

2-26-2017

# Boundaries That Matter: Redistricting Congressional Election Districts

Mark J. Salling PhD, GISP  
Cleveland State University, [m.salling@csuohio.edu](mailto:m.salling@csuohio.edu)

## How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Follow this and additional works at: [https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/urban\\_facpub](https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/urban_facpub)

 Part of the [Urban Studies and Planning Commons](#)

---

## Repository Citation

Salling, Mark J. PhD, GISP, "Boundaries That Matter: Redistricting Congressional Election Districts" (2017). *Urban Publications*. 0123 1456.  
[https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/urban\\_facpub/1456](https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/urban_facpub/1456)

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Maxine Goodman Levin College of Urban Affairs at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Urban Publications by an authorized administrator of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact [library.es@csuohio.edu](mailto:library.es@csuohio.edu).

# **Boundaries That Matter: Redistricting Congressional Election Districts**

**Presented to the First Unitarian Church of Cleveland**

February 26, 2017

Mark Salling, PhD, GISP  
Senior Fellow

Maxine Goodman Levin College of Urban Affairs  
Cleveland State University



After every decennial census in the U.S. politicians are very interested in maps.



# The Importance of Election Boundaries

## When the Lines are Drawn with One Party in Control

<http://bit.ly/reagan-on-redistricting>



# Congressional Redistricting in Ohio

## Who Decides?

- **In Ohio – the state legislature**
  - every 10 years after the census
- Population of each district must be as close to the average in each state as “reasonably possible”. (therefore equal populations within a state)
- Voting Rights Act of 1965 – minority representation
- There are no other requirements.

# But there are other possible considerations

## Compactness


Communities of Interest. e.g., keep whole counties or municipalities together

Competitiveness. Maximize the number of legislative districts that could be won by either party.

Representational Fairness. Minimize the difference between proportions of votes for the political parties and the legislative seats won by those parties.

# Representational Fairness

**Congressional Wins and Percentage of Vote for the Controlling Party in Ohio, 2002 - 2016**




← 18 Congressional seats →      ← 16 Congressional seats →

**Additional seats won:**  
 2      3      2      -1      3      3      3      4

# Percent Republican Congressional Representatives

1973 to 2012

OHIO






# Competitiveness

## Percentage of Votes for Congressional Candidates for Party Not in Control of Redistricting 2002 - 2010

*Only 1 district averaged between 45% and 55% - considered to be "competitive"*

*Only 4 districts averaged between 40% and 60%*

*In 14 of the 18 districts there was no change in which party won in any of the 5 elections of the decade.*



OHIO


Within states, when boundaries don't reflect the preferences of the electorate,

votes don't count the same.



# Wasted Votes by Party Not in Control of Redistricting Ohio Congressional Elections, 2002-2010


(votes beyond those needed to attain the number of elections won)



# National Picture




# National Congressional Fairness, 2012




**20 seats won by Republicans more than their share of votes would indicate.**

# National Congressional Fairness, 2014



**17 seats won by Republicans more than their share of votes would indicate.**

# National Congressional Fairness, 2016



**21 seats won by Republicans more than their share of votes would indicate.**

**END**

