



CSU
College of Law Library

1995

Table of Contents

Cleveland State Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstrev>

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Recommended Citation

Cleveland State Law Review, *Table of Contents*, 43 Clev. St. L. Rev. iii (1995)
available at <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstrev/vol43/iss1/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cleveland State Law Review by an authorized editor of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

CLEVELAND STATE LAW REVIEW

Volume 43

1995

Number 1

CONTENTS

THE FIFTY-EIGHTH CLEVELAND-MARSHALL FUND LECTURE

- THE TREADMILL OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE
REFORM *The Honorable Abner J. Mikva* 5

ESSAY

- ADR AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO OUR
CULTURE OF CONFRONTATION *The Honorable Thomas J. Moyer* 13

ARTICLE

- THE LACK OF PROTECTION AFFORDED SOFTWARE
UNDER THE CURRENT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
LAWS *Himanshu S. Amin* 19

1995 JUDGE JOHN M. MANOS WRITING COMPETITION ON EVIDENCE

- AN OPINION: FEDERAL JUDGES MISCONSTRUE
RULE 704. (OR IS THAT AN IMPERMISSIBLE
"LEGAL" CONCLUSION?) *Kathy Jo Cook* 45

- IMPEACHMENT OF PARTY BY PRIOR INCONSISTENT
STATEMENT IN COMPROMISE NEGOTIATIONS:
ADMISSIBILITY UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF
EVIDENCE 408 *Fred S. Hjelmset* 75

NOTES

- AN APPLICATION OF FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE
26(A)(1) TO SECTION 1983 ACTIONS: DOES RULE
26(A)(1) VIOLATE THE RULES ENABLING ACT? *Shilpa Shah* 115

- A MANDATORY DISCLOSURE AND CIVIL JUSTICE REFORM
PROPOSAL BASED ON THE CIVIL JUSTICE REFORM
ACT EXPERIMENTS *Eric F. Spade* 147

CITE AS 43 CLEV. ST. L. REV. ____ (1995)

The views expressed in the *Cleveland State Law Review* are those of the authors of the articles and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the trustees, faculty, alumni, or students of the Cleveland State University or the College of Law. Contributing authors are expected to reveal personal, economic, or professional interests that may have influenced the views taken or advocated in their articles. Each author impliedly represents that such disclosure has been made.

**THE *CLEVELAND STATE LAW REVIEW* IS
PROUD TO DEDICATE THIS ISSUE TO
THE HONORABLE JOHN M. MANOS**