



CSU
College of Law Library

Cleveland State Law Review

Volume 64 | Issue 1

Article

1-1-2015

Table of Contents

Cleveland State Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstlrev>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

Recommended Citation

Cleveland State Law Review, *Table of Contents*, 64 Clev. St. L. Rev. (2015)
available at <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstlrev/vol64/iss1/4>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cleveland State Law Review by an authorized editor of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

THE CLEVELAND STATE LAW REVIEW

Volume 64

2015

Number 1

CONTENTS

ARTICLES

- THE RULING ON A MOTION *IN LIMINE*:
PRESERVING THE ISSUE FOR APPEAL *Michael L. Stokes* 1
- PRE-DISPUTE ARBITRATION AGREEMENTS,
FREEDOM OF CONTRACT, AND THE ECONOMIC
DURESS DEFENSE: A CRITIQUE OF THREE
COMMENTARIES *Steven W. Feldman* 37

NOTES

- THE NATIONAL IMPERATIVE FOR HEALTH CARE
SYSTEM TRANSFORMATION: WHY CERTAIN
MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS ARE
APPROPRIATE DESPITE SECTION 7 OF THE
CLAYTON ACT *Nathan D. Sargent* 83
- TORPEDOING A TRANSACTION: ECONOMIC
SUBSTANCE VERSUS OTHER TAX
DOCTRINES AND THE APPLICATION OF THE
STRICT LIABILITY PENALTY *Thomas C. Vanik Jr.* 109

CITE AS 63 CLEV. ST. L. REV. __ (2015)

The views expressed in the *Cleveland State Law Review* are those of the authors of the articles and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the trustees, faculty, alumni, or students of the Cleveland State University or the College of Law. Contributing authors are expected to reveal personal, economic, or professional interests that may have influenced the views taken or advocated in their articles. Each author impliedly represents that such disclosure has been made.