



CSU
College of Law Library

1993

Table of Contents

Cleveland State Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstrev>

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Recommended Citation

Cleveland State Law Review, *Table of Contents*, 41 Clev. St. L. Rev. v (1993)
available at <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstrev/vol41/iss2/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cleveland State Law Review by an authorized editor of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

CLEVELAND STATE LAW REVIEW

Volume 41

1993

Number 2

CONTENTS

THE FIFTY-FOURTH CLEVELAND-MARSHALL FUND LECTURE

AMERICA'S COUNTERREVOLUTION-UNLEARNED LESSONS.....	<i>Nathaniel R. Jones</i>	205
---	---------------------------	-----

ARTICLES

RETHINKING VENUE IN LIGHT OF THE "RODNEY KING" CASE: AN INTEREST ANALYSIS.....	<i>Marvin Zalman Maurisa Gates</i>	215
ARE SPREAD OUT CITIES REALLY SAFER?(OR, IS ATLANTA SAFER THAN NEW YORK?).....	<i>Michael E. Lewyn</i>	279

ESSAY

PIERCING THE CORPORATE VEIL: A DIFFERENT DELAWARE BEYOND THE BOARDROOMS.....	<i>Robert Hornstein Daniel Atkins</i>	297
---	---	-----

NOTES

STAYING PATENT VALIDITY LITIGATION PENDING REEXAMINATION: WHEN SHOULD COURTS ENDEAVOR TO DO SO?.....	<i>Steven M. Auwil</i>	315
MUNICIPAL ANNEXATION IN OHIO: PUTTING AN END TO THE BITTER BATTLE.....	<i>Mary Shannon Place</i>	345
TESTER STANDING IN EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION CASES UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1981.....	<i>Michelle Landever</i>	381

CITE AS 41 CLEV. ST. L. REV. ____ (1993)

The views expressed in the *Cleveland State Law Review* are those of the authors of the articles and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the trustees, faculty, alumni, or students of the Cleveland State University or the College of Law. Contributing authors are expected to reveal personal, economic, or professional interests that may have influenced the views taken or advocated in their articles. Each author impliedly represents that such disclosure has been made.