



1983

Table of Contents

Cleveland State Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstrev>

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Recommended Citation

Cleveland State Law Review, *Table of Contents*, 32 Clev. St. L. Rev. iii (1983)
available at <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstrev/vol32/iss1/3>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cleveland State Law Review by an authorized editor of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

CONTENTS

SYMPOSIUM: THE FEDERAL COURTS IMPROVEMENT ACT

PREFATORY REMARK

THE PHOENIX COURT	<i>Howard T. Markey</i>	1
-------------------------	-------------------------	---

ARTICLES

THE NEW UNITED STATES CLAIMS COURT	<i>Philip R. Miller</i>	7
PATENT LITIGATION BEFORE THE NEW CLAIMS COURT	<i>Joseph V. Colaianni</i>	25
CONTRACT FORMATION JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES CLAIMS COURT	<i>Joseph R. Feidelman</i> <i>Josephine L. Ursini</i>	41
IS THE UNITED STATES CLAIMS COURT CONSTITUTIONAL?	<i>Joan E. Baker</i>	55
LOCAL RULES AND PROCEDURES OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT	<i>George E. Hutchinson</i> <i>Ernest C. Baynard, III</i>	103

NOTE

THE ENDLESS DEBATE: REFUGEE LAW AND POLICY AND THE 1980 REFUGEE ACT		117
------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	-----

CITE AS 32 CLEV. ST. L. REV.—(1983-84)

The views expressed in this *Law Review* are those of the authors of the articles and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the trustees, faculty, alumni, or students of the Cleveland State University or the College of Law. Contributing authors are expected to reveal personal, economic, or professional interests that may have influenced the views taken or advocated in their articles. Each author impliedly represents that such disclosure has been made.