



6-1-2016

Table of Contents

Cleveland State Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstrev>

 Part of the [Law Commons](#)

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Recommended Citation

Cleveland State Law Review, *Table of Contents*, 64 Clev. St. L. Rev. (2016)
available at <https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/clevstrev/vol64/iss3/4>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cleveland State Law Review by an authorized editor of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

**THE
CLEVELAND STATE
LAW REVIEW**

Volume 64

2016

Number 3

CONTENTS

ARTICLES

- THE FACES OF THE SECOND AMENDMENT
OUTSIDE THE HOME, TAKE TWO: HOW WE
GOT HERE AND WHY IT MATTERS *Patrick J. Charles* 373
- “SHIFTED SCIENCE” REVISITED: PERCOLATION
DELAYS AND THE PERSISTENCE OF WRONGFUL
CONVICTIONS BASED ON OUTDATED SCIENCE *Caitlin M. Plummer* 483
Imran J. Syed
- REPLAY THAT TUNE: DEFENDING *BAKKE* ON
STARE DECISIS GROUNDS *Charles Adside, III* 519
- DERIVATIVES CLEARINGHOUSES: CLEARING THE
WAY TO FAILURE *Hester Peirce* 589
- LAW SCHOOLS AND LEARNING OUTCOMES:
DEVELOPING A COHERENT, COHESIVE, AND
COMPREHENSIVE LAW SCHOOL CURRICULUM *Anthony Niedwiecki* 661

NOTES

- STANDING UP TO “STAND YOUR GROUND” LAWS:
HOW THE MODERN NRA-INSPIRED SELF-
DEFENSE STATUTES DESTROY THE PRINCIPLE
OF NECESSITY, DISRUPT THE CRIMINAL
JUSTICE SYSTEM, AND INCREASE OVERALL
VIOLENCE *Daniel Sweeney* 715
- Sí, TENGO MIEDO*—YES, I AM AFRAID: HOW THE
CURRENT INTERPRETATION OF ASYLUM LAW
IS CONTRARY TO LEGISLATIVE INTENT AND
WHAT THE COURTS SHOULD DO ABOUT IT *Chelsea Mullarkey* 747

CITE AS 64 CLEV. ST. L. REV. __ (2016)

The views expressed in the *Cleveland State Law Review* are those of the authors of the articles and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the trustees, faculty, alumni, or students of the Cleveland State University or the College of Law. Contributing authors are expected to reveal personal, economic, or professional interests that may have influenced the views taken or advocated in their articles. Each author impliedly represents that such disclosure has been made.