

2013

Table of Contents

Cleveland State Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstlrev>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

Recommended Citation

Cleveland State Law Review, *Table of Contents*, 61 Clev. St. L. Rev. (2013)
available at <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstlrev/vol61/iss4/3>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cleveland State Law Review by an authorized editor of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

CLEVELAND STATE LAW REVIEW

Volume 61

2013

Number 4

CONTENTS

ARTICLES

- WHAT IS ANIMAL LAW? *Jerrold Tannenbaum* 891
- GENDER DYSPHORIA IN THE JAILHOUSE: A
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO HORMONE
THERAPY? *Susan S. Bendlin* 957
- NOT “SPECIAL” ENOUGH FOR CHAPTER 7: AN
ANALYSIS OF THE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES
PROVISION OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE *Roma Perez* 983
- “I’M NOT QUITE DEAD YET!”: RETHINKING THE
ANTI-LAPSE REDISTRIBUTION OF A DEAD
BENEFICIARY’S GIFT *Eloisa C. Rodriguez-Dod* 1017
- MEDICAID EXPANSION, THE PATIENT PROTECTION
AND AFFORDABLE CARE ACT, AND THE
SUPREME COURT’S FLAWED SPENDING
CLAUSE COERCION REASONING IN *NATIONAL
FEDERATION OF INDEPENDENT BUSINESS V.
SEBELIUS* *L. Darnell Weeden* 1051

NOTES

- PARADISE LOST? A CALL TO CLARIFY THE PUBLIC
PURPOSE REQUIREMENT IN OHIO’S PUBLIC
TRUST DOCTRINE *Maia E. Jerin* 1075
- THE GOOD, THE BAD, AND A NEW KIND OF
PRENUP: AN ANALYSIS OF THE OHIO LEGACY
TRUST ACT AND WHAT ASSET PROTECTION
TRUSTS WILL MEAN FOR OHIO *Kevin R. McKinnis* 1105

CITE AS 61 CLEV. ST. L. REV. __ (2013)

The views expressed in the *Cleveland State Law Review* are those of the authors of the articles and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the trustees, faculty, alumni, or students of the Cleveland State University or the College of Law. Contributing authors are expected to reveal personal, economic, or professional interests that may have influenced the views taken or advocated in their articles. Each author impliedly represents that such disclosure has been made.