

**Cleveland State Law Review** 

Volume 64 | Issue 3

Article

6-1-2016

# **Table of Contents**

**Cleveland State Law Review** 

Follow this and additional works at: https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstlrev

Part of the Law Commons How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

## **Recommended Citation**

Cleveland State Law Review, *Table of Contents*, 64 Clev. St. L. Rev. (2016) *available at* https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstlrev/vol64/iss3/4

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cleveland State Law Review by an authorized editor of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

# THE CLEVELAND STATE LAW REVIEW

#### Volume 64

2016

Number 3

## CONTENTS

#### ARTICLES

THE FACES OF THE SECOND AMENDMENT         OUTSIDE THE HOME, TAKE TWO: HOW WE         GOT HERE AND WHY IT MATTERS    Patrick J. Charles	373	
"SHIFTED SCIENCE" REVISITED: PERCOLATION DELAYS AND THE PERSISTENCE OF WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS BASED ON OUTDATED SCIENCE	483	
REPLAY THAT TUNE: DEFENDING <i>BAKKE</i> ON STARE DECISIS GROUNDS	519	
DERIVATIVES CLEARINGHOUSES: CLEARING THE WAY TO FAILURE	589	
Law Schools and Learning Outcomes: Developing a Coherent, Cohesive, and Comprehensive Law School Curriculum Anthony Niedwiecki	661	
NOTES		
STANDING UP TO "STAND YOUR GROUND" LAWS: HOW THE MODERN NRA-INSPIRED SELF- DEFENSE STATUTES DESTROY THE PRINCIPLE OF NECESSITY, DISRUPT THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM, AND INCREASE OVERALL VIOLENCE	715	

<i>Sí, Tengo Miedo</i> —Yes, I Am Afraid: How the	
CURRENT INTERPRETATION OF ASYLUM LAW	
IS CONTRARY TO LEGISLATIVE INTENT AND	
WHAT THE COURTS SHOULD DO ABOUT IT Chelsea Mu	llarkey 747

### CITE AS 64 CLEV. ST. L. REV. \_\_ (2016)

The views expressed in the *Cleveland State Law Review* are those of the authors of the articles and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the trustees, faculty, alumni, or students of the Cleveland State University or the College of Law. Contributing authors are expected to reveal personal, economic, or professional interests that may have influenced the views taken or advocated in their articles. Each author impliedly represents that such disclosure has been made.