



1978

## Table of Contents

Cleveland State Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstlrev>

**How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!**

---

### Recommended Citation

Cleveland State Law Review, *Table of Contents*, 27 Clev. St. L. Rev. v (1978)  
*available at* <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstlrev/vol27/iss2/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cleveland State Law Review by an authorized editor of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact [library.es@csuohio.edu](mailto:library.es@csuohio.edu).

# CONTENTS

## ADDRESS

THE DECLINE OF THE REHABILITATIVE IDEAL IN AMERICAN CRIMINAL JUSTICE . . . . .	<i>Francis A. Allen</i>	147
---	-------------------------	-----

## COMMENTARY

GENERAL THOUGHTS ON ADMISSION TO PRACTICE IN THE FEDERAL COURTS OF THE UNITED STATES . . . . .	<i>Robert L. Bogomolny</i>	157
--	----------------------------	-----

## ARTICLES

THE FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE AND THE QUALITY OF PRACTICE IN FEDERAL COURTS . . . . .	<i>Stephen A. Saltzburg</i>	173
FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW REQUIREMENTS OTHER THAN NEPA: THE EMERGING CHALLENGE . .	<i>Ronald H. Rosenberg</i> <i>Allen H. Olson</i>	195

## NOTES

RESIDENTIAL RENEWAL LEASES AND THE OHIO STATUTE OF CONVEYANCES: INVALIDATION AND SUBSEQUENT TREATMENT . . . . .		231
THE IMMUNITY OF PUBLIC DEFENDERS UNDER SECTION 1983 . . . . .		244
THE SUPREME COURT AND THE TORT CLAIMS ACT: END OF AN ENLIGHTENED ERA? . . . . .		267
APPLYING THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT IN THE AREA OF FEDERAL GRANT LAW: EXPLORING AN UNKNOWN ENTITY . . . . .		294

---

CITE AS 27 CLEV. ST. L. REV.—(1978)

---

The views expressed in this *Law Review* are those of the authors of the articles and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the trustees, faculty, alumni, or students of the Cleveland State University or the College of Law. Contributing authors are expected to reveal personal, economic, or professional interests that may have influenced the views taken or advocated in their articles. Each author impliedly represents that such disclosure has been made.