

are they?

MR. CORRIGAN: The court reporter has them. It isn't necessary to bring them here into court again.

THE COURT: No, but we ought to, before we leave this matter at this stage of the proceedings -- after we get the jury here, we ought to get them together and make sure where they are and see that they are properly marked.

133 MR. CORRIGAN: Well, without taking up any more time, we will leave it go that way, and sometime during the course of recess we will bring those into court and see that they are properly marked.

MR. GARMONE: May we consult with the Court in his chambers, all sides?

MR. MAHON: Can't we do it here?

MR. GARMONE: We can do it here.

THE COURT: If the rest of the good people --

MR. GARMONE: It is just on the question of the number of alternates.

THE COURT: Well, that is exactly what I had in mind. We haven't discussed that at all. What is your thought, gentlemen, as to the number of

alternates?

MR. GARMONE: We feel that there should be two because of the length of time this case may take. We had one experience with Mr. Solli.

MR. CORRIGAN: I think there ought to be two.

MR. MAHON: I think we ought to have two. I will agree with them. This case might run three or four weeks on the evidence, and I think we should have two.

THE COURT: Can you agree that there be two alternates selected at this time?

MR. MAHON: Yes, we will agree to that.

THE COURT: That will be agreeable --

MR. GARMONE: Now, wait awhile. You said "Can we agree that there will be two alternates selected at this time?"

THE COURT: Yes, that is what we are going to do.

MR. GARMONE: We are going to make an attempt to select two alternates. We are not going to agree that two can be selected at this time.

THE COURT: We are going to proceed in an attempt to select two alternate jurors. All right.

(Thereupon proceedings were resumed in the presence of the jury panel, as follows):

(Thereupon the jury was sworn by the Court.) ✓

(Thereupon the empaneling of alternate jurors commenced, as follows):

Thereupon JOSEPH. A. KACZMAREK, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION OF PROS. JUROR JOSEPH A. KACZMAREK

By the Court:

Q Is your name Joseph A. Kaczmarek?

A Yes, your Honor.

Q Is that how you pronounce it?

A Kaczmarek.

Q And you live at 3855 East 50th Street?

A That's right.

Q That would be Newburgh Heights?

A Newburgh Heights.

Q And are you a married man, Mr. Kaczmarek?

A No, your Honor. I am single.

Q You are a single man?

A That's right.

Q Will you tell us who you live with?