

Thereupon LUCILLE WILLIAMS resumed the stand,
and was examined and testified further as follows:

REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF LUCILLE WILLIAMS:

BY MR. GARMONE:

Q Mrs. Williams, I will hand you what has been marked for
identification Defendant's Exhibit 3-A and 3-B, and I will
ask you to look at the letter and just read the contents to
yourself and see if that is the letter that you received.

A There is no use for me to read the letter because I don't
even know what was in it, but that is the letter.

Q That is the letter?

A Yes.

MR. GARMONE: We will offer it at this
time.

THE COURT: It will be received.
(Defendant's Exhibits 3-A
and 3-B on motion for new
trial were offered and
received in evidence.)

MR. GARMONE: May I ask just one more
question of Mrs. Williams?

Q To the best of your recollection, is this the only letter
that you received during the period that the testimony was
being submitted for your consideration in the case of the
State of Ohio versus Sam Sheppard?

A Well, now --

MR. PARRINO: Would you read the question? I didn't hear the question.

THE COURT: Is this the only letter she received? And the Court is adding: After the jury was impanelled.

A That is the only one.

Q That is the only one?

A Yes.

Q And that is the only letter that you turned over to the bailiff?

A Yes.

Q Because it was the only letter you had received?

A Yes.

MR. GARMONE: That is all. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mrs. Williams, do you know that this letter is from that same person who had written the driveling letters prior to your impanelling and which you were questioned about during the impanelling?

THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know because I didn't pay any attention to the inside. The first one I got before I was impanelled was postmarked from Orlando, Florida.

THE COURT: That's right.

THE WITNESS: And then this one, and I don't know if it was the same person or not.

MR. DANACEAU: The witness has testified, your Honor, that she did not read the letter, this letter.

THE COURT: That is what I am getting to now. You didn't pay any attention at all, you mean to say, to this --

THE WITNESS: I looked at it. I was on this case. I was taking it very seriously, and when I got the letter, quite naturally I looked at it. I didn't think there was anything that the letter could tell me. I was to listen what I heard here.

THE COURT: Did you read the letter at all?

THE WITNESS: I didn't read it.

THE COURT: That is all.

Are you through now?

MR. CORRIGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Francis, please.

MR. DANACEAU: Do I understand that the Court proposes to continue this matter -- there is sort of a two-pronged motion here, one for a motion for a new trial; second, an additional motion for a trial on newly

discovered evidence. Are both of those matters continued to a week from Saturday?

THE COURT: Well, I would think that we ought to close this chapter now.

MR. DANACEAU: Well, that is what I am trying to decide. Except for the newly discovered evidence --

THE COURT: The second supplemental motion for newly discovered evidence goes over.

MR. DANACEAU: Then we have some evidence we'd like to present.

THE COURT: All right. We will dispose of that matter.

- - -