

Thereupon STANLEY J. GORCZYCA, being first
duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR STANLEY J. GORCZYCA:

BY THE COURT:

Q Will you give us your name, please?

A Stanley J. Gorczyca.

Q You pronounce it Gorczyca?

A Yes, sir.

Q You live at 3927 or 47 Spokane?

A 3927 Spokane.

Q 3927 Spokane Avenue?

A Yes, sir.

Q That's out in the Brooklyn area?

A Yes.

Q How long have you lived there?

A Ten months.

Q And did you before that live at 3942 West 22nd Street?

A Yes, sir.

Q That is in the same general neighborhood?

A Yes, sir.

Q And how long had you lived on West 22nd Street?

A About 26 years.

Q 26 years?

A Yes, sir.

Q Are you married, Mr. Gorczycz?

A Yes, sir.

Q And do you have a family?

A Yes; two girls.

Q Two girls?

A Yes, sir.

Q How old are they?

A One is two and the other is five.

Q And are you and your wife and the two children the entire household?

A Yes, sir.

Q What is your trade or occupation or business?

A I manage the Brooklyn Polish-American Home.

Q You what?

A I manage the Brooklyn Polish-American Home.

Q And how long have you been so occupied?

A Since July 7th.

Q Of this year?

A Yes, sir.

Q What did you do before that?

A I was a sheet metal worker at Air-Maze Corporation.

Q What corporation?

A Air-Maze.

Q And how long were you in that business?

A 13 years.

MR. DANACEAU: I didn't get that business.

MR. GARMONE: Sheet metal business.

MR. DANACEAU: Sheet metal?

MR. GARMONE: That's right.

PROSP. JUROR GORCZYCA: Sheet metal, yes, sir.

Q Have you ever served as a juror before?

A No, sir.

Q Have you ever been a witness in any case in any court?

A Yes, sir.

Q A civil case?

A Yes, sir.

Q Some business transaction?

A Yes, sir.

Q Was that Common Pleas Court?

A It was in Judge Jackson's court.

Q Municipal Court?

A Municipal Court.

Q It was down at the City Hall?

A Yes, sir.

Q I take it that you were here a week ago last Monday morning?

A Yes, sir.

Q And heard these good people around the table presented?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do you know any of them?

A No, sir.

Q Do you know the County Prosecutor, Mr. Cullitan, or any member of his staff?

A No, sir.

Q Do you know the sheriff or any member of his staff?

A No, sir.

Q Do you know the coroner, Dr. Gerber, or any member of his staff?

A No, sir.

Q Are there any members of your family -- and by that I would like to include your wife's family as well -- who are members of a Police Department or a law-enforcing agency anywhere?

A You mean direct family?

Q Well, tell us what it is, if there are any?

A I have a cousin, well, he is just a special policeman.

Q He is a what?

A A special policeman.

Q He is a special policeman?

A Yes.

Q In Cleveland?

A Yes.

Q What is there special about it? He is employed by some merchants in a neighborhood or --

A Well, he is appointed from City Hall to different dances,

wherever they assign him.

Q But he merely has a commission as a special policeman and is, perhaps, privately employed by different people?

A Yes, sir.

Q Not a member of the regular Police Department?

A No, sir.

Q Have you or any members of your family ever been visited by violence at the hands of another?

A No, sir.

Q Have you any objection in a proper case to capital punishment?

A No, sir.

Q Do you understand, Mr. Gorczyca, that it is the function of a jury to decide what the facts are and determine whether a person charged with a crime is guilty or not, and that the Court has absolutely nothing to do with the finding and the facts, it is entirely the function of a jury? Do you understand that?

A Yes, sir.

Q And do you understand, also, that the jury does so on the basis of two things in particular, first, the evidence that comes from the witnesses on that witness chair, and second, on the basis of the principles of law which the Court will state to the jury; do you understand that?

A Yes, sir.

Q And do you understand, also, that when testimony is produced, you are not at the moment to pay any attention whatever to who produces it, whether it is a person in public office, out of public office, a person with a title, professional man or common laborer, the testimony is to be weighed with one test only in mind and that is what is the truth and what is not the truth? Do you understand that?

A Yes, sir.

Q And do you also understand that you are to accept the principles of law applicable to the case from the Court, whether you think those principles are properly stated by the Court or not, that you are not to substitute your own judgment as to what the law is but you are to accept the principles of law precisely as the Court states them to the jury? Do you understand that?

A Yes, sir.

Q Now, I would like to ask you if you believe now that you could -- preliminary to that, I will say to you that counsel on this side of the table, the prosecution, and the defense and the defendant are asking one thing and one only here now, and that is that they have an absolutely fair and impartial jury. In other words, that they have a fair trial, no matter where the chips may fall.

Do you now believe that you could sit here and listen to the evidence and the instructions of the Court as to the law

and be guided entirely by them and arrive at a fair and frank decision based on your own judgment of those?

A No, sir.

Q You do not?

A I do not, sir.

Q Have you formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of Dr. Sheppard?

A Yes, I formed a firm opinion.

Q Don't say what your opinion is.

A No.

Q You have formed an opinion?

A Yes, sir.

Q And do you still entertain that opinion?

A Yes, sir.

Q And could you disregard it now and start off to listen to evidence without any regard whatever to your opinion?

A No, sir.

MR. GARMONE: Challenge for cause.

THE COURT: You will be excused,

Mr. Gorczyca. Thank you, sir.

- - -

MR. GARMONE: That, your Honor,
exhausts the first list.

THE COURT: Pardon?