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Theory of the observations made of high-order rainbows from a single water droplet

James A. Lock

Over a dozen rainbows have been observed in a single water droplet. They appear as glare spots on the water droplet which take on coloration at the appropriate rainbow angles. The appearance of rainbows as colored glare spots in this situation is understood in terms of the caustics created in the vicinity of the droplet by the refracting light rays. The angular positions of the glare spots are understood in terms of the Fourier transform of the geometric scattering amplitude. The rainbow glare spots are also found to appear numerically in the Fourier transform of the Mie scattered fields. An additional glare spot produced by rays at grazing incidence and not attributable to geometric optics also appears numerically in the Fourier transformed Mie fields.

1. Introduction and Qualitative Treatment

Despite the occasional accounts of the observation of the third-order rainbow, it is commonly believed that only the first- and second-order rainbows are visible in the atmosphere. This belief is supported, at least for spherical raindrops, by Mie scattering calculations in which the first- and second-order rainbows along with their supernumeraries prominently appear in the scattered intensity, but the third- and fourth-order rainbows are buried in the forward hemisphere diffracted and/or directly transmitted light. The situation is quite different for observations made on a single water droplet where at least thirteen rainbows have been seen. The rainbows observed from a single water droplet do not appear as arcs of color in the sky but rather as glairelike spots of color on the surface of the droplet itself. It is the purpose of this paper to understand the formation of these rainbow glare spots using Mie scattering theory and the results of the complex angular momentum theory for high frequency scattering.

In the Descartes ray tracing theory of the rainbow, parallel rays of sunlight enter a water droplet and are reflected within it a number of times before leaving the droplet. The angular deviation of an incident ray caused by this refraction–multiple reflection–refraction process possesses a relative maximum or minimum for one of the rays. This is known as the rainbow ray, and its exit angle is the angle at which the rainbow occurs in the Descartes theory. To one side of the angle is a shadow region into which no rays emerge. The superposition of the shadow regions associated with the first- and second-order rainbows forms the well-known Alexander's dark band. To the other side of the rainbow angle is a lit region where the scattered rays fold back over on themselves producing the supernumerary interference pattern adjacent to the main rainbow.

Tricker and Walker have observed and described the appearance of a rainbow from single droplet of water as the observer moves from the lit region on one side of the rainbow angle to the shadow region on the other side. They find that in the lit region far from the rainbow angle there is a single white glare spot, hereafter called $R_a$, seeming to originate on the rear surface of the droplet. As the observer moves toward the rainbow angle, $R_a$ moves along the rear surface of the droplet toward the edge. At some observer angle another white glare spot, hereafter called $R_b$, appears on the edge of the droplet. As the observer continues toward the rainbow angle, $R_a$ and $R_b$ move toward each other, $R_a$ along the rear surface of the droplet and $R_b$ along the front surface. On moving through the rainbow angle, $R_a$ and $R_b$ merge and take on color, at first blue, then green, yellow, orange, and finally red. As the observer moves into the shadow region, the glare spots disappear.

In addition, there are brighter glare spots on the surface of the droplet due to rays directly reflecting from the surface $G$ and rays transmitted through the
droplet and partially focused by it $T$. These brighter glare spots often partially obscure the visibility of the rainbow glare spots $R_a$ and $R_b$. This partial obscuring occurs for the third- and fourth-order rainbows and has been credited as being one cause of their supposed invisibility in the atmosphere.

These observations are qualitatively understood in terms of the caustic surfaces formed in the neighborhood of the droplet by the exiting light rays and in terms of the focusing properties of the eye. Half of the exiting rays in the lit region form a real caustic beginning near the droplet surface and ending on the rainbow ray. The other half of the rays if traced backward forms a virtual caustic beginning on the rear side of the droplet and extending infinitely far back from it with the extension of the rainbow ray as an asymptote. Because the caustics are the envelopes of the virtual or real intersection points of the light rays reaching the observer in the lit region, points on the caustics act as the virtual or real sources of the glare spots $R_a$ and $R_b$. As the observer moves through the lit region, the source points move along the caustics. Since the caustics begin on the droplet, the glare spots are usually observed as being on or near the droplet as well. If the observer is far enough into the lit region, rays from only the virtual caustic reach him, and he sees only the $R_a$ glare spot. As he approaches the rainbow angle, both caustics approach the same asymptote, and as a result the sources of the two glare spots approach the same line of sight.

To determine the role of the focusing properties of the eye on the observation of high-order rainbows from a droplet of water, consider the situation where the size of the eye is small enough so that as the observer moves from the lit region to the shadow region of a high-order rainbow, the scattered light reaching him is approximately confined to a single plane. If the observer stands at the scattering angle $\theta_0$ a distance $R$ from the droplet of radius $a$, and the pupil of the eye subtends an angle $\theta_w$ as in Fig. 1, the electric field at a position $x_r$ on the retina of a well-focused eye is

$$E_r(x_r) = \int E_{\text{Mie}}(\theta_0 + \xi) \exp(-ikRx_r/d),$$

where $E_{\text{Mie}}$ is the Mie scattered electric field, $d$ is the eye lens–retina separation, and

$$k = (2\pi)/\lambda.$$

This may be rewritten as the Fourier transform of the Mie electric field incident on the eye lens convolved with the Fourier transform of the lens's aperture function

$$S(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\xi| \leq \theta_{w}/2 \\ 0 & \text{if } |\xi| > \theta_{w}/2 \end{cases}$$

and takes the form

$$E_r(p) = \int d\alpha F_3(\alpha)F_{\text{Mie}}(p - \alpha, \theta_0),$$

where

$$p = hRx_r/d,$$

$$F_3(\alpha) = \theta_w \sin^2 \left( \frac{\alpha \theta_w}{2} \right),$$

$$F_{\text{Mie}}(\alpha, \theta_0) = \int d\xi E_{\text{Mie}}(\theta_0 + \xi) \exp(-i\alpha \xi).$$

If the pupil subtends a large angle $\theta_w$ as would be the case when examining a single water droplet at a close distance, $F_3(\alpha)$ approaches a $\delta$-function, and the observed field becomes $F_{\text{Mie}}(p, \theta_0)$ only minimally blurred by the wide pupil aperture. On the other hand, if the observer is a great distance away from the water droplets falling in a rain shower, $\theta_w \to 0$, the pupil diffraction effects spread and completely obscure the structure of $F_{\text{Mie}}(p - \alpha, \theta_0)$, and the observed field becomes proportional to $E_{\text{Mie}}(\theta_0)$. Thus, when the observer is far from the droplet, he sees the Mie scattered intensity. On the other hand, when he is close to the droplet, he sees the square of the Fourier transform of the Mie scattered electric field.

This may be applied to the situation when the observer is standing in the supernumerary region of a rainbow. As seen above, in this region there are two effective light sources located on the real and virtual caustics. Their interference pattern at the position of the observer has a sinusoidal spatial periodicity. This is the supernumerary interference pattern. Its spatial variation is slow close to the rainbow and is rapid far from the rainbow. The eye performs a Fourier transform of the field incident on it and converts the supernumerary interference pattern into its two component spatial frequencies, widely separated far from the rainbow and close together near the rainbow. These two spatial frequencies are the glare spots, $R_a$ and $R_b$, the images of the effective sources on the caustics. In this region the spatial distribution of the observed glare spots is both the image of the effective sources and the Fourier transform of the source's interference pattern at the eye lens.

The Fourier transforming property of the eye may also provide a reason for the occasional reported obser-
vation of the third-order rainbow in the atmosphere. In the Mie scattered intensity, the third-order rainbow signal is buried in the much stronger forward hemisphere diffractive peak, which we can consider to be noise. However, since the third-order rainbow signal and the diffractive noise have different spatial periodicities, they become separated in the Fourier domain. This separation of the rainbow glare spots $R_1$ and $R_2$ from the transmitted and reflected glare spots $G$ and $T$ is the reason that higher-order rainbows are visible in a single droplet of water and may contribute to their possible visibility in a nearby rain shower.  

One can also relate these observations to the photodetector signals obtained in the operation of a polar nephelometer. This device employs receiving optics to focus the scattered light intensity obtained over a certain angular interval onto a photocell. Parseval's theorem for conservation of energy applied to the Fourier transform pair $f(x)$ and $F(p)$ gives

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp}{2\pi} |F(p)|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx |f(x)|^2$$

Because the photocell records only the signal integrated over its sensitive area and not the functional dependence of the signal along the sensitive area, the photocell signal is proportional to the Mie intensity integrated over the angular extent of the receiving optics independent of whether the detector is near or far from the source of the scattered light. 

II. Glare Spots and the Complex Angular Momentum Theory for High-Frequency Scattering

In the limit $a/\lambda \gg 1$, each partial wave of the Mie infinite series expansion of the electric field scattered from a sphere may itself be rewritten as an infinite series of interactions of light rays with the surface of the sphere. The double series may then be rearranged as

$$E_{\text{Mie}}(\theta) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E_m(\theta),$$

where $m + 1$ is the number of times a light ray reflects or refracts from the sphere surface. The $m = 0$ term corresponds to direct reflection, $m = 1$ to transmission, and $m \geq 2$ to multiple reflections within the sphere which produce rainbows. Each $E_m(\theta)$ term can be converted from a sum over partial waves to an integral over the impact parameter. Each resulting integrand is dominated by a number of saddle points and poles in the complex plane. The saddle point contributions to the integrals correspond to geometric rays, and the residue pole contributions correspond to diffractive effects produced by surface waves. The saddle point contribution to $E_m(\theta)$ is of the form

$$E_m^0(\theta) = A_m(\theta) \exp[i\phi_m(\theta)],$$

where $A_m(\theta)$ is the magnitude of the geometrical scattering amplitude, and $\phi_m(\theta)$ is its phase. This phase represents the advance or retardation of the ray scattered in the $\theta$ direction with respect to the central ray in the absence of the sphere as is shown in Fig. 2. The phase $\phi_m(\theta)$ is given by

$$\phi_m(\theta) = \begin{cases} -2\sin(\theta/2) & \text{for } m = 0, \\ 2b(m\cos\theta - \cos\theta_1) & \text{for } m \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $n$ is the index of refraction of the sphere,

$$b = (2n\alpha)/\lambda,$$

and $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ are the angles of incidence and refraction of the ray exiting in the $\theta$ direction, which are related by Snell's law:

$$n \sin\theta_2 = \sin\theta_1.$$  

If a single water droplet is observed at a close distance, the contribution of the geometrical scattering amplitude of order $m$ to the field observed at the retina is

$$E_m^{(n)}(p) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi A_m(\theta_0 + \xi) \times \exp[i\phi_m(\theta_0 + \xi)] \exp(-ip\xi).$$

If the integrand is Taylor series expanded in the interval $\theta_0 - \theta_w/2 \leq \theta \leq \theta_0 + \theta_w/2$, if $A_m(\theta)$ is slowly varying and $\theta_w$ is small enough so that

$$\frac{\theta_w}{48} \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \phi_m(\theta) \right]_{\theta_0} \ll 1,$$

then

$$E_m^{(n)}(p) = A_m(\theta_0) \exp[i\phi_m(\theta_0)] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \exp[i\phi_m(\theta_0) - p\xi],$$

or

$$|E_m^{(n)}(p)|^2 = |A_m(\theta_0)|^2 \frac{\pi}{\phi_m^2(\theta_0)} \left( \frac{\phi_m(\theta_0) - p}{\phi_m(\theta_0) - 2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\phi_m(\theta_0) + p}{\phi_m(\theta_0) + 2} \right)^2,$$

where

$$\phi_m(\theta_0) = \left[ \frac{d}{d\theta} \phi_m(\theta) \right]_{\theta_0}.$$
forward scattering, the transmitted glare spot appears at the center of the droplet. As the observer moves toward the scattering angle at which the edge rays are critically refracted within the droplet,

\[ \theta_c^{(1)} = 2 \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \]

the location of the glare spot moves toward the other edge of the droplet, \( p = \beta \). As can be seen in Fig. 3 for the first rainbow, the glare spot \( R_1^{(1)} \) appears at the center of the droplet in the backscattering geometry. As \( \theta_0 \) decreases, \( R_1^{(1)} \) moves toward the \( p = \beta \) edge of the droplet. At the first rainbow critical angle,

\[ \theta_0^{(1)} = 2 \pi - 4 \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \]

the other glare spot \( R_0^{(1)} \) appears at the \( p = \beta \) edge. As \( \theta_0 \) further decreases, the two glare spots approach each other and merge at the spatial frequency

\[ p = \left(\frac{4 - n^2}{3}\right)^{1/2} \beta \]

at the first-order rainbow angle,

\[ \theta_1^{(1)} = \pi + 2 \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{4 - n^2}{3}\right)^{1/2} - 4 \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{4 - n^2}{3n^2}\right)^{1/2}. \]
Fig. 4. Logarithm of the Mie intensity as a function of scattering angle in the vicinity of the third-order rainbow. $\theta_A$ and $\theta_G$ correspond to the Airy rainbow angle and the geometric rainbow angle, respectively.

Fig. 5. Magnitude squared of the Fourier transform of the Mie electric field as a function of spatial frequency for the observation angle $\theta_0 = 22.4^\circ$. The edge of the drop $E$ is at $-195.83$ deg$^{-1}$. $G$ and $R_s^{(3)}$ are predicted to be at $-192.10$ and $-170.66$ deg$^{-1}$, respectively.

Fig. 6. Magnitude squared of the Fourier transform of the Mie electric field for $\theta_0 = 29.3^\circ$. $G$, $R_b^{(3)}$, and $R_s^{(3)}$ are predicted to be at $-189.46$, $-177.24$, and $-195.66$ deg$^{-1}$, respectively.

Fig. 7. Magnitude squared of the Fourier transform of the Mie electric field at the Airy rainbow angle $\theta_0 = 37.66^\circ$. According to the geometrical model, $G$, $R_b^{(3)}$ and $R_s^{(3)}$ are predicted to be at $-185.35$, $-188.29$, and $-192.32$ deg$^{-1}$, respectively.

Fig. 8. Magnitude squared of the Fourier transform of the Mie electric field at $\theta_0 = 38.5^\circ$. The lower limit of the angular interval of Eq. (1) begins to approach the Airy angle, and as a result the $R_b^{(3)}$ and $R_s^{(3)}$ peaks begin to merge.

Fig. 9. Magnitude squared of the Fourier transform of the Mie electric field at $\theta_0 = 39.6^\circ$. The lower limit of the angular interval of Eq. (1) is nearly at the Airy angle, and as a result the merging of $R_b^{(3)}$ and $R_s^{(3)}$ peaks is complete.
Fig. 10. Magnitude squared of the Fourier transform of the Mie electric field at $\theta_0 = 40.2^\circ$. The lower limit of the angular interval of Eq. (1) is between the Airy angle and geometrical rainbow angle. As a result, the rainbow peak loses strength.

Fig. 11. Magnitude squared of the Fourier transform of the Mie electric field at $\theta_0 = 40.8^\circ$. $G$ is predicted to be at $-183.55\,\text{deg}^{-1}$.

The number of angles $\theta_0$ in the vicinity of the third-order rainbow are given in Figs. 5–11. In each of these figures the edge of the sphere $E$ corresponds to the spatial frequency $p = -\beta = -195.83\,\text{deg}^{-1}$. The third rainbow critical angle is $\theta_C^{(3)} = 25.00^\circ$. Thus for $\theta_0 = 22.4^\circ$, Fig. 5 shows only the reflected glare spot $G$ and the single third rainbow glare spot $R_3^{(3)}$ while at $\theta_0 = 29.3^\circ$, Fig. 6 shows $G$ and both $R_3^{(3)}$ and $R_5^{(3)}$. The geometrical third-order rainbow angle is $\theta_0^{(3)} = 38.11^\circ$, and the distorted wavefront Airy theory predicts that it is shifted down to $\theta_0^{(3)} = 37.66^\circ$. Figures 7–10 show the Fourier transform spectra for the sequence of angles $37.66, 38.5, 39.6, \text{ and } 40.2^\circ$. Even though Fig. 7 is centered at the Airy angle, the $R_3^{(3)}$ and $R_5^{(3)}$ peaks have not merged since the Mie fields that contribute to this spectrum extend over the intervals $35.36^\circ \leq \theta \leq 39.96^\circ$, and half of this angular interval is in advance of the predicted merging. Figures 8–10 on the other hand extend over the intervals $38.2^\circ \leq \theta \leq 40.8^\circ, 37.3^\circ \leq \theta \leq 41.9^\circ, \text{ and } 37.9^\circ \leq \theta \leq 42.5^\circ$, respectively. In Figs. 8 and 9, since the lower end of the angular interval approaches the Airy angle, the $R_a^{(3)}$ and $R_b^{(3)}$ peaks completely merge. Since the lower end of the angular interval of Fig. 10 is between the Airy angle and the geometric rainbow angle, the combined $R_a^{(3)} + R_b^{(3)}$ peak loses strength. Well past the rainbow angle in the shadow region, Fig. 11 for $\theta_0 = 40.8^\circ$ shows only the reflected glare peak. Similar behaviors were found in the vicinities of the first-order and second-order rainbows as well.

There is a curious feature of the Mie Fourier spectra that appears only near the critical angle for the $m = 1$ transmitted ray or near the critical angles for the $m \geq 2$ rainbow rays. According to Eq. (25) for $n = 1.343$, the critical angle for transmission occurs at $\theta_C^{(T)} = 83.75^\circ$. The Fourier spectrum for $\theta_0 = 80.3^\circ$, just to the lit side of the critically refracted ray, is given in Fig. 12. In this figure, the glare spots $G, T, R_3^{(3)}, R_a^{(3)}, R_b^{(3)}$ appear at the locations predicted by the geometrical amplitude. The geometrical rays producing these glare spots at $\theta_0 = 80.3^\circ$ are indicated in Figs. 13 and 14. In addition, a weak glare spot $J$ that is unexplained in terms of geometric optics occurs at the $p = -\beta$ edge of the droplet in Fig. 12. It is found to occur only for angles $\theta_0$ near the critical angle. On deleting various partial waves from the Mie series, it was found that this nongeometrical peak, as well as the $T$ glare spot which it appears in conjunction with, is produced by the partial waves corresponding to the rays which graze the edge of the sphere. Similar nongeometrical peaks were numerically found near the first-, second-, and third-order rainbow critical angles as well. In every case they occur on the side of the droplet opposite to the corresponding critically refracted geometrical ray. If the nongeometrical peak were the Fourier transform of an appropriate scattering amplitude, the phase of that amplitude would have been

$$
\phi_m^{\text{nongeometric}}(\theta) = -2\beta(mn \cos \theta_2 - \cos \theta_1),
$$

representing a phase advance rather than the usual phase delay associated with the $m \geq 1$ refracting rays. The path of such a phase advanced ray is shown in Fig. 15. However, the physical mechanism responsible for
Fig. 13. Geometric rays which produce $G, T$ and $R_{a}(3)$ at $\theta_{0} = 80.3^\circ$ in Fig. 12.

Fig. 14. Geometric rays which produce $R_{a}(3)$ and $R_{a}(4)$ at $\theta_{0} = 80.3^\circ$ in Fig. 12.

Fig. 15. Possible nongeometric critically refracted ray which produces the glare spot $J$ in Fig. 12.

the $J$ peak of Fig. 12 is presently unclear since the nongeometric contribution to the scattering amplitude in the complex angular momentum analysis, the first and fourth quadrant residue poles, correspond to surface waves circulating around the droplet in the same sense as the grazing incident ray.\textsuperscript{20}

IV. Conclusions

The Mie infinite series of partial waves is the exact solution to the problem of the scattering of plane electromagnetic waves from a sphere. Being the exact solution, all the scattering effects that can possibly occur are hidden somewhere or another in the Mie amplitude. The big problem has always been that it is hard to extract information out of it since the Mie series is not expressed in a simple or transparent form. It is pleasing to see in this case that the experimental observations on a single water droplet can be understood by Fourier transforming the Mie fields. This reflects the fact that if many signals, each with its own periodicity, are superposed in the spatial domain, they become separated in the Fourier domain. The complex angular momentum theory used in conjunction with the Mie results helps to determine the extent to which the behavior of the glare spots is determined by geometrical considerations and the extent to which other considerations may contribute.
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**Portable slot-sizing tool**

A portable milling tool consisting of an air-motor-driven cutter held in an adjustable moving slide made possible the local removal of chromium plating in a close-tolerance onsite remachining and sizing of half-hole slots on longeron bridges (see Fig. 13). Sending the bridges back to the manufacturer for rework would have been considerably more expensive, in addition to introducing project delays. An adaptation of the portable sizing tool could be useful for the field modification of such large equipment as trucks, aircraft, and ships, which are not easily returnable to factories. The tool is made from commercially available parts, including an air motor capable of variable speeds up to 900 rpm, a ball end mill, a revolving handle, two miter gears, and a ball slide. The tool is clamped to the longeron-bridge rail, and the cutter is lined up with a given slot, approximately at the midpoint of the hole length. After the cutter is lowered to the proper depth, the motor is turned on and the crank handle used to move the cutter along the groove to remove the chromium, thereby restoring the slot to its specified dimensions.
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**Grips for lightweight tensile specimens**

A set of grips has been developed for the tensile testing of lightweight composite materials (Fig. 14). Resin-based fiber-reinforced composite materials are more susceptible than metal specimens of comparable cross section to bending and twisting during installation and to slippage and deformation in the grip region. Even a small degree of misalignment in the specimen during tensile testing can affect the measured mechanical properties. The new grips minimize specimen misalignment and reduce scatter in the data. The gripping force is applied by driving hardened wedges against the end tabs of the specimen. This double wedging action, actually a wedge driven against another wedge, allows a greater force to be applied over a larger area, thereby minimizing slippage and deformation in the grip region. Alignment is maintained by assembling the grips inside a backplate on a horizontal surface. This backplate keeps the specimen from twisting and bending during installation. It is removed only after the assembly has been put firmly in place on the testing machine.

More than 75 specimens of 5 different lightweight materials, typically demonstrating fractures occurring evenly along the specimen...