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Abstract—A multi-component (NH₃/H₂O) Kalina-type cycle that utilizes the exhaust from a gas turbine is investigated in this paper. The turbine-inlet pressure of 5.96 × 10⁶ N/m² and temperature of 755.372 K were kept constant, as well as the working fluid temperature at the condenser outlet (at 290 K). The NH₃ mass fraction at the turbine inlet was varied along with the separator temperature and the effects on the cycle efficiency were studied. The relationships between turbine-inlet flow and the separator-inlet flow are shown in addition to the upper and lower NH₃ mass-fraction bounds. The multi-component working-fluid cycle investigated is 10–20% more efficient than a Rankine cycle with the same boundary conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Since the first electric generating station in the U.S. (the Brush Electric Light Company in Philadelphia) went into service in 1881, engineers have been working to improve the efficiency of the Rankine cycle for electricity production. The addition of superheat, multiple reheat and supercritical cycles have helped push the thermal efficiency from 7 to approximately 38%. The introduction and improvement of equipment such as air heaters, economizers and regenerative feedwater heaters have also contributed to the thermal efficiency increase. Advances in metallurgy, coupled with the previously mentioned cycle and equipment improvement, have raised the steam-generator outlet conditions from 1.0 × 10⁶ N/m² and 500 K in the 1880s to units which went on line in the late 1950s and early 1960s and operated at 2.4 × 10⁶ N/m² and 865 K. An example is the unit of the Cleveland Electric Company’s Avon Lake Station Unit #8. However, one item has remained constant since Hero of Alexandria’s engine: the use of water as the working fluid.

A method for increasing the thermal efficiency is the use of a binary cycle. In this system, the condenser of the higher boiling-cycle fluid is the boiler for the lower boiling-point fluid. As early as the 1920s, several binary cycles were being explored. Some of the fluids looked at were mercury, aluminum bromide, zinc ammonium chloride and diphenyloxide. Mercury/water binary cycles have been used most frequently. It should be noted that the New Hampshire Public Service Schiller plant went on line in the early 1950s with a heat rate that is commendable by today’s standard, 9700 kJ/kWh. It was decommissioned in the late 1970s. Metallurgical and safety concerns on the mercury portion curtailed further development. A similar cycle receiving recent attention is the Anderson power cycle, which has been patented. The Anderson cycle H₂O condenser is the R-22 refrigerant boiler. It is important to remember that, in these binary cycles, the two components are totally segregated.

The multi-component working-fluid power cycle studied in this paper is different from the previously mentioned binary cycles in that the working fluids progress through the cycle (compression, evaporation, expansion and condensation) together in the same flow stream. More than two fluids may be employed but this study is beyond the scope of this paper. This cycle has an advantage over traditional binary cycles by requiring one less boiler feed pump.
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and turbine. Research on this cycle has focused on NH$_3$/H$_2$O as the working-fluid pair.\textsuperscript{10-18} We will also examine a cycle with NH$_3$/H$_2$O as working fluid. Data for the NH$_3$/H$_2$O mixture above the NH$_3$ critical temperature are scarce. There are different ways of calculating properties above this temperature. One method is to interpolate between the saturation region (where experimental data are available) to a point on the vapor curve where the perfect mixture theory may be applied.\textsuperscript{19} Another method, used in this paper, is to calculate the pure component properties and multiply them by their respective mass fractions.\textsuperscript{20} Even with all of the research that has been done, unanswered questions remain.\textsuperscript{21}

Agreement of thermodynamic properties used in this study with published literature data is good. Figure 1 shows a comparison of the entropy of pure NH$_3$ at $1.0 \times 10^6$ N/m$^2$ for the

![Fig. 1. $T$--$s$ diagram for pure NH$_3$ for the present work and Ref. 23; pressure = $1.0 \times 10^6$ N/m$^2$.](image)

![Fig. 2. $T$--$h$ diagram for an NH$_3$/H$_2$O mixture (50% by weight) for the present work and Ref. 11; pressure = $8.273 \times 10^5$ N/m$^2$.](image)
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Fig. 3. Flow diagram for the multi-component cycle used in the present work.

present work with that calculated in Ref. 22. Our enthalpy and entropy values show agreement with published data over a pressure range from \(1.0 \times 10^6\) to \(1.0 \times 10^7\) N/m\(^2\). Agreement for the NH\(_3\)/H\(_2\)O mixture is also good. Figure 2 is a comparison of temperature and enthalpy data for a mixture at \(8.273 \times 10^6\) N/m\(^2\).\(^1\) Equations used in calculating properties are reported in Ref. 23.

CYCLE OPERATION

Figure 3 shows the cycle used in this investigation, while Table 1 shows a list of the different components (with the number identifying it) used in the cycle. The cycle is similar to a simple

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Boiler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Heat Exchanger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Boiler Tubes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Turbine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Heat Exchanger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Condenser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>Intermediate Pressure Pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Separator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>Throttle Valve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Condenser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>Boiler Feed Pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>Heat Exchanger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>Spray Head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>Gas Cooler/Cleaner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>Pump</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rankine cycle with no reheat or regenerative feedwater heating but with one important addition that we are calling "the working fluid manipulating sub-circuit". Furthermore, an important feature of this cycle is that the working fluid can be condensed above atmospheric pressure. Accordingly, the deaeration system, condenser vacuum and condenser priming pumps are eliminated; also, O₂-related cycle problems are reduced. ²⁴

Figure 4 shows a simplified schematic diagram of "the working fluid manipulating sub-circuit" where the condensers and heat exchangers have been omitted. An H₂O rich stream, state 146, is injected into line 118 before entering the condenser 120. This process results in reducing the NH₃ content and accordingly raising the bubble-point temperature of the working fluid. The net results are: (1) a liquid mixture (no vapor mixture) at the condenser outlet, line 126, (2) condenser pressure above one atmosphere.

It should be noted that the separator (138) operating pressure and temperature dictate the

Figure 5(a). Schematic phase diagram for the NH₃/H₂O mixture; pressure = 1.2 x 10⁹ N/m².
The separator-inlet flow (normalized with respect to the turbine-inlet flow) is plotted vs the separator temperature in Fig. 6 for $5.0 \times 10^5$ N/m$^2$. Holding the turbine-inlet mass fraction $X_{106}$ constant and raising the separator temperature reduces the amount of flow to the separator. Accordingly, less dilute flow is required. On the other hand, holding the separator temperature constant and increasing $X_{106}$ will increase the amount of flow to the separator.

Figure 7 shows the relationship between the separator temperature and separator-inlet NH$_3$ mass fraction required for an energy balance around the separator at the pressure of 5.0 $\times$ 10$^5$ N/m$^2$. At other values for the separator pressure, another two-phase diagram is obtained and accordingly another relationship results between the separator temperature and separator inlet NH$_3$ mass fraction.
Figure 6 shows the relation between cycle efficiency and separator temperature. For a given turbine-inlet mass fraction, $X_{106}$, as the separator temperature increases, the cycle efficiency increases up to a maximum and then starts to decrease. This behavior can be explained as follows: as the separator temperature is increased, the NH$_3$ mass fraction at the separator inlet increases (see Fig. 7). In order to keep the bubble-point temperature constant, the condenser
operating pressure must increase as the NH$_3$ mass fraction in the condenser increases. This increase in the condenser pressure (turbine back pressure) results in decreases in both the turbine output and boiler heat addition. At a lower separator temperature, the reduction in the turbine output is less than that for heat addition; accordingly, the cycle efficiency increases. At a higher separator temperature, the opposite takes place. Also, as $X_{106}$ decreases at a given separator temperature, the cycle efficiency will increase. Since the separator-inlet flow and its operating temperature are closely related, Fig. 9 is basically another similar way to look at the cycle efficiency.

A similar study has been conducted to examine the effect of changing the separator operating pressure. When the cycle efficiency was plotted vs the separator temperature (not shown in the paper) for a turbine-inlet mass fraction $X_{106} = 0.7$ and a fixed separator pressure, the trend was
similar to that shown in Fig. 8. Also, the plot showed that for a given separator temperature, the cycle efficiency will be increased by decreasing the separator pressure. For more details, see Ref. 23.

The Kalina cycle described in this paper has the following advantages over the Rankine cycle: (i) a 10–20% more efficient vapor power cycle; (ii) reduced thermal pollution from the condenser circulating water; (iii) reduced combustion by-products such as SO₂.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we have described the operation and design of a multi-component (NH₃/H₂O) Kalina-type cycle that utilizes the exhaust from a gas turbine.

For a given turbine-inlet mass fraction X₁₀⁶, as the separator temperature increases, the cycle efficiency increases up to a maximum and then starts to decrease. Also, as X₁₀⁶ decreases at a given separator temperature, the cycle efficiency will increase. On the other hand, for a given turbine-inlet mass fraction X₁₀⁶ and separator temperature, the cycle efficiency will be increased by decreasing the separator pressure.

The Kalina cycle described in this paper is 10–20% more efficient than a Rankine cycle operating under similar conditions. Additional advantages were introduced with the cycle design by reducing thermal pollution from the circulating condenser water and reducing combustion by-products such as SO₂.

The papers published on this cycle all show greater thermodynamic efficiencies than the Rankine cycle.¹⁻¹⁸ The 3 MWe Kalina cycle currently under construction in Canoga Park, California, should answer many questions as well as provide confirmation of the predictions made in papers such as Ref. 25.
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NOMENCLATURE

\[ h = \text{Enthalpy per unit mass (kJ/kg)} \]
\[ s = \text{Entropy per unit mass (kJ/kg K)} \]
\[ T = \text{Temperature (K)} \]
\[ X = \text{Ammonia mass fraction} \]

Subscript

\[ f = \text{Saturated liquid} \]
\[ g = \text{Saturated vapor} \]
\[ s = \text{State of the entering mixture} \]
\[ xxx = \text{A three-digit number identifies the location used in Fig. 3} \]