



CSU
College of Law Library

Cleveland State University
EngagedScholarship@CSU

[All Articles](#)

[Newspaper Coverage](#)

8-20-1954

54/08/20 Says Lie Test 'No' Was Sam's

Cleveland News

Follow this and additional works at: https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/newspaper_coverage

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

Recommended Citation

Cleveland News, "54/08/20 Says Lie Test 'No' Was Sam's" (1954). *All Articles*. 431.

https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/newspaper_coverage/431

This Book is brought to you for free and open access
by the Newspaper Coverage at
EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for
inclusion in All Articles by an authorized administrator
of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information,
please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.



Says Lie Test 'No' Was Sam's

Dr. Samuel H. Sheppard's refusal to take a lie detector test during the investigation of his wife's murder was "entirely Sheppard's decision" and not dictated by anyone, William J. Corrigan, his chief defense counsel, asserted today.

"I allowed him to make his own decision," Corrigan declared. "He's no kid. I didn't tell him to take it or not to take it."

Challenges Test

While disclaiming responsibility for Dr. Sam's refusal, Corrigan challenged the value of a lie detector test which he said had no standing in any court in the land.

Corrigan also challenged the ability of the persons assigned to operate polygraph machines used in the tests.

"If the polygraph test shows that Dr. Sam is not lying or even that it is inconclusive, wouldn't that help the defendant?" Corrigan was asked.

"Your newspapers have not been telling the truth about the value of the lie detector," Corrigan answered. "Recent studies show that only 10 per cent of the persons operating polygraphs are qualified to do so."