right]$. Therefore, the solution $v(x, \varepsilon)$ of Model I in this case has the form

$$
v(x, \varepsilon)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
v_{0}+w_{L}(x-O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } & 0 \leqslant x \leqslant x_{0}, \\
v_{1}+w_{R}(x+O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } & x_{0} \leqslant x \leqslant 1,
\end{array}\right.
$$

for each $\varepsilon>0$. The location $x_{n}$ of the interior layer satisfies the integral equation

$$
0=\int_{0}^{1} h(U(s)) d s=\int_{0}^{x_{0}} h(U(s)) d s+\int_{x_{0}}^{1} h(U(s)) d s,
$$



Fig. 5. $v_{0}>0, v_{1}<0$.
where

$$
U(x)= \begin{cases}v_{0} x\left(x-x_{0}\right) / 2 & \text { for } 0<x \leqslant x_{0} \\ -v_{1}(1-x)\left(x-x_{0}\right) / 2 & \text { for } x_{0}<x<1\end{cases}
$$

$\left(U(x)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} u(x, \varepsilon)\right)$. If $h(u)=u, x_{0}$ is given explicitly by $x_{0}=$ $\left(-v_{1}\right)^{1 / 3} /\left(\left(-v_{1}\right)^{1 / 3}+\left(v_{0}\right)^{1 / 3}\right)$. The asymptotic solution $v(x, \varepsilon)$ is shown in Fig. 5.

Case 4. $v_{0}<0, v_{1}>0$.
In this case $u(x, \varepsilon)$ also changes sign in $(0,1)$. However, there is no interior layer in $(0,1)$ because $u(x, \varepsilon)>0$ near $x=0$ and $u(x, \varepsilon)<0$ near $x=1$, which implies the same behavior for $h(u)$. It follows that there exists a unique $x_{0}$ in $(0,1)$ such that $h\left(u\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=0, h(u) \leqslant 0$ in $\left[0, x_{0}\right]$ and $h(u) \geqslant 0$ in $\left[x_{0}, 1\right]$. The signs of the coefficient $h(u)$ of $v^{\prime}$ allow a boundary layer at both endpoints $x=0$ and $x=1$. We consider again the system ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}} 1$ ) and $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}} 2\right)$ in Case 3 but with the opposite signs of the coefficient $h(u)$ of the $v^{\prime}$ term. We can conclude from Case 1 and Case 2 that

$$
v(x, \varepsilon)=w_{L}^{*}(x, \varepsilon)+w_{R}^{*}(x, \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

for all $x$ in $[0,1]$ and for each $\varepsilon>0$, where

$$
w_{L}^{*}(x, \varepsilon)=v_{0} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]
$$

and

$$
w_{R}^{*}(x, \varepsilon)=v_{1} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]
$$

## 4. The Solution of Model II: $u^{\prime \prime}=v, \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h\left(u^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}=0$

We consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime}=v \quad \text { in }(0,1) \\
& u(0, \varepsilon)=u(1, \varepsilon)=0,  \tag{4.1}\\
& \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h\left(u^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { in }(0,1), \\
& v(0, \varepsilon)=v_{0}, \quad v(1, \varepsilon)=v_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

There is a fundamental difference between the systems (4.1) and (3.1) because $u^{\prime}(x, \varepsilon)$ always changes sign in $(0,1)$, even if $u(x, \varepsilon)$ does not change sign. Thus there is at least one interior turning point $x_{0}$ in $(0,1)$ such that $h\left(u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, s\right)\right)=0$. Therefore, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $(u(x, \varepsilon), v(x, \varepsilon))$ be the solution of $(4.1)$, then
(i) $v(x, \varepsilon)= \begin{cases}v_{0}+w_{L}(x-O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant x_{0}, \\ v_{1}+w_{R}(x+O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } \quad x_{0} \leqslant x \leqslant 1,\end{cases}$

$$
\text { for } \quad v_{0} \geqslant 0, v_{1} \geqslant 0
$$

(ii) $v(x, \varepsilon)=\mathbf{c}+w_{1}(x, \varepsilon)+w_{2}(x, \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right), \quad$ for $v_{0} \leqslant 0, v_{1} \leqslant 0$;
(iii) $v(x, \varepsilon)=\mathbf{c}+S L(1)+B L(0)$ for $v_{0}<0, v_{1}>0$.
(iv) $v(x, \varepsilon)=\mathbf{c}+Z L(0)+B L(1)$ for $v_{0}>0, v_{1}<0$,
where $\quad w_{L}(x, \varepsilon)=v_{0} \exp \left[-(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2}\left(x_{0}-x\right)\right], \quad w_{R}(x, \varepsilon)=v_{1} \exp \left[-(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2}\right.$ $\left.\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right], \quad \mathbf{c}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} v(x, \varepsilon), \quad w_{1}(x, \varepsilon)=\left(v_{0}-\mathbf{c}\right) \exp \left[-(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2} x\right]$, $w_{2}(x, \varepsilon)=\left(v_{1}-c\right) \exp \left[-(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right], k$ is defined as in Theorem 1 , for (iii) and (iv) $\mathbf{c}=0$ if $v_{0}+v_{1}>0, c=\left(v_{0}+v_{1}\right) / 2$ if $v_{0}+v_{1}<0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S L(1)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
c+w_{B R}(x-O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } & \xi(\varepsilon) \leqslant x \leqslant x_{1}, \\
v_{1}+w_{S}(x+O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } & x_{1} \leqslant x \leqslant 1
\end{array}\right. \\
& \angle L(0)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
v_{0}+w_{S}^{*}(x-O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } & 0 \leqslant x \leqslant x_{2}, \\
c+w_{B L}^{*}(x+O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } & x_{2} \leqslant x \leqslant \eta(\varepsilon)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We examine the appropriate four cases reflecting the different signs of the boundary values $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$.

Case 1. $v_{0} \geqslant 0, v_{1} \geqslant 0$.
Because $v_{0}>0, v_{1}>0, u^{\prime \prime}=v$, and $u(0, \varepsilon)=u(1, \varepsilon)=0$ imply $u(x, \varepsilon)<0$ in $(0,1)$. This implies that there exists some $x_{0}$ in $(0,1)$ such that $u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ and $u^{\prime}(x, \varepsilon)<0$ near $x=0$, while $u^{\prime}(x, \varepsilon)>0$ near $x=1$. It follows that $h\left(u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=0, h\left(u^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 0$ in $\left[0, x_{0}\right]$ and $h\left(u^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 0$ in [ $\left.x_{0}, 1\right]$. Consequently,
the sign of $h\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ at each endpoint does not allow $v(x, \varepsilon)$ to have boundary layers. The only possible asymptotic behavior available to $v$ is then an interior layer behavior at the point $x_{0}$ in [0,1]. By the same arguments in Case 3 of Model I, we have

$$
v(x, \varepsilon)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
v_{0}+w_{L}(x-O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } & 0 \leqslant x \leqslant x_{0}, \\
v_{1}+w_{R}(x+O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } & x_{0} \leqslant x \leqslant 1,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $w_{L}(x, \varepsilon)=v_{0} \exp \left[-(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2}\left(x_{0}-x\right)\right]$ and $w_{R}(x, \varepsilon)=$ $v_{1} \exp \left[-(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right]$. To determine the location $x_{0}$ of the interior layer, we let $U(x)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} u(x, \varepsilon)$ for $x \neq x_{0}$. Then $U(x)$ must satisfy the following (five) conditions: $U(0)=U(1)=0, U\left(x_{0}^{+}\right)=U\left(x_{0}^{-}\right)$and $U^{\prime}\left(x_{0}^{+}\right)=U^{\prime}\left(x_{0}^{--}\right)=0$ (cf. [8]). Therefore we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
U(x)=\frac{v_{0} x\left(x-2 x_{0}\right)}{2} & \text { for } x \leqslant x_{0}, \\
U(x)=\frac{v_{1}(x-1)\left(x+1-2 x_{0}\right)}{2} & \text { for } x \geqslant x_{0} .
\end{array}
$$

Finally, in order that $U\left(x_{0}^{+}\right)=U\left(x_{0}^{-}\right)$, we must have $-v_{0} x_{0}^{2} / 2=$ $-v_{1}\left(1-x_{0}\right)^{2} / 2$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=\frac{v_{1}^{1 / 2}}{v_{0}^{1 / 2}+v_{1}^{1 / 2}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. [8]).
If $v_{0}=0$, then $x_{0}=1$, and $v(x, \varepsilon)$ has an interior layer at $x=1$ called an " $S$-layer". If $v_{1}=0$, then $x_{0}=0$, and there is a " $Z$-layer" (backwards $S$-layer) at $x=0$.

Case 2. $v_{0} \leqslant 0, v_{1} \leqslant 0$.
This case is not a reflection of Case 1 as in Model I. If $v_{0} \leqslant 0$ and $v_{1} \leqslant 0$, then $u(x, \varepsilon) \geqslant 0$ in $(0,1), u^{\prime}(x, \varepsilon) \geqslant 0$ in $\left[0, x_{0}\right]$ and $u^{\prime}(x, \varepsilon) \leqslant 0$ in $\left[x_{0}, 1\right]$ for some point $x_{0}$ in $(0,1)$. The coefficient $h\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ of $v^{\prime}$ in the $v$-equation behaves similarly. Consequently, the solution $v(x, \varepsilon)$ in the $v$-equation cannot have an interior layer at $x_{0}$. However, the sign of $h\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ at the endpoints is compatible with the existence of boundary layers in $v(x, \varepsilon)$. Hence, $v(x, \varepsilon)=\mathbf{c}+w_{1}(x, \varepsilon)+w_{2}(x, \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$, for all $x$ in [0,1] and for each $\varepsilon>0$, where $\mathbf{c}$ is a constant such that $\mathbf{c}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} v(x, \varepsilon)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{1}(x, \varepsilon)=\left(v_{0}-\mathbf{c}\right) \exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right], \\
& w_{2}(x, \varepsilon)=\left(v_{1}-\mathbf{c}\right) \exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\int_{0}^{1} h\left(u^{\prime}\right) d x=0$, then $\mathbf{c}=\left(v_{0}+v_{1}\right) / 2$;cf. [8].


Fig. 6. $v_{0}<0, v_{1}>0$.

Case 3. $v_{0}<0, v_{1}>0$.
We note that $u>0$ near $x=0$ and $u<0$ near $x=1$ in this case. It follows that $u^{\prime}>0$ near both endpoints and $u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=0$ for some $x_{0}$ in ( 0,1 ), which implies that $h\left(u^{\prime}\right)>0$ near both endpoints. Hence, $v(x, \varepsilon)$ has no interior layer in ( 0,1 ), and the sign of $h\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ near $x=0$ allows $v(x, \varepsilon)$ to have a boundary layer at $x=0$ and $v(x, \varepsilon)$ has an $S$-layer near $x=1$ (cf. [12]); that is, $v(x, \varepsilon)=\mathbf{c}+B L(0)+S L(1)$ as shown in Fig. 6 (where $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} v(x, \varepsilon)=\mathbf{c} \leqslant 0, \mathbf{c}=0$ if $v_{0}+v_{1}>0$, and $\mathbf{c}=\left(v_{0}+v_{1}\right) / 2$ if $v_{0}+v_{1}<0$; these results can be found in $[8,12]$ ). The condition $v_{0}+v_{1}>0$ says that $v_{1}>\left|v_{0}\right|$, and so there exists a unique point $\xi(\varepsilon)$ in $(0,1)$ such that $u^{\prime \prime}(x, \varepsilon)<0$ for $0 \leqslant x<\xi(\varepsilon), u^{\prime \prime}(x, \varepsilon)=0$ and $u^{\prime \prime}(x, \varepsilon)>0$ for $\xi(\varepsilon)<x<1$, with $\xi(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 1$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$; cf. Fig. 7. Slightly to the left of $\xi, v^{\prime \prime}>0$ and $h\left(u^{\prime}\right)<0$; at $x=\xi, v^{\prime \prime}(\xi, \varepsilon)=0$ and $h\left(u^{\prime}(\xi, \varepsilon)\right)=0$; and to the right of $\xi, h\left(u^{\prime}\right)>0$ near $x=1$. Suppose $v_{0}+v_{1}<0$, then there exists a unique point $\eta=\eta(\varepsilon)$ in $(0,1)$ such that $u^{\prime \prime}(x, \varepsilon)<0$ in $\left.[0, \eta(\varepsilon)), u^{\prime \prime}(\eta, \varepsilon)\right)=0$ and $u^{\prime \prime}>0$ in $(\eta(\varepsilon), 1]$ with $\eta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$; cf. Fig. 8. We can determine the thickness of the boundary layer in the following manner. In the boundary layer at $x=0, v^{\prime \prime}>0$ in $[0, \eta(\varepsilon)), v^{\prime \prime}(\eta, \varepsilon)=0$ and $v^{\prime \prime}<0$ for $x$ slightly to the right of $\eta$. In turn, we sce that $h\left(u^{\prime}\right)>0$ in $[0, \eta(\varepsilon)), h\left(u^{\prime}(\eta, \varepsilon)\right)=0$ and $h\left(u^{\prime}\right)<0$ for $x$ slightly to the right of $\eta$. We conclude that the limiting value $\mathbf{c}$ of $v(x, \varepsilon)$ must be nonpositive. We consider the problems

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime}=v, \quad u(0, \varepsilon)=0=u(\xi(\varepsilon), \varepsilon), \quad x \text { in }(0, \xi(\varepsilon)),  \tag{VB}\\
& \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h\left(u^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}=0, \quad v(\xi(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)=v^{*}, \quad v(0, \varepsilon)=v_{0}, \quad x \text { in }(0, \xi(\varepsilon)),
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 7


Figure 8
and

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime}=v, \quad u(\xi(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)=0=u(1, \varepsilon) \quad x \text { in }(0, \xi(\varepsilon)),  \tag{VI}\\
& \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h\left(u^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}=0, \quad v(\xi(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)=v^{*}, \quad v(1, \varepsilon)=v_{1}, \quad x \text { in }(0, \xi(\varepsilon)) .
\end{align*}
$$

From the results of Case 1 and Case 2, we have $v(x, \varepsilon)=$ $\mathbf{c}+S L(1)+B L(0) ;$ i.e.,

$$
v(x, \varepsilon)= \begin{cases}c+w_{B L}(x, \varepsilon)+w_{B R}(x-O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } \quad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant x_{1}  \tag{4.3}\\ v_{1}+w_{S}(x+O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } \quad x_{1} \leqslant x \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

where $x_{1}$ in $(\xi(\varepsilon), 1)$ such that $h\left(u^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \varepsilon\right)\right)=0$, and where $w_{B L}, w_{B R}$, and $w_{S}$ are the unique solutions of the problems

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon w_{B L}^{\prime \prime}-h\left(u^{\prime}(0, \varepsilon)\right) w_{B L}=0, \quad x \text { in }(0, \xi(\varepsilon)), \\
& w_{B L}(0, \varepsilon)=v_{0}-\mathbf{c}, \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} w_{B L}(x, \varepsilon)=0, \\
& \varepsilon w_{B R}^{\prime \prime}-h\left(u^{\prime}(\xi(\varepsilon), \varepsilon) w_{B R}=0 \quad x \text { in }\left(\xi(\varepsilon), x_{1}\right),\right. \\
& w_{B R}(\xi(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)=\mathbf{c}, \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} w_{B R}(x, \varepsilon)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon w_{S}^{\prime \prime}-h\left(u^{\prime}\left(x_{1}+\delta, \varepsilon\right) w_{S}=0 \quad x \text { in }\left(x_{1}, 1\right),\right. \\
& w_{S}\left(x_{1}, \varepsilon\right)=v_{1}, \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} w_{S}(x, \varepsilon)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{c}=0$ if $v_{0}+v_{1}>0, \mathbf{c}=\left(v_{0}+v_{1}\right) / 2$ if $v_{0}+v_{1}<0$ and $\delta=O(\varepsilon)$. The $S$-layer solution is

$$
S L(1)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{c}+w_{B R}(x-O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } & \xi(\varepsilon) \leqslant x \leqslant x_{1} \\
v_{1}+w_{S}(x+O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } & x_{1} \leqslant x \leqslant 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Case 4. $\quad v_{0}>0, v_{1}<0$.
This case is a reflection of that obseved in the previous case with a " $Z$-layer" at $x=0$. Making the changes of variables

$$
\begin{gathered}
r=1-x, \quad \hat{u}(r, \varepsilon)=u(1-r, \varepsilon), \quad \hat{v}(r, \varepsilon)=v(1-r, \varepsilon), \\
\hat{u}(0, \varepsilon)=\hat{u}(1, \varepsilon)=0 \\
\hat{v}(0, \varepsilon)=\hat{v}_{0}=-v_{1}, \quad \hat{v}(1, \varepsilon)=\hat{v}_{1}=-v_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

by the same arguments in Case 3 we have $v(x, \varepsilon)=\mathbf{c}+Z L(0)+B L(1)$; i.e.,

$$
v(x, \varepsilon)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
v_{0}+w_{S}^{*}(x-O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } \quad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant x_{2}  \tag{4.4}\\
c+w_{B L}^{*}(x+O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+w_{B R}^{*}(x, \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } \quad x_{2} \leqslant x \leqslant 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $w_{B L}^{*}, w_{B R}^{*}$, and $w_{S}^{*}$ are the unique solutions of the problems

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon w_{B L}^{* \prime \prime}-h\left(u^{\prime}(\eta(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)\right) w_{B L}^{*}=0, \quad x \text { in }(0, \eta(\varepsilon)), \\
& w_{B L}^{*}(\eta(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)=\mathbf{c}, \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} w_{B L}^{*}(x, \varepsilon)=0, \\
& \varepsilon w_{B R}^{* \prime \prime}-h\left(u^{\prime}(1, \varepsilon)\right) w_{B R}^{*}=0 \quad x \text { in }(\eta(\varepsilon), 1), \\
& w_{B R}^{*}(1, \varepsilon)=v_{1}-\mathbf{c}, \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} w_{B R}^{*}(x, \varepsilon)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon w_{S}^{* \prime \prime}-h\left(u^{\prime}\left(x_{2}-\delta, \varepsilon\right) w_{S}^{*}=0 \quad x \text { in }\left(0, x_{2}\right),\right. \\
& w_{S}^{*}\left(x_{2}, \varepsilon\right)=v_{0}, \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} w_{S}^{*}(x, \varepsilon)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} v(x, \varepsilon)=\mathbf{c}$ in $\left[\delta^{*}, 1-\delta\right], \mathbf{c}=0$ if $v_{0}+v_{1}>0, \mathbf{c}=\left(v_{0}+v_{1}\right) / 2$ if $v_{0}+v_{1}<0$ and if $\int_{0}^{1} h\left(u^{\prime}\right) d x=0, \delta^{*}, \delta=O(\varepsilon)$. The $Z$-layer solution is

$$
Z L(0)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
v_{0}+w_{S}^{*}(x-O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } \quad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant x_{2} \\
c+w_{B L}^{*}(x+O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right) & \text { if } \quad x_{2} \leqslant x \leqslant \eta(\varepsilon)
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 5. Solutions of Model III:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{\prime \prime}=v, \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h(u) v^{\prime}-g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) v=0, g \geqslant g^{*}>0 \\
& \text { AND MODEL IV: } \\
& u^{\prime \prime}=v, \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h\left(u^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}-g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) v=0, g \geqslant g^{*}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider in this section the system (1.1) in which $g \geqslant g^{*}>0$, for $g^{*}$ a constant,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime}=v \quad \text { in }(0,1) \\
& u(0, \varepsilon)=u(1, \varepsilon)=0 \\
& \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+f\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}-g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) v=0 \quad \text { in }(0,1)  \tag{5.1}\\
& v(0, \varepsilon)=v_{0}, \quad v(1, \varepsilon)=v_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)=h(u)$ or $h\left(u^{\prime}\right), d h / d z>0$ or $d h / d z<0$, and $g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) \in$ $C^{1}[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$. The condition $g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) \geqslant g^{*}>0$ forces the reduced form of the $v$-equation of (5.1) to have only the zero solution $v \equiv 0$ in $(0,1)$ for all the boundary values $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$; cf. $[3,12]$. The asymptotic behavior of solution $v(x, \varepsilon)$ cannot have an interior layer in the interval $(0,1)$. Therefore, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. If $(u(x, \varepsilon), v(x, \varepsilon))$ is the solution of $(5.1)$, then

$$
v(x, \varepsilon)=v_{0} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]+v_{1} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

for all $x$ in $[0,1]$ and each $\varepsilon>0$.
Proof. We will construct the bounding functions $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}(i=1,2)$ such that
(A1) $\alpha_{i} \leqslant \beta_{i}, i=1,2$,
(B1) $\alpha_{1}(0, \varepsilon) \leqslant 0 \leqslant \beta_{1}(0, \varepsilon), \alpha_{1}(1, \varepsilon) \leqslant 0 \leqslant \beta_{1}(1, \varepsilon)$,
(A2) $\quad \alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime} \geqslant \beta_{2}, \beta_{1}^{\prime \prime} \leqslant \alpha_{2}$,
(B2) $\quad \alpha_{2}(0, \varepsilon) \leqslant v_{0} \leqslant \beta_{2}(0, \varepsilon), \alpha_{2}(1, \varepsilon) \leqslant v_{1} \leqslant \beta_{2}(1, \varepsilon)$,
(A3) $\quad \varepsilon \alpha_{2}^{\prime \prime}+f(u, z) \alpha_{2}^{\prime}-g(x, u, z) \alpha_{2} \geqslant 0, \quad \varepsilon \beta_{2}^{\prime \prime}+f(u, z) \beta_{2}^{\prime}-g(x, u, z) \beta_{2}$
$\leqslant 0$, for all $u$ in $\left[\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right]$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}$.
Again, we divide our discussion into three cases for the different signs of the boundary values $v_{0}, v_{1}$ for each of the following models:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime}=v \quad \text { in }(0,1) \\
& u(0, \varepsilon)=u(1, \varepsilon)=0  \tag{III}\\
& \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h(u) v^{\prime}-g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) v=0 \quad \text { in }(0,1), \\
& v(0, \varepsilon)=v_{0}, \quad v(1, \varepsilon)=v_{1},
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime}=v \quad \text { in }(0,1) \\
& u(0, \varepsilon)=u(1, \varepsilon)=0 \\
& \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h\left(u^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}-g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) v=0 \quad \text { in }(0,1)  \tag{IV}\\
& v(0, \varepsilon)=v_{0}, \quad v(1, \varepsilon)=v_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Without lost generality, we assume that $d h / d z>0$.
(I) Model III.

Case 1. $v_{0} \geqslant 0, v_{1} \geqslant 0$.
Since $u(x, \varepsilon) \leqslant 0$ in $[0,1]$ for $v_{0} \geqslant 0$ and $v_{1} \geqslant 0$ and $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} v(x, \varepsilon)=0$, we dcfine, for $0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1$ and $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{1}(x, \varepsilon)=\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{1}+v_{0}\right)\left(x^{2}-x\right), \quad \beta_{1}(x, \varepsilon)=0 \\
\alpha_{2}(x, \varepsilon)=v_{0} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]+v_{1} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right] \\
-c_{1}^{*} \Gamma_{1}(x, \varepsilon)-c_{2}^{*} \Gamma_{2}(x, \varepsilon)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\beta_{2}(x, \varepsilon)=v_{0} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]+v_{1} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]
$$

where $c_{1}^{*}$ and $c_{2}^{*}$ are positive constants to be determined, $\Gamma_{1}(x, \varepsilon)=$ $\exp \left[-\sigma_{1}(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2} x\right]-\exp \left[-(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2} x\right], \Gamma_{2}(x, \varepsilon)=\exp \left[-\sigma_{2}(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]$ $-\exp \left[-(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right], \sigma_{i}(i=1,2)$ are constants such that $0<\sigma_{i}<1$, with $\left\{1 / \sigma_{1}^{2} \exp \left[\left(\sigma_{1}-1\right)(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2} x\right]\right\}>1$ and $\left\{\sigma_{2} \exp \left[(1-\sigma)(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right\}$ $>0, k: h(u)<-k<0$ in $[\delta, 1-\delta]$ for small $\delta>0$, and $0<k<-h(u)(k / \varepsilon)^{1 / 2}$. Then it follows that (A1), (B1), and (B2) are satisfied. Since $h(0)=0$, that is, $h\left(\beta_{1}\right)=0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \beta_{2}^{\prime \prime}+h\left(\beta_{1}\right) \beta_{2}^{\prime}-g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) \beta_{2} \\
& \leqslant g^{*} v_{0} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]+g^{*} v_{1} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right] \\
& -g^{*} v_{0} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]-g^{*} v_{1} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]=0 \\
& \alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x, \varepsilon)=\left(v_{1}+v_{0}\right) \geqslant \beta_{2} ; \quad \beta_{1}^{\prime \prime}=0=\alpha_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \alpha_{2}^{\prime \prime}+h(u) \alpha_{2}^{\prime}-g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) \alpha_{2} \\
& \geqslant g^{*} v_{0} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]+g^{*} v_{1} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right] \\
& -\varepsilon\left(c_{1}^{*} \Gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime}-c_{2}^{*} \Gamma_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& +h(u)\left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]\left\{v_{0} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]\right. \\
& \left.-c_{1}^{*}\left[-\sigma_{1} \exp \left[-\sigma_{1}\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]-\exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]\right]\right\} \\
& +h(u)\left[\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]\left\{v_{1} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-c_{2}^{*}\left[\sigma_{2} \exp \left[-\sigma_{2}\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]-\exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right]\right\} \\
& -g v_{0} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right] \\
& -g v_{1} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]+g\left(c_{1}^{*} \Gamma_{1}+c_{2}^{*} \Gamma_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(g^{*}-g\right) v_{0} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right] \\
& +\left(g^{*}-g\right) v_{1} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]-g^{*} c_{1}^{*}\left\{\sigma_{1}^{2} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]\right\}-g^{*} c_{2}^{*}\left\{\sigma_{2}^{2} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right\} \\
& +h(u)\left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]\left\{v_{0} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]\right. \\
& \left.-c_{1}^{*}\left[-\sigma_{1} \exp \left[-\sigma_{1}\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]-\exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]\right]\right\} \\
& +h(u)\left[\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]\left\{v_{1} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right. \\
& -c_{2}^{*}\left[\sigma_{2} \exp \left[\sigma_{2}\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\exp \left[-\left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]\right]\right\} \geqslant 0 \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

we choose $g^{*}$ such that $\left(g-g^{*}\right)$ is small, $c_{1}^{*}$ small enough such that $v_{0} \exp \left[-\left(g^{*} / \varepsilon\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]>c_{1}^{*} \Gamma_{1}, c_{2}^{*}$ large enough such that $v_{1} \exp \left[-\left(g^{*} / \varepsilon\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]<c_{2}^{*} \Gamma_{2}$, and $\mid v_{1} \exp \left[-\left(g^{*} / \varepsilon\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]-$ $c_{2}^{*} \Gamma_{2} \mid<v_{0} \exp \left[-\left(g^{*} / \varepsilon\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]-c_{1}^{*} \Gamma_{1}$. Therefore, (A2) and (A3) are true, and it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(x, \varepsilon)= & v_{0} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right] \\
& +v_{1} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}(1-x)\right]+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in $[0,1]$ for each $\varepsilon>0$.
Case 2. $v_{0} \leqslant 0, v_{1} \leqslant 0$.
This case is again handled by reflection. Making the change of variables as in Case 2 of Model I, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{m}^{\prime \prime} & =\mathbf{n} \\
\mathbf{m}(0, \varepsilon) & =\mathbf{m}(1, \varepsilon)=0, \\
\varepsilon \mathbf{n}^{\prime \prime}+h(\mathbf{m}) \mathbf{n}^{\prime} & =0 \\
\mathbf{n}(0, \varepsilon) & =\mathbf{n}_{0}=-v_{1}, \mathbf{n}(1, \varepsilon)=\mathbf{n}_{1}=-v_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $v_{0} \geqslant 0$ and $v_{1} \geqslant 0$. This the Case 1 of Model III.
Case 3. $v_{0} v_{1}<0$.
In a similar manner, since $u(x, \varepsilon)$ changes sign in either case, there exists a unique interior turning point $x_{0}$ in $(0,1)$ such that $u\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=0$, which implies that $h\left(u\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)=0$ and $v\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=0$. We consider the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime}=v \quad \text { in }\left(0, x_{0}\right), \\
& u(0, \varepsilon)=u\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=0, \\
& \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h(u) v^{\prime}-g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) v=0 \quad \text { in }\left(0, x_{0}\right),  \tag{T1}\\
& v(0, \varepsilon)=v_{0}, \quad v\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=v^{*}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime}=v \quad \text { in }\left(x_{0}, 1\right) \\
& u\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=u(1, \varepsilon)=0 \\
& \varepsilon v^{\prime \prime}+h(u) v^{\prime}-g\left(x, u, u^{\prime}\right) v=0 \quad \text { in }\left(x_{0}, 1\right),  \tag{T2}\\
& v\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=v^{*}, \quad v(1, \varepsilon)=v_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $v^{*}=v\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=0$ and outer solutions of systems (T1) and (T2) are zero, it follows that there are boundary layers at $x=0$ and $x=1$ respectively from the result of Case 1 and Case 2 . Therefore, we have the same form of the result as in Case 1 and Case 2.

## (II). Model IV.

Since outer solution of system (IV) is the trivial solution, the asymptotic behavior of the solution $v(x, \varepsilon)$ of the coupled system (5.1) in this case is not as complicated as in Models I and II. In fact, $u^{\prime \prime}=v, v_{0} \geqslant 0$ and $v_{1} \geqslant 0$ imply $u(x, \varepsilon) \leqslant 0$. It follows that $u^{\prime}<0$ near $x=0$ and $u^{\prime}>0$ near $x=1$, and thus $h\left(u^{\prime}\right)<0$ in $\left(0, x_{0}^{*}\right), h\left(u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}^{*}, \varepsilon\right)\right)=0$ and $h\left(u^{\prime}\right)>0\left[x_{0}^{*}, 1\right]$ for some $x_{0}^{*}$ in ( 0,1 ). By the same arguments as in Case 3 of Model (III), we have

$$
v(x, \varepsilon)=v_{0} \operatorname{cxp}\left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x\right]+v_{1} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{g^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x
\end{array}\right)\right]+O\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

For $v_{0} \leqslant 0, v_{1} \leqslant 0$, this case is a reflection of the case: $v_{0} \geqslant 0, v_{1} \geqslant 0$. If we consider the asymptotic behavior as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$of the solution $v(x, \varepsilon)$ of (5.1) in the subintervals $\left[0, x_{0}\right]$ and $\left[x_{0}, 1\right]$ separately for $v_{0} v_{1}<0$, the previous results can be applied in order to obtain the stated result.

## 6. Remark

From our constructions of the bounding functions $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}(i=1,2)$ which provide refined approximations to the solutions of system (1.1), we see that similar results can be obtained for more general second-order singularly perturbed scalar and vector problems of the form $\varepsilon y^{\prime \prime}=f(t, y) y^{\prime}+g(t, y), y(a)=A, y(b)=B$ when $f(t, y)$ has zeros in the interval $[a, b]$.
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